

JAN 13 2025

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MO
ST. LOUIS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:

TERRY LEE HINDS,
Pro se & Suri Juris,
Officially a/k/a Terry Lee Hinds,

Real Party in Interest as Plaintiff/Petitioner, (“[P/P]”)

-Vs-

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., in his official capacity
as the President of the United States of America; &
actions of the Government of the United States, and

DANIEL WERFEL, in his official capacity
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service, &/or
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue; via § 7803 &
actions of INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, IRS &

JANET YELLEN, in her official capacity
as Secretary of the United States Department
of the Treasury; & actions of the UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, and

MERRICK B. GARLAND, in his official capacity
as Attorney General of the United States; & actions of
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. (“[D/R/I P]”)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL MATTERS.

CIVIL ACTION
FILE NUMBER:

FOURTH DECLARATION OF TERRY LEE HINDS (“[Decl. #4]”)

Pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1746, I, TERRY LEE HINDS, as Petitioner/Plaintiff (“[P/P]”)
hereby declare as follows. This Declaration is in support of [P/P]’s civil action regarding:

**PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW, JUDGMENT OR DECREE
AND FOR ALL WRITS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO THIS CASE
AS WELL ISSUE WRITS AGREEABLE TO USAGES & PRINCIPLES OF LAW**

This Fourth Declaration is necessary for the purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 8. General Rules of Pleading, to meet a requirement made pursuant to law, and to the factual matters that are required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, or established for [P/P]'s civil action. I am over the age of 18 and I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could or would testify competently to those facts if called as a witness.

PETITION BACKGROUND & LEGAL NATURE OF THIS CASE

[P/P]'s [LLP] is of one's FAITH in [LAW] as a religious liberty. It is the way, the truth & the life. [P/P] chose to express a full spectrum of inherently expressive conduct & free speech of religious activity of which articulates the following as facts in issue, averments, allegations, or as relevant facts germane to this case or as original pleaded from the original civil action [OVC] FILE NUMBER: 4:17 – CV- 750, (2017) to wit [Testimony] revealed.

Section J - Taxology – An Organized Religion

278. Plaintiff's [believes] and [conscience] dictate the existence, characteristics of a person's religious faith in an organized religion can be recognized by the IRS.

279. Defendants' actions and conduct has established and endorsed an Organized Religion of THEIRS Plaintiff called this religion Taxology.

280. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion, Taxology is not his chosen religious practices.

281. Plaintiff avers Defendants chosen religious practices is Taxology.

282. Defendants' actions and conduct has created an organized religion; promoting a particular religion with the primary effect of advancing it as set forth herein.

283. Defendants' organized religion is a is a collection of a cultural system belief systems, worldviews and organized behaviors having narratives, symbols, traditions and sacred histories that are intended to give meaning to life.

284. Defendants' actions and conduct has created a fusion of government and religious objectives or religiously motivated activities, substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

285. Defendants' Taxology establishes the common religious bond and convictions that exist among its body of believers, followers and adherents by creating a feeling of dependence.

286. Plaintiff avers the spiritual pilgrimage of an organized religion, like the reminiscence of Taxology, can touch a person in a way that little else can.

287. Taxology is important because it offers and provides tangible and intangible benefits to its members and body of believers or its communicants.

288. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology has established belief in what is valuable, important or its “Ultimate Reality” and “Ultimate Concern” and in this religion truth is very complicated.

289. Plaintiff avers Taxology has a prescribed structure; being an organized collection of beliefs and related practices that are codified for Taxology system of institutionalized faith.

290. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology crafts constant attention to, and extreme conformity with religious beliefs, as well as constructs a very fervent spirit, usually genuine and often independent of outward observances found in the U.S Constitution and Bill of Rights.

291. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is something one does, as well as something one believes in.

292. Plaintiff avers Taxology touches on virtually every aspect of life and society, providing guidance on multifarious topics from financial and social or personal welfare, to health care and the environment.

293. Defendants’ IRS actions and conduct has endorsed another organized religion which has an organized collection of beliefs and practices, known as Scientology.

294. Defendants are motivated by religious syncretism; with Defendants’ organized religion Taxology, not unlike Scientology and are comparative religions.

295. Defendants, through their religious beliefs and practices in Taxology; has recognized and sanctioned the Church of Scientology, by providing this particular religious organization with federal tax exempt status.

296. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology operates as a faith-based franchise religion, creating the presence of a modern-day hybrid religion for its churches, organizations and entities in America, as well as internationally.

297. Plaintiff avers that Scientology operates a faith-based franchise religion, the presence of an organized and modern day hybrid religion in America and internationally.

298. Plaintiff avers that a faith-based franchise religion is a special privilege of a public nature conferred on or granted to an individual, group, entity, service or company by a governmental authority.

299. Plaintiff avers Scientology is a body of beliefs and related practices created by science fiction writer L. Ron Hubbard, beginning in 1952 and since 1993, the Internal Revenue Service has formally recognized Scientology as a religion and its related Churches and organizations—all 150 of them—as tax-exempt under section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.

300. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is a body of beliefs and related practices created by legal–fiction writers of Defendants, beginning in 1954 (redesignation of the Internal Revenue for Code of 1954) and since 1986 (the redesignation of the Internal Revenue for Code of 1986).

301. Defendants have proselytized a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

302. Defendants’ proselytism, or law respecting an establishment of religion is repugnant to Plaintiff’s beliefs.

303. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like Scientology embraces teaching and enlightenment to its congregation, body of believers or communicants that assembles or communicate, being of religious significance, persuasion or belief.

304. Plaintiff avers that Scientology is the institutional progeny of Taxology’s power to create or sanction new religions.

305. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like Scientology advances its religion through the authority, power and use of tax-exempt status.

306. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Scientology, both recognize the institution of marriage.

307. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like Scientology; the worshipping of a god or any gods is not essential to their religion.

308. Plaintiff avers “Scientology has been recognized officially as a ‘religion’ after Britain’s highest court swept aside 158 years of law to rule that worshipping a god is not essential to religion.” <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/religion/10510301/Scientology-is-a-religion-rules-Supreme-Court.html>

309. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, provides certain insights to its body of believers, followers or adherents.

310. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, provides certain insights, concerning one’s judgments of a personal nature or matters involving self-assessment.

311. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, provides spiritual initiatives and spiritual screening.

312. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology provides certain insights concerning the Laws of Attraction.

313. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology, affects human behavior.

314. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology provides certain insights to the way of happiness; when we seek a return involving our core values that guide our path.

315. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology; like Scientology provides certain insights how to avoid the taxing environments we live in or how to deal with the many burdens produced by such atmospheres.

316. Plaintiff [believes] these certain insights of Taxology, like Scientology provide a path for a proper way of life to achieving its members' vision.

317. Plaintiff avers Taxology; like Scientology brings people together for a variety of reasons, including religious fellowship and communal worship.

318. Plaintiff [believes] for a varieties of reasons Taxology, like Scientology; concerns are answers and questions involving the very nature of these two religions.

319. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Scientology, brings people together because their adherents do not want to feel guilty or punish themselves for making a wrong decision.

320. Plaintiff avers these varieties of reasons of Taxology, like Scientology, provides their adherents the belief on knowing how to know which extends from certain fundamental truths regarding their organized religion.

321. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like "Scientology comprises a body of knowledge which extends from certain fundamental truths." http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis

322. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, like "Scientology further holds Man to be basically good, and that his spiritual salvation depends upon himself, his fellows and his attainment of brotherhood with the universe."
http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis

323. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is more than a system of belief, like "Scientology is a new religion, one that has isolated fundamental laws of life."
<http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/scientology-background.html>

324. Plaintiff [believes] in Taxology as an applied religion (Identity Religion) and did practice Taxology for approximately 20 years; because it plays a vital role in both the lives of its congregation, its body of believers and the community at large.

325. Plaintiff avers Scientology is an organized religion, being "More than a system of belief, Scientology is an applied religion that plays a vital role in both the lives of its congregation and the community at large."
<http://www.scientologyreligion.org/pg006.html>

326. Plaintiff avers that Taxology; like its twin-sister religion, Scientology, transcended from a business presentation into an organized religion; a point of view gradually coming into being, with both being sanctioned by government authority.

327. Plaintiff [believes] the ultimate goal of Taxology; is taxing the human spirit to death, so any person can experience an IRS spiritual enlightenment and freedom for all who hold the reins of power.

328. Plaintiff avers “The ultimate goal of Scientology is true spiritual enlightenment and freedom for all.” http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis

329. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is not a dogmatic religion in which one is asked to accept anything on faith alone. On the contrary, one discovers for oneself that the principles of Taxology are true by applying its principles and observing or experiencing the results.

330. Plaintiff avers “Scientology is not a dogmatic religion in which one is asked to accept anything on faith alone. On the contrary, one discovers for oneself that the principles of Scientology are true by applying its principles and observing or experiencing the results.” http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology.html?link=side_wis

331. Plaintiff avers Taxology follows a long tradition of religious practice. Its roots lie in the deepest beliefs and aspirations of all great religions, (control) thus encompassing a religious heritage as old and as varied as Mankind itself.

332. Plaintiff avers “Scientology follows a long tradition of religious practice. Its roots lie in the deepest beliefs and aspirations of all great religions, thus encompassing a religious heritage as old and as varied as Man himself.” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/scientology-background.html>

333. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology’s principal tenet is “auditing” and only auditing provides a precise path by which any individual may walk an exact route to higher states of its mystical awareness or experience the true spirit of legalism.

334. Plaintiff avers Scientology’s principal tenet is “auditing” and “only auditing provides a precise path by which any individual may walk an exact route to higher states of spiritual awareness.” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>

335. Plaintiff avers the Doctrine of Exchange is a central tenet of Scientology, which dictates that for spiritual well-being, anytime a person receives something, he must pay something back and balance "inflow" with "outflow".

336. Plaintiff [believes] the Doctrine of Exchange is a central tenet of Taxology, which dictates that for spiritual well-being, anytime a person makes a return to the IRS way of life, a person pays something back when you fail to balance "income" with "payments".

337. Plaintiff avers the Church of Scientology has presented this as the reason why some of its services, such as auditing, its central practice of Scientology, must never be given away, but must be paid for.

338. Plaintiff [believes] the Church of Taxology has presented this as the reason why some of its services, such as auditing, must never be given away, but must be paid for, because your self-assessment was wrong according to Taxology.

339. Plaintiff avers, whether initiate in Taxology or Scientology “Through auditing one is able to look at his own existence and improve his ability to confront what he is and where he is.”

<http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>

340. Plaintiff believes, whether practiced in Taxology or in Scientology “Auditing does not use hypnosis, trance techniques or drugs. The person being audited is completely aware of everything that happens. Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures.”

<http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>

341. Plaintiff [believes] unlike other efforts to help persons that have tried to solve one’s problems for them; Taxology provides the means for an individual to become capable of solving their own problems.

342. Plaintiff avers “Unlike other efforts to help man that have tried to solve his problems for him, Scientology provides the means for an individual to become capable of solving his own problems.” <http://www.scientologyreligion.org/pg006.html>

343. “In the Scientology religion, man is held to consist of three parts—thetan, mind and body.” <http://www.authenticscientology.org/page03.htm>

344. In the Taxology religion, a man or women becomes any person consisting of seven parts — an individual, a trust, estate, partnership, association, company or corporation.

345. Plaintiff avers in Scientology: “One is a thetan who has a mind and who occupies a body. The thetan animates the body and uses the mind.”

<http://www.scientology.org/faq/background-and-basic-principles/what-are-some-of-the-core-tenets-of-scientology.html>

346. Plaintiff [believes] in Taxology: One is a Taxpayer who has a mind and who occupies a body. The TAXTAN animates the body and uses the mind.

347. Plaintiff avers that in Scientology, the spiritual being is called the “thetan”.

<http://www.authenticscientology.org/page03.htm>

348. Plaintiff [believes] that in Taxology, the spiritual being is called the TAXTAN similar to a “Nonfilers” and can be seen or experienced with a ghost tax return or, more accurately, the ghost of a tax return. See Exhibit E-32 concerning the essence of Taxology’s TAXTAN.

349. In Scientology the “thetan” is immortal and has lived—and will continue to live—through countless lifetimes.

350. Plaintiff [believes] that in Taxology the “Taxpayer” is commonplace and has lived—and will continue to live—through countless returns made by them.

351. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology’s view or self- assessment of an Ultimate Reality transcending the material world includes its concepts of the “Taxpayer” or “Nonfilers”.

352. Plaintiff avers “Scientology view of an Ultimate Reality transcending the material world includes its concepts of the thetan” <http://m.scientology.org/faq/background-and-basic-principles/why-is-scientology-called-a-religion.html>

353. Plaintiff [believes] this view of an Ultimate Reality in Taxology refers to the deified system of codified taxing laws and entities which constitute the natural order of things and therefore “reality as-it-is”, transcending the material world.

354. Plaintiff avers “The materials of Scientology comprise the greatest accumulation of knowledge ever assembled on the mind, spirit and life. Through more than a hundred books and publications, thousands more articles and essays, and over 3,000 recorded lectures, the works of L. Ron Hubbard embrace virtually every aspect of living.” <http://www.scientology.org/books/catalog/scientology-a-new-slant-on-life-paperback.html>

355. Plaintiff [believes] the materials of Taxology comprise the greatest accumulation of knowledge ever assembled on the mind, spirit and life. Through more than a hundred books and publications, thousands more articles and essays, and over a large number of recorded lectures, the works of Defendants embrace virtually every aspect of living.

356. Plaintiff [believes] Scientology is about freeing or challenging the human spirit. “A new slant on life” whereas Taxology is about enslaving or perplexing the human spirit. A new slant for life.

357. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like three monotheistic religions, Christianity, Islam and Judaism are comparative religions as Defendants are motivated by religious syncretism.

358. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, their body of believers seek a return of Jesus Christ, where the body believers of Taxology seek a return from the IRS.

359. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, people of other faiths are to make a return to a Christ like life, belief, and practice; whereas a Taxologist make a return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practice.

360. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, their believers hold that water can be turned into wine whereas in Taxology, their believers hold that the fruits of one's labor can be turned into taxes, producing the intoxicating atmosphere of spiritual belief.

361. Plaintiff [believes] in Christianity, many of their body of believers hold to the act of confession when making a return to confessional, and in Taxology, their body of believers also hold to the act of confession when making a return.

362. Plaintiff [believes] Judaism provides a belief of religious significance concerning human situation and life's purpose; being obeying God's commandments and living ethically. Focus is more on this life than the next.

363. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology provides a belief of religious significance concerning human situation and life's purpose; being obeying IRS's commandments and living ethically. Focus is more on this life than the next.

364. Plaintiff [believes] Judaism provides a belief of religious significance concerning human position of the afterlife; being not historically emphasized. Beliefs vary from no afterlife to a shadowy existence of a better World to come.

365. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology provides a belief of religious significance concerning human position of the afterlife; being not historically emphasized. Beliefs vary from no afterlife to a shadowy existence of a better World to come after making a return to an IRS path of life, beliefs and practice.

366. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology, is similar to Christianity, Islam and Judaism of which these religions create powerful human emotions that give meaning to our lives or shape one's destiny.

367. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates powerful human emotions, such as: love, happiness, guilt and the extremes ranges of hate.

368. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create powerful human emotions, such as: love, happiness, guilt and the extremes range of hate.

369. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates negative human thoughts, such as: anger, annoyance, contempt, disgust, frustration, and doubt.

370. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create negative human thoughts, such as: anger, annoyance, contempt, disgust, frustration, and doubt.

371. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates positive human thoughts, such as: hope, aspiration, pride, satisfaction, enlightenment and trust.

372. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create positive human thoughts, such as: hope, aspiration, pride, satisfaction, enlightenment and trust.

373. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates not just interest, but appraisal, gratification and wealth, including actions of candor, commitment, cooperativeness, obedience, remembrance, unity and responsibility.

374. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create not just interest, but appraisal, gratification and wealth, including actions of candor, commitment, cooperativeness, obedience, remembrance, unity and responsibility.

375. Plaintiff [believes] in Taxology its body of believers practice [Worthship].

376. Plaintiff avers in Christianity, Islam and Judaism its body of believers practice worship.

377. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates the agitation of human emotions, such as: stress, shock, tension, or despair and sadness.

378. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create the agitation of human emotions, such as: stress, shock, tension, or despair and sadness.

379. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates negative human emotions, such as: anxiety, fear, embarrassment, helplessness, powerlessness, and worry.

380. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create negative human emotions, such as: anxiety, fear, embarrassment, fear, helplessness, powerlessness, and worry.

381. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates positive human emotions, such as: affection, joy, and delight.

382. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create positive human emotions, such as: affection, joy, delight.

383. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology creates supports or allows for individual moral excellence, the collective well-being, and the practice of certain human virtues.

384. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism create supports or allows for individual moral excellence, the collective well-being, and the practice of certain human virtues.

385. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology involves the sacred and the profane.

386. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism involve the sacred and the profane.

387. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology manifest a strong system of dutiful devotions, religious beliefs and devout practices.

388. Plaintiff avers Christianity, Islam and Judaism manifest a strong system of dutiful devotions, religious beliefs, and devout practices.

389. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism reveals the institution of marriage.

390. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism recognize the “blind” and the needs of low-income people.

391. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism has leaders.

392. Plaintiff [believes] certain aspects of Taxology, like Christianity, Islam and Judaism is beyond human understanding or remains unknowable.

393. Plaintiff avers in Taxology, a strong belief in being “taxed again” is important; whereas in Christianity a strong belief in being “born-again” is important.

394. Plaintiff avers in Taxology, there is a strong belief in seeking a return from the IRS whereas in Christianity there is a strong belief in seeking a return of Jesus Christ.

395. Plaintiff avers in Taxology, there is a strong belief to make a proper return to the IRS and its path of life; whereas in Christianity there is a strong belief to see the divine return of their God thus making a complete return to his way of life, beliefs, and practices.

396. Plaintiff avers Taxology, like Hinduism consists of many diverse traditions and has no founder with a transcendent leader as a guru or sage.

397. Plaintiff avers Hinduism is a way of life, a Dharma, that is, the law that governs all favorable action.

398. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is a path of life, a code, that is, the law that governs all favorable action.

399. Plaintiff avers Hinduism is a conglomeration of religious, philosophical, and cultural ideas and practices that originated in India.

400. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology is a conglomeration of religious, philosophical, and cultural ideas and practices that originated in the USA.

401. Plaintiff [believes] [Taxology] like many religions of the past or present is a “source of revelations” serving as an “intelligent design”.

402. Plaintiff [believes] [Intellectual Tithing] advances [Taxology] as a religious activity and as a “source of revelations” serving as an “intelligent design”.

403. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Intellectual Tithing] provides a “source of revelations” not a source of revenues.

404. Defendants “intelligent design” is for the advancement in support of The Evolution of Evil and for people, as well as the Plaintiff to believe in [To LIVE as EVIL].

405. Plaintiff [believes] he is of an “intelligent design” seeking to do God’s will and his works.

Section K – Taxism – An Institutionalized Faith and Religion

406. Defendants’ actions or conduct has established an institutionalized faith and a religion of Taxism; endorsing law respecting an establishment of religion.

407. Defendants’ [Taxism] created taxation without representation.

408. Defendants’ [Taxology] advances taxation without representation.

409. Defendants’ [THE CODE] endorsed taxation without representation.

410. Defendants’ institutionalized faith predominant purpose is the promotion of religion with the primary effect of advancing it; with Defendants’ fostering an excessive entanglement with religion, because of their religious motivations or accommodations described herein.

411. Defendants’ institutionalized faith in Taxism created actual legal coercion, thereby inculcating obedience to authority by force of law and threat of penalty.

412. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have substituted public legislative authority for religious decision-making in support of an institutionalized religion, the Plaintiff calls Taxism.

413. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have substituted a public Judicial Branch authority for religiously motivated conduct in support of an institutionalized religion, Taxism.

414. Plaintiff [believes] the existence, characteristics and the institutionalized faith that is found within an institutional faith in Taxism advances Taxology.

415. Plaintiff [believes] Taxism is Defendants’ fountainhead of institutionalized faith, proselytizing a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination, creating religion, not reason.

416. Defendants’ institutionalized religion is based, in part, on the duty which we owe to our Creator, in this case Defendants creating the concept of “taxpayer” who seek a return of a contrived tax on something of value or real value.

417. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ IRS are the “creator” of an entity known as “Taxprayer”.

418. Plaintiff [believes] Taxism establishes one's views of their relations to their creator, and to the obligations they impose of reverence for a person's being and character, and of obedience to the creator's will.

419. Defendants' institutionalized religion endorses the concepts of religiosity.

420. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' vision of an institutionalized religion is in a version of its primordial faith which operates as "Revealed Religion".

421. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' institutionalized religion has the effect of endorsing, favoring, or promoting an organized religions as confirmed in 26 U.S. Code §501(c)3.

422. Defendants has endorsed an institutionalized religion to advances the common religious bonds that exist among its body of believers, followers and adherents, in support of Taxology.

423. Plaintiff [believes] the Defendants' guidance in a Mega- Church existing as a creature and creation of the government prescribed under an institutionalized religion in Taxism.

424. Defendants for over the last hundred years have held that the power to tax involves the power to destroy; that the power to destroy may defeat and render useless the power to create.

425. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the U. S. Constitution never granted any powers of taxation to allow the Defendants the power to destroy any person or citizen.

426. Defendants' Taxism being the power to contrive an income tax in [THE CODE], is the power to destroy and that this power to destroy has defeated and rendered useless the power to create sufficient revenue to pay the debts of this Nation.

427. Defendants' Institutionalized religion has the power to create many different forms of religious beliefs, practices and conviction.

428. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax refunds for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

429. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed exemptions from tax for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

430. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed exclusions from tax for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

431. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax credits for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

432. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax deductions for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

433. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax adjustments for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

434. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed tax abatements for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

435. Plaintiff avers in Art. I, Sec. 2, clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution determines the limitations of what entity shall be not taxed: i.e. ("excluding Indians not taxed,")

436. Plaintiff avers in Art. I, Sec. 2, clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution ("excluding Indians not taxed,") is exercising the same power as "tax exempt status" in support of Taxism.

437. Plaintiff avers Taxpayers not taxed is the same as "Indians not taxed".

438. Plaintiff avers Taxpayers are not the same as "Indians not taxed" however some "Indians" may be a Taxpayer.

439. Plaintiff avers Defendants' providing "tax exempt status" to other entities, organization or Taxpayers is amending the Constitution, without a constitutional amendment.

440. Plaintiff avers there are Taxpayers not being taxed because of "tax exempt status".

441. Plaintiff avers tax refunds are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch or IRS consequently tax refunds supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

442. Plaintiff avers the United States Court of Federal Claims is the proper legal authority to resolve federal taxing matters where a claim exist that money is owed.

443. Plaintiff avers exclusions from tax are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

444. Plaintiff avers tax deductions are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

445. Plaintiff avers tax credits are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

446. Plaintiff avers tax adjustments are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

447. Plaintiff avers tax abatements are not a power authorized to Congress or Executive Branch, thus this activity supports a religious practice of Taxism and Taxology.

448. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Institutionalized religion has endorsed "tax exempt status" for the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

449. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Institutionalized religion has given physical form to ecclesiastical establishments, such as IRS and Internal Revenue Service, The United States Tax Court, Office of the Taxpayer Advocate.

450. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Institutionalized religion decides who is, and who is not, sufficiently "religious" to receive "tax exempt status".

451. Plaintiff avers religiosity, in its broadest sense, is a comprehensive sociological term used to refer to the numerous aspects of religious activity, dedication, and belief (religious doctrine).

452. Plaintiff avers religiosity; with a narrowest sense, deals more with how religious a person is, and less with how a person is religious (in practicing certain rituals, retelling certain stories, revering certain symbols, or accepting certain doctrines about deities and scriptures).

453. Defendants' Institutionalized religion shapes a person's values and beliefs.

Section L – Internal Revenue Service or "IRS" – The "Service" and its mission

676. The Internal Revenue Service purports on their website: "The IRS is a bureau of the Department of the Treasury" as well as, declares themselves as "The Agency" although Service appears in their nomenclature. <http://www.irs.gov/uac/The-Agency,-its-Mission-and-Statutory-Authority>

677. Plaintiff understanding the U.S. Constitution and the general operational structure of Defendants; knowing that no government entity, whether it is a "Bureau" or an "Agency" or a "Service", can exist as the same legal entity at the same time.

678. Plaintiff [believes] the "[IRS existing as "The Bureau" + "The Agency" = "The Service"]" per se as ("[Taxing Trinity]") is Defendants' institutionalized faith of three powers in one body, being one substance, essence and nature of a usurping body of law for law respecting an establishment for organized religion.

679. TREASURY ORDER: 150-06; SUBJECT: Designation as Internal Revenue Service CANCELLATION DATE: August 22, 2005 confirmed an ultimate fact that a designation known as of "Internal Revenue Service" shall no long exist. See or more particularly described in Exhibit J - #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

680. Plaintiff [believes] Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS and its mission operates under a doctrine religious syncretism, with these above three Titles of Defendants existing as a

hybrid body of the [Taxing Trinity] being similar as or to the Christian doctrine (The Holy Trinity).

681. The Internal Revenue Service currently identifies itself with or is generally known by the common usage of three capitalized letters of its nomenclature, “IRS”.

682. Defendants have control and jurisdiction over all the activities of the Internal Revenue Service or the activities of the IRS and its mission, officially acting ultra vires, outside their authority or jurisdiction.

683. The Defendants have declared the true genesis of the IRS and its mission began in the year of “1646” which is prior to or before this Nation was even established in 1776. See “IRS Historical Studies” U.S. Government Printing Office- 1993 – 339-204/30360.

684. Defendants’ conduct as seen through the actions of the IRS “reemphasizing the ‘service’ in the IRS name” has endorsed an Internal Religious Service, currently seen as the IRS.

685. Plaintiff [believes] these three capitalized letters, “IRS”; stand for the Internal Religious Service being a religious organization, order and a religious assembly and service.

686. Plaintiff [believes] this because The Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service has declared their genesis of religious beliefs, the events of a religious/secular order, forming an institutionalized faith for a “service” as written in publication #1694 (12-92) catalog # 15087N “IRS Historical Fact Book: A Chronology 1646-1992”.

687. The IRS website affirms this belief. “How well do you really know us? We may just surprise you, because we’re more than just the nation’s tax collection agency.” See <http://jobs.irs.gov/about/about.html>

688. The IRS has operated under a large host of secular identities since the Civil War, such as the “Collector of Revenue” or “Collector” or “U.S. Internal Revenue” or “United States Internal Revenue” or “Bureau of Internal Revenue; however now operates as a religious service under the letters of “IRS” or a religious entity as the Internal Revenue Service.

689. Plaintiff avers Defendants have declared the IRS mission is in raising revenues along with the hopes, dreams and desires of Taxpayers. "Our top priority is putting the interests of the taxpayers first, and this is spelled out simply and clearly in the mission statement." <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-news/ir-98-59.pdf>

690. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates “putting the interests of the taxpayers first” has nothing to do with the power or procedures to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source.

691. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates "the interests of the taxpayers" has nothing to do with the power or procedures set forth in the U.S. Constitution.

692. The entity "taxpayers" has nothing to do with the power or procedures to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source.

693. Defendant' IRS has pronounced "Understanding the 21st Century Taxpayer" at the "IRS Nationwide 2008 Tax Forum"

https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/understanding_21st_century_taxpayer.pdf

694. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the "IRS Nationwide 2008 Tax Forum" with the subject matter "Understanding the 21st Century Taxpayer" is advancing [Theology Forum] for an organized religion and it's Orthodoxy.

695. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Theology Forum] is advanced through [Intellectual Tithing].

696. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the IRS or [IRS] mission statement advances the beliefs in an organized religion.

697. Plaintiff avers the "The mission statement will be a reminder that we must be dedicated on a day-in, day-out basis to serving taxpayers. This is just one of the steps that we need to take." <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-news/ir-98-59.pdf>

698. Plaintiff [believes] serving taxpayer is the same as serving taxprayers.

699. Plaintiff avers Defendants legislation required the IRS "to review and restate its mission to place a greater emphasis on serving the public and meeting taxpayers' needs." <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-news/ir-98-59.pdf>

700. Plaintiff avers the IRS has failed to collect the proper amount of tax revenue or "to apply the law fairly to all" or "enforce the law with integrity and fairness to all." for the reasons stated in this [OVC].

701. Plaintiff [believes] the [IRS] or IRS as their letters now refer as or to their way in promoting Defendants' religions and to convert people in their beliefs, or their attempt to convert people to a different point of view, religious or not.

702. Plaintiff avers the Defendants' IRS has expressed its own point of view with taxpayer and this Plaintiff as if the IRS' point of view or opinions is the same as law.

703. Plaintiff [believes] for moral and religious reasons, the [IRS] has decreed "Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision." as a hybrid religious per se ("[Creed]"). http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/strategic_plan_05-09.pdf. or as cited in (IRS publication 3744 rev. 6-2004).

704. Plaintiff avers “Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.” has nothing to do with the power or procedures to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source.

705. The Plaintiff avers the IRS’ core values guide a path to achieving a vision of a foundation involving religion, not reason; thus achieving taxation without representation, while proselytizing a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination as set forth or described herein.

706. Plaintiff avers the Defendants continue to change the Title name (“EACH YEAR”) of the tax code, from the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 to the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, in support of taxation without representation.

707. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as revenue laws or deficiency bills is based on taxation with representation.

708. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as revenue laws or deficiency bills is based on those elected members of Congress who were elected by a constituency of the time they were pass by Congress.

709. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as tax laws are not or the same as revenue laws or deficiency bills.

710. Plaintiff avers revenue laws or deficiency bills meets the requirements of Article 1, Section 8, Clause 1 “to pay the Debts” of the United States.

711. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates legislative bills indicated as tax laws requires taxation with representation.

712. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [THE WORDS] is not or fails to advance taxation with representation.

713. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation with representation is a constitutional right of association of a person or any person or the Plaintiff.

714. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation with representation is a requirement and not an option of good government.

715. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation without representation is conducted by the IRS.

716. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates taxation without representation is conducted by the Internal Revenue Service.

717. Plaintiff avers taxation without representation is conducted by the Defendants.

718. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates taxation without representation is an evil against the U.S. Constitution.

719. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates tax laws are design for the laying and collecting taxes are for the governmental administration of such matters and has nothing to do with this Plaintiff or any U.S. Citizen.

720. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates tax laws are design for governmental employees, not customers, citizens, a person or business who pays taxes, including but not limited to taxpayers.

721. Plaintiff avers Revenue bill or deficiency bill that becomes law is taxation with representation.

722. Plaintiff avers IRS' core values created a modern day hybrid religion, not reason, with the motivation using their standards, morals, ethics, principles, tenets, and beliefs in creating revelations, not revenues.

723. Plaintiff avers the IRS' established a path from 1865 to the present day of taxation without representation existing as the foundation for all that our nation is capable of concerning their vision in an identity religion, using religious activities or other essential religious endeavors as goals of the IRS.

724. The IRS website reemphasizing this. "IRS Careers. The IRS is the foundation for all that our nation is capable of — and we're depending on bright, capable citizens like you to achieve our goals." See <http://jobs.irs.gov/>

725. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the IRS was not never authorized with any power to be "the foundation for all that our nation is capable of".

726. Plaintiff avers the IRS should not become "the foundation for all that our nation is capable of" however the IRS has become the foundation for all that our nation is capable of.

727. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates that Plaintiff should not have to establish any goals of the IRS.

728. Plaintiff avers he does not work for the IRS; however is a capable citizen to achieve his goals, if this Court protect and defends his free exercise of First Amendment rights.

729. Plaintiff avers he has been unable to achieve his goals for reasons and the causes of action set forth in this [OVC].

730. Plaintiff avers the true or lawful foundation for all that our nation is capable of was established in the Declaration of Independence, the U.S. Constitution, and the Bill of Rights.

731. The Plaintiff avers The Founding Fathers and Framers of the Constitution believed in “No Taxation without Representation”.

732. “No taxation without representation” refers to the slogan from 1750s and 1760s that encapsulates the prime grievance of the Thirteen Colonies. It is actually part of a sermon given by Jonathan Mayhew in 1750.

733. Plaintiff avers the IRS foundation for all that our nation is capable of is based on their religion, not reason for an organized religion and a hybrid dynamic institutionalized faith creating new demand for service.

734. The IRS website reemphasizing this: “The IRS’ vision statement anticipates a dynamic world filled with new demands for service.” http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/strategic_plan_05-09.pdf

735. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates IRS’ vision is not his vision for him or a Nation to be governed by the U.S. Constitution and its Amendments.

736. Plaintiff avers he has been unable to achieve his vision for reasons and the causes of action set forth in this [OVC].

737. Plaintiff avers the three capitalized letters; “IRS” as well as, Internal Revenue Service were never authorized or created by an Act of Congress or by an executive order issued by any U.S. President.

738. Plaintiff avers the Internal Revenue Service was created by an act of faith and with the stroke of a pen when the Secretary of the Treasury, G.M. Humphrey who changed the name of the Bureau of Internal Revenue, when he issued Treasury Order No. 150-06 on July 9, 1953.

739. Treasury Order (No. 150-06 of July 9, 1953) was confirmed by Treasury Decision (TD) #6038, and in a memorandum dated June 18th, 1953, from the Commissioner of Internal Revenue to the Honorable George W. Humphrey, Secretary of the Treasury.

740. The memorandum dated June 18th, 1953, declares in part: “The accompanying proposed Treasury Department Order, which is submitted for your signature, has been prepared for the purpose of changing the name of the Bureau of Internal Revenue to the Internal Revenue Service. It seems to me that there is some real practical psychological value to be derived from the substitution of the word “Service” for “Bureau.”

741. Treasury Order 150-06, dated July 9, 1953, entitled "Designation as Internal Revenue Service," states in part: "The Bureau of Internal Revenue shall hereafter be known as the Internal Revenue Service." however was suspiciously cancelled on August 22, 2005 by the Department Of The Treasury for no listed reason(s) and providing no other name. see <http://www.treasury.gov/about/role-of-treasury/orders-directives/Pages/to150-06.aspx>

742. The entity known as IRS or the Internal Revenue Service is not described, created or authorized in, Title 31 U.S. Code, Chapter 3 - DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY; SUBCHAPTER I—ORGANIZATION (§§ 301–315) and/or SUBCHAPTER II—ADMINISTRATIVE (§§ 321–333).

743. Plaintiff avers an entity known as IRS or Internal Revenue Service proselytizes a taxing environment, culture or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of indoctrination in religious belief and practice, creating religion, not reason.

744. Plaintiff avers neither entity, the IRS or Internal Revenue Service is authorized or created by an Act of Congress as an “agency” or as “bureau” pursuant to the law and the official record in Title 5 U.S. Code - Government Organization and Employees.

745. These two governmental entities of Defendants are motivated by religious syncretism for a continuing pattern & practice that constitutes an endorsement of religion or supports other religious assemblies and activities; with the secular purpose or genuine power to lay and collect taxes on incomes being merely secondary to their religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience.

746. The Internal Revenue Service has decreed the IRS with a special religious insignia

seen as 

747. Plaintiff avers the Internal Revenue Service with an IRS Headquarters at the New Carrollton Federal Building, 5000 Ellin Rd., Lanham, MD 20706, has two very large “Twin Pillars” each having a large “hand” set on top of theses pillars with a fateful pyramid position at the entrance of the Federal building conveying a massive amount of religious symbolism and message. See Exhibit H- #7, [WHATEVER] – An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS.

748. Plaintiff [believes] the entrance to this Federal building is being spiritually guarded by an IRS Deity, symbolized by these two black and white pillars or columns made of a quality marble, each topped with a large white hand.

749. Plaintiff [believes] these “Two Hands” and their columns are a strong iconic religious display of the IRS’s deity, of which the Plaintiff perceives as: [WHATEVER] presents as the omnipresent mind, and as a distinguished perception of a Deity or God of the IRS.

750. Plaintiff [believes] this IRS worshiped deity [WHATEVER] has produced an IRS dogma of THEIRS, F.E.A.R = False Evidence Appearing Real; thereby creating human fear in everything it touches. This kind of fear allows for easy indoctrination of IRS core values.

751. Plaintiff [believes] this image of [WHATEVER] is a sacred property of the Defendants protected on Federal property in violation of the Establishment Clause.

752. Plaintiff [believes] the [WHATEVER] endorses a stream of consciousness created by the Defendants to illustrate the multitudinous thoughts and feelings which pass through the human mind involving a taxing environment and its religious activities or endorsements.

753. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [WHATEVER] endorses a stream of consciousness so Defendants can manifest "[Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice]" per se as ("[Burdens]").

754. Plaintiff avers [Burdens] delay, defeat or disenfranchise or destroy First Amendment free exercise rights of the Plaintiff and his spiritual followers like Mark Van Der Leest.

755. Defendants' IRS has decreed: Time + Money = Burdens.
https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/reducing_taxpayer_burden.pdf

756. Plaintiff avers IRS' Burdens cannot encumber, tax, impeded, or infringe on a person or the Plaintiff's First Amendment freedoms, rights or liberty interests.

757. Since the dawn of civilization, the entrances of sacred and mysterious places have been guarded by two pillars. Whether in art or in architecture, twin pillars are archetypal symbols representing an important gateway or passage towards the unknown or the enlightenment.

758. Symbolically, going beyond the Pillars of Hercules meant leaving the foulness of the material world to reach a higher realm of enlightenment. Renaissance tradition says the pillars bore the warning Nec plus ultra (also Non plus ultra, "nothing further beyond"), serving as a warning to sailors and navigators to go no further.

759. Between Defendants' Twin Pillars is a dark pyramid with a gold looking metallic capstone.

760. This dark pyramid on which is written "We the People" with an alleged constitution engraved on it four side has no Bill of Rights listed, symbolically making the Bill of Rights disappear.

761. Defendants' Twin pillars and pyramids can be found in the symbolic customs of many religious traditions and esoteric orders in Egypt created for their Temple of their Gods and leaders.

762. Plaintiff [believes] two pillars located at a gateway of a structure are often depicted in esoteric symbolism; as an entry to hidden knowledge that permits the balance between opposite forces.

763. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Twin Pillars and their Hands, as well as a pyramid with "We the People" written on it; envisions religious duality and the union of opposites; the sum of esoteric knowledge and the balance between extremes.

764. Sharply contrasting with the rest of the building, each pillar is comprised of exactly 13 stripes; each is composed of alternating bands of black granite and white marble, a pattern evoking the hermetic concept of duality, as the creative force in manifestation between the benevolent and the malignant.

765. The most striking elements are the huge, white marble hands atop each column. Each hand points skyward, one with the forefinger extended; the other is an open hand, the fingers ever so slightly cupped; as if one was making a sworn oath.

766. The cupped hand is also a sign of allegiance, existing as a sign and warning of loyalty or commitment of a subordinate to a superior or of an individual to a group or cause.

767. Plaintiff [believes] that both Hands, one being a right hand and the other a left hand represent the religious duality the Defendants religions (Taxology & Taxism) in support of their religious activities and institutionalized faith.

768. Plaintiff avers on the columns of these Hands, has other secular or esoteric messages and religious meanings fostering an excessive entanglement with religion, because of their religious motivations or accommodations.

769. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates the Church of Taxology has created the physical presence of their deity their [WHATEVER] to remind everyone or “any person” that the Defendants can endorse and practice law respecting an establishment of religion.

770. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS and their IRS’s deity, the [WHATEVER] is very powerful on Earth, as the Plaintiff has witnessed people’s life, liberties and their pursuits of happiness being destroyed by the IRS through the power of whatever that say tax law is.

771. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS and their IRS’s deity, the [WHATEVER] can make any person be defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded or deprived of [LLP] with law respecting an establishment of religion.

772. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS’s deity, the [WHATEVER] is not a God of reason, but a God for a modern day hybrid religion designed for the context of uncertainty surrounding all human endeavors.

773. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ actions have transformed the sensibility of human experience; converting the human spirit and transcends two governmental entities, who arranges for the construction of a monument, and does so, because it wishes to convey some thought or instill some feeling in those who see the structure.

774. The IRS esoteric order charts a course that preserve[s] autonomy for their religious bodies, with the duality and the union of opposites seen when looking at the “IRS” as being in one hand and in the other hand the “Internal Revenue Service” (IRS & Internal Revenue Service).

775. The IRS esoteric order charts a course that preserve[s] autonomy for their religious bodies, with the duality and the union of opposites seen when looking at “The Agency” as being in one hand and in the other hand the “Bureau” (Agency & Bureau).

776. The IRS esoteric order charts a course that preserve[s] autonomy for their religious bodies, with the duality and the union of opposites seen when looking at “Religion” as being in one hand and in the other hand the “Law” (Religion & Law).

777. Plaintiff avers the right hand with the raised index finger embodies the Act of Faith in IRS power for a service to create the will to believe in “The Agency” and in an organized religion of THEIRS.

778. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the left hand with an open palm exemplifies a sworn oath to an organized religion which has prescribed an institutionalized faith when believing in the Internal Revenue Service, as a Bureau and their law respecting an establishment of religion.

779. The fateful pyramid position in the gateway of the Internal Revenue Service or the IRS Federal Building is a matter of function, being the same reason why the Ancient Egyptians Built Pyramids – a matter of Religion, fundamental to their religious beliefs.

780. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants’ institutionalized religion (Taxism) secures a home for the body and soul of “WE THE PEOPLE” while building a mountain of debt, seen as a strong iconic function as the future of a present day pyramid scheme.

781. Plaintiff avers the IRS website reemphasizing: “We’re an organization that has been part of events that have helped shape the nation we serve. And, though our history can show you where we’ve been, we’re always focused on the future.” <http://jobs.irs.gov/about/about.html>

782. Plaintiff avers the Internal Revenue Service is a religious institution and the IRS is its religious service creating a "fusion" of a governmental body and religious objectives, both substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

783. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants publications listed on the IRS web site at: <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsPublications.html> is religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

784. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants Forms and Instructions listed on the IRS web site at: <http://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/formsInstructions.html> is religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

785. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS’ realm and its religion is practicing its customary providence within a jurisdiction of sacred ground, or place, Plaintiff or others call the human mind.

786. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Tower of Babel is the IRS Headquarters, producing the confusion in language and the meaning of words, located at 5000 Ellin Rd., Lanham, MD 20706.

787. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices is rooted in a hierarchy vs. authority.

788. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' religious formation is the Collective Experience for the Church of Taxology and the Temple of Taxism.

789. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Road to Perdition is the failure to obey Taxism or follow the paths of Taxology.

790. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Hybrid Congregation is a body of believers made up of; taxpayers, taxprayers, customers, stakeholders, private shareholder, or any person including but not limited to tax-exempt organization more particularly described in Exhibit K- #27 The Hybrid Congregation of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

791. Plaintiff [believes] the Believers of THEIRS is any person as define by [THE CODE] or declared as a taxpayer by the IRS; who believe in, practices or makes a proper return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices more particularly described in Exhibit K- #14, Believers of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

792. Plaintiff [believes] IRS has prosecuted Non-believers aka nontaxpayers more particularly described in Exhibit K- #28 nontaxpayers attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

793. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' adherents are enrolled agents, tax preparation business or tax practitioners more particularly described in Exhibit K- #26 Adherents of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

794. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' non-adherents are nontaxpayers who are classified as a non-filer more particularly described in Exhibit K- #29 U.S. classified as a non-filer attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

795. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' T.R.U.E. Believers in Taxism are U.S. Tax Court Petitioners more particularly described in Exhibit K- #15 U.S. Tax Court Petitioners attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

796. Plaintiff [believes] the Followers of IRS Faith are IRS employees who get bonus or owe back taxes to the IRS or take the 5th Amendment knowing they violated laws more particularly described in Exhibit K- #19 IRS Employees attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

797. Plaintiff [believes] the Supporters of IRS Faith are IRS volunteers more particularly described in Exhibit K- #20 The Blind Leading the Blind (VITA) attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

798. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Chosen people or persons are tax-exempt organizations more particularly described in Exhibit K- #17 Chosen Taxprayers of Taxology attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

799. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' sinners are Tax Cheats pursuant to IRS standards of behavior.

800. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Apostate or spiritual being is IRS Non-Filer.

801. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' clergy, minister, cleric are Taxing professionals.

802. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' servants are the persons who handle Tax Counseling for the Elderly (TCE) sites.

803. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Medicine man is a criminal lawyer handling IRS criminal prosecution.

804. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' heretic is any person who refuses to be called a taxpayer.

805. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' nonconformist is a person who becomes a tax protestor.

806. Plaintiff [believes] a Judas of the IRS' is an "Illegal Tax Protestor".

807. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Oracles are Judges of United States Tax Court.

808. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Sage or Tax guru is the Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

809. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' New Age Prophets are CPA Tax advisors revealing the greatest ways in making profits, while developing a rich understanding of losing your soul in the modern age.

810. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' False Prophets are CPA Tax advisors who were indicted for providing the corrupt persuasions of tax shelters and are the false prophets of our modern age.

811. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' zealots are IRS Revenue Agents.

812. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' evangelists are IRS Stakeholders & Partners.

813. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' witnesses are "IRS Presenters".

814. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' dogmatists are IRS Tax auditors

815. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' disciples are Members of Taxpayer Advocacy Panel (75 citizen volunteers).

816. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' missionaries are Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) Case officers.

817. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' converts are Taxprayers.

818. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Taxprayers are customers or any person who accepts or makes a return to an IRS and path of life, beliefs and practices.

819. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Devoted Minions of THEIRS are Taxprayers who have no other religious beliefs or a religion, other than Taxology

820. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS Overlord is The Commissioner of Internal Revenue (or IRS Commissioner).

821. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Neophyte or New Convert is any person filling out a Form W-4, Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate.

822. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' martyrs are Tea Party Patriots punished or denied certain status because of their political or religious beliefs.

823. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Followers of the Fountainhead of Faith are approx. 2.5 million Federal government employees, 3.5 million state government employees and 10 million local government employees and 92,000 people who are IRS Tax Volunteers.

824. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Infidels are tax protestors who are taxpayers.

825. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Religious symbol or sign is: "\$".

826. Plaintiff [believes] the latest IRS' sacrificial lamb is Steven Miller, an interim commissioner of the IRS.

827. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Idol as the Worship of Money is the personification of Mammon more particularly described in Exhibit H- #5 [Mammon] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

828. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' religious symbolism of their Deity and sacred pillars are the Two Pillars of Duality revealing the Two Hands of the [WHATEVER] in front of a Pyramid with "We the People" engraved on it.

829. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Unworldly Zeal or Religious Fervor of THEIRS is Time + Money = Burdens.

830. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Temple Currency are Tax credits.

831. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' atonement offerings are estimate tax payments made to the IRS.

832. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Raised Altar is built as mountain of debt, witnessed as public debt or a national debt.

833. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Virtual Altar for their body of believers is seen as the U. S. Debt Clock <http://www.usdebtclock.org/>.

834. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Canon law is the Internal Revenue Code per se as IRC.

835. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Canon of Scripture is 26 CFR - Code of Federal Regulations - Title 26: Internal Revenue.

836. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Faith is an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

837. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Spirit is The Spirit of Contemplation.

838. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Piety is "The Will to Believe".

839. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Soul is the personification of the [Worshipship].

840. Plaintiff [believes] the Seven Deadly Tax Sins of Taxology are wrath, greed, sloth, vanity, lust, envy, and gluttony revealed through the revelations of Tax Audit Red Flags; more particularly described in Exhibit M- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

841. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Saints are spiritless persons reduced to a form of property to be ruled and regulated by IRS.

842. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Articles of Faith are IRS Publications.

843. Plaintiff [believes] one of the IRS' dogma is "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".

844. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Bible is the Internal Revenue Manuel.

845. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' sacred or consecrated day is April 15.

846. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' temptations of taxpayers or taxprayers are cash businesses, sin taxes, and tax loopholes.

847. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Original Sin of Taxology is the collective guilt conceived in failing to make a return to the IRS.

848. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Promised Land is found in Heavenly Tax Havens, located in Switzerland and The Cayman Islands.

849. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' Ultimate Reality is "reality as-it-is" - transcends the material world involving anything tangible or intangible that is capable of being owned or controlled to produce value.

850. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Ultimate Concern is Taxpayer's Burdens.

851. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Prosperity Theology is: You measure your self-worth by your net worth.

852. Plaintiff [believes] Taxism's Ordained Gospel is Tax Exempt Status (TES).

853. Plaintiff [believes] Taxology's Prosperity Gospel is a "Health and Wealth Gospel"

854. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Gospel is the "Name it and Claim it" doctrine.

855. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Revivalism Doctrine is the "Lost and Found" scriptures of past tax returns, unclaimed refunds & undeliverable refunds.

856. Plaintiff [believes] being "born again" through the IRS is paying estate taxes through a Death Tax.

857. Plaintiff [believes] The Collective Experience Mission of Taxism is a spiritual cleansing of persons by taking personal assets & creating values through quid pro quo contributions.

858. Plaintiff [believes] Tax Season's Silver Lining is gratification.

859. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Living Hell is going to jail for a debt of alleged taxes owed when no one individual here on earth can explain or remember [THE CODE] as written.

860. Plaintiff [believes] Burnt offerings to IRS are Federal tax deposits to be consumed by debt.

861. Plaintiff [believes] IRS's Purgatory on Earth is the legal limbo by reading 60,000 IRS documents after tax lien have been filed pursuant to IRC § 6322.

862. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS' rapture or reckoning is criminal prosecutions pursuant to [THE CODE].

863. Plaintiff [believes] mystical powers of the IRS are practicing usurpation.

864. Plaintiff [believes] IRS superstitions are Frivolous Tax Arguments (Listed on IRS website site).

865. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS [Confession] is equivalent one's voluntary compliance.
866. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Statement of Faith is when taxpayers make an amended return to an IRS path of life, beliefs and practices.
867. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' revivalism is the "name-it-and-claim-it" doctrine
868. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Kabbalah is Internal Revenue Bulletin (I.R.B.) & Cumulative Bulletin. ("Kabbalah" is an esoteric method, discipline, and school of thought)
869. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' contemplative prayers are proposed regulations.
870. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS religious cleansing involves only rich people with assets.
871. Plaintiff [believes] IRS exorcism involves evicting the spirit of a person's personal constitution.
872. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Anointing is creating tax-exempt organizations categories and churches.
873. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious conversion for a religious experience is practiced by converting taxpayers into taxpayers.
874. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious transformation is practiced by converting employees into human capital or U.S. citizen into customers.
875. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious exchange is practiced by converting small business owners into stakeholders.
876. Plaintiff [believes] IRS decree conversion is practiced by converting "revenue acts" into or the same as "tax law".
877. Plaintiff [believes] IRS moral conversion is the beliefs in worship converted into the practice of [Worthship].
878. Plaintiff [believes] rite of passage or Coming of age is obtaining an IRS tax number and finding your first job.
879. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS is speaking in tongues as the Internal Revenue Code has been amended over 10, 0000 times.
880. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' prophecy is Private Letter Rulings.
881. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Inquisitorial Power is practiced in accordance with the Tax Division Judgment Collection Manual.

882. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' Alternative Worship Service is in Belief-O-Matic.

883. Plaintiff [believes] the IRS practice of the Laying on of Hands is witnessed through Delegation Orders that cause people effect by such order to seek god to protect them.

884. Plaintiff [believes] the Central Tenet of the IRS is Defendants' Doctrine of Exchange of tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements.

885. Plaintiff [believes] religious teachings & IRS catechisms are IRS Publications and Internal Revenue Bulletin.

886. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' Liturgy is "The Service" offers a particular arrangement of services.

887. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS' religious custom are IRS' Instructions acting as a shepherd.

888. Plaintiff [believes] the principal Tenet of the IRS is [Auditing].

889. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Auditing] which are employed to advance [Taxology] system of beliefs.

890. [THE CODE] is advanced by [Auditing] by their terms, purport to regulate the time, place, and manner of expressive or communicative conduct,

891. [Auditing] that compels allegiance to a particular sect or [Creed].

892. [Auditing] of which engages in legislative favoritism.

893. [Auditing] that allows one religious denomination to be officially preferred over another.

894. Plaintiff avers Plaintiff's right of association were ensnared in [Auditing] which, by Defendants broad sweep, might result in burdening innocent associations.

895. Plaintiff [believes] sowing your seed is done through Withholdings tax or Payroll withholding.

896. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Partners Prayer Pledge program is Quarterly Estimates, similar to Trinity Broadcast Network Partners Prayer Pledge program.

897. Plaintiff [believes] religious submission is the filing of tax forms with the IRS.

898. Plaintiff [believes] IRS obedience is being a 'witness' to [THE CODE] or until another verse of the code is rewritten or amended.

899. Plaintiff [believes] IRS forms for [Worthship] are Internal Revenue Service tax forms.

900. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Church stewardship & tithing is founded upon “The federal income tax is a pay-as-you-go tax.”

901. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS witch hunts are IRS Scandals or searches for tax dodgers.

902. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming a “[U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040]” per se as (“[Form 1040]”), for the establishments and/or endorsements of its religious effects.

903. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS’ Covenant is [Form 1040].

904. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS’ Covenant is a Form 1040 Amended Tax Return.

905. Plaintiff avers that [Form 1040] is properly classified as private speech in a public forum.

906. Plaintiff [believes] that [Form 1040] is properly classified as private speech creating or allowing [Theology Forum].

907. Plaintiff avers that [Form 1040] is properly classified as [Protected Speech] for purposes of this case creating or allowing [Theology Forum].

908. Plaintiff [believes] that [Form 1040] is properly classified as religious speech and belief for purposes of this case creating or allowing [Theology Forum].

909. Plaintiff [believes] IRS forgiveness: “will help taxpayers and give them a fresh start” see Mortgage Debt Relief Act of 2007 and "Fresh Start" program.

910. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Dogma is the act of attempting to convert people to another religion or opinion.

911. Plaintiff [believes] IRS bell ringing is IRS Information Collection Requests (ICRs)—A form, letter, notice, or other document used to request necessary information and Document Perfection Requests (DPRs) without OMB numbers.

912. Plaintiff [believes] the most common salvation is found by the Defendants’ IRS providing mediation programs.

913. Plaintiff [believes] the most common deliverance is found by the Defendants’ IRS providing tax deductions.

914. Plaintiff [believes] the most common redemption is found by the Defendants’ IRS providing tax adjustments

915. Plaintiff [believes] the most common redemption is found by the Defendants’ IRS providing tax abatements.

916. Plaintiff [believes] the most common deliverance is found by the Defendants' IRS providing tax incentives.

917. Plaintiff [believes] the most unique IRS' miracle was witnessed in the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP).

918. Plaintiff [believes] the most distinctive deliverance is found by the Defendants' IRS providing Taxpayer Bailouts of Companies too Big to Fail.

919. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the collective experiences from the most common redemption, salvation or deliverance provided by Defendants' IRS advances an organized religion and it's Orthodoxy.

920. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sermons are the oral guidance from IRS Followers.

921. Plaintiff [believes] a Ghost tax return is the Taxing Spirit of F.E.A.R. (False Evidence Appearing Real).

922. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates a Form 1040 Amended Tax Return established by the IRS is the Taxing Spirit of F.E.A.R. (False Evidence Appearing Real).

923. Defendants IRS has used the term ghost tax return to inspire or coercing any person into compliance with beliefs or opinions of the IRS.

924. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Ghost tax returns are [Government Speech].

925. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Ghost tax returns advances an organized religion.

926. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Ghost tax returns is a common form of IRS indoctrination.

927. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] Ghost tax returns creates compelled associations.

928. Plaintiff [believes] Ghost tax returns supports [To LIVE as EVIL].

929. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] Ghost tax returns principles, its compelled associations, and religious composition of government-sponsored speech, or its displays violates his First Amendment rights of religion, speech, conscience, association, protest or petition

930. Plaintiff [believes] Withholding Agent of IRS (clothed in righteousness or apostolic garb) is the same as Matthew 9.9 as stated in Holy Bible.

931. Plaintiff [believes] IRS religious reconciliation is Offer in compromise, Form 565 efforts to reconcile, rebuild, and resolve conflict.

932. Plaintiff [believes] IRS atonement or repentance is tax penalty and interests made by taxpayers or taxprayers.

933. Plaintiff [believes] ecclesiastical formularies are IRS FORMS.

934. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Public Charity".

935. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Private Foundation".

936. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Social Welfare Organization".

937. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of a Labor Organization".

938. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Life Cycle of an Agricultural or Horticultural Organization".

939. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "Cycle of a Business League (Trade Association)".

940. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' The Life Cycle Series - "Get Right With Your Taxes" Brochure, Publication 4925 and Publication 4924 (4-2011) Catalog Number 57505G.

941. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' "The Life Cycle Series - from birth through childhood" "A series of informational publications designed to educate taxpayers about the tax impact of significant life events."

942. Plaintiff [believes] religious observances are established in IRS' Outreach programs: The IRS Volunteer Income Tax Assistance (VITA) and the Tax Counseling for the Elderly (TCE) Programs offer free tax help to those who qualify.

943. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Religious Rites of Taxprayers is the "Taxpayer Bill of Rights".

944. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Heresy is determined by IRC §7201. Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.

945. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Road to Perdition is determined by IRC § 6672. Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax, or Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.

946. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Transgression is determined by IRC §7202. Willful Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax.

947. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sabbath breaking is determined by IRC §7203. Willful Failure to File Return, Supply Information, or Pay Tax.

948. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Eternal Sin is determined by IRC §7204. Fraudulent Statement or Failure to Make Statement to Employees.

949. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Shunning is determined by IRC §7205. Fraudulent Withholding Exemption Certificate or Failure to Supply Information.

950. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Inquisition is determined by IRC §7206. Fraud and False Statements.

951. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Crisis of faith is determined by IRC §7207. Fraudulent Returns, Statements, or Other Documents.

952. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Blasphemy is determined by IRC §7210. Failure to Obey Summons.

953. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sorcery is determined by IRC §7212. Attempts to Interfere with Administration of Internal Revenue Laws.

954. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Excommunication is determined by IRC §7215. Offenses with Respect to Collected Taxes.

955. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Judgment Day is determined by IRC § 6203 - Method of Assessment.

956. Plaintiff [believes] IRS ritual purification is determined by IRC § 6325 - Release of Lien or Discharge of Property

957. Plaintiff [believes] IRS ritual purification is determined by IRC § 6331 - Levy and Distraint.

958. Plaintiff [believes] IRS ritual purification is determined by IRC § 6321. Lien for Taxes.

959. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Sacred Enumeration is determined by IRC § 6334. - Property Exempt from Levy.

960. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Purgatory is determined by IRC § 6322 - Period of Tax Lien.

961. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Tell-evangelism is conducted by NOTICE 1219- A Notice of Potential Third-Party Contact.

962. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS organization's religious decision-making help shaped their beliefs by focusing on the future of religiosity and not on the future of revenues.

963. Plaintiff [believes] when any person makes a return to the IRS path of life, belief and practices; becomes an event horizon; only for a Taxpayer to be judged later by the IRS through their examinations, audits or other essential religious endeavors.

964. Plaintiff avers within these two religious legalized pillars, (Taxology) and (Taxism) is the surreal vision and statement of beliefs of the [IRS] or the Internal Revenue Service which provides religious advice and proscribes a religious message concerning personal values, marriage and children.

965. Plaintiff avers the IRS allows for certain exemptions from a tax because its concern is not about collecting revenue, but taxing the human spirit by determining what is valuable.

966. Plaintiff avers the IRS believes “Exemptions reduce your taxable income.” <http://www.irs.gov/uac/Six-Important-Facts-about-Dependents-and-Exemptions-1>

967. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, such as your support for a spouse.

968. Plaintiff avers the IRS believes “Your spouse is never considered your dependent.” <http://www.irs.gov/uac/Six-Important-Facts-about-Dependents-and-Exemptions-1>

969. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, such as a stillborn child.

970. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that “You cannot claim an exemption for a stillborn child.” <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p501.pdf>

971. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, or personal liberty such as your religion or personal beliefs in what constructs a family.

972. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, or personal liberty such as right of [conscience] concerning an exemption for your child if you meet all of the listed requirements.

973. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, or personal liberty such as who is the Head of Household.

974. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines who can qualify to be the head of a household.

975. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that “You may qualify to file as head of household even though you do not claim an exemption for your child if you meet all of the following requirements:”

<http://www.irs.gov/Help-&-Resources/Tools-&-FAQs/FAQs-for-Individuals/Frequently-Asked-Tax-Questions-&-Answers/Filing-Requirements,-Status,-Dependents,-Exemptions/Filing-Status/Filing-Status-3>

976. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person's life, such as marriage.

977. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that "You do not qualify for head of household filing status because you were not unmarried or considered unmarried at the end of the tax year."

<http://www.irs.gov/Help-&-Resources/Tools-&-FAQs/FAQs-for-Individuals/Frequently-Asked-Tax-Questions-&-Answers/Filing-Requirements,-Status,-Dependents,-Exemptions/Filing-Status/Filing-Status>.

978. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person's life, or pursuit of happiness such as involving a child.

979. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person's life, or [conscience] such as obeying a court order.

980. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines that "A court order cannot determine who may claim a dependency exemption for a child. A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law."

<http://www.irs.gov/Help-&-Resources/Tools-&-FAQs/FAQs-for-Individuals/Frequently-Asked-Tax-Questions-&-Answers/Filing-Requirements,-Status,-Dependents,-Exemptions/Dependents-&-Exemptions/Dependents-&-Exemptions-7>

981. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law is germane evidence of [THE CODE] exists as law respecting an establishment of religion.

982. Plaintiff [believes] A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law is religious dogma of Taxology and [Taxism].

983. Plaintiff avers a court order can supersede the Defendants' tax laws or actions herein.

984. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates "A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law." violates the U.S. Supreme Court the Separation of Powers Doctrine.

985. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates "A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law." makes Plaintiff's [OVC] meaningless.

986. A court order can supersede a usurping body of law in [THE CODE] or [THE WORDS].

987. Plaintiff avers he has free exercise right to protest Federal tax law.

988. Plaintiff avers he has free exercise right to petition the government for a redress of grievances involving Federal tax law.

989. Plaintiff avers his free exercise right to protest is infringed on because: “A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law.”

990. Plaintiff avers his free exercise right to petition the government for a redress of grievances is infringed on when “A court order cannot supersede the Federal tax law.”

991. A federal court order issued by this court can supersede the Federal tax law.

992. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates a court order should be able to supersede Federal tax law.

993. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving a person’s life, liberty and pursuit of happiness or [conscience] because “Federal tax law” suppresses a court order.

994. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS is discretionary governmental powers in a religious body.

995. Plaintiff avers the wall of separation between “Church and State” is substantially breached by vesting discretionary governmental powers in religious bodies.

996. Because Defendants’ IRS shall determine what is truly valuable involving Plaintiff’s life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness, he was compelled not to have a child of his own blood in this life.

997. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS Will power of THEIRS prevailed over Plaintiff free will and free exercise right to father a child.

998. Plaintiff avers the IRS determines what is truly valuable involving Plaintiff’s life, liberty and pursuit of happiness as set forth in this [OVC].

999. Plaintiff avers the IRS’ actions and activities as set forth in this [OVC] determined for the Plaintiff his free exercise of [LLP].

Section M – The Church of Taxology - Internal Revenue Service

1000. Defendants’ conduct has endorsed, and their activities have created the Church of Taxology currently operating as the entity known as, the Internal Revenue Service.

1001. Defendants’ Internal Revenue Service has collected taxes or attempted to collect taxes with regard to a census and this action supports religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

1002. Defendants’ Internal Revenue Service has collected taxes or attempted to collect taxes through The Church Without Walls Ministries, its enumeration of its publications and

such actions supports religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

1003. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service has collected taxes or attempted to collect taxes through its enumeration of its publications and such actions supports religious decision-making taking the place of public legislative authority.

1004. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' Church of Taxology is an ecclesiastical establishment which has endorsed an institutionalized faith for an organized religion (Taxology).

1005. Plaintiff avers the conduct and activities of the Internal Revenue Service advances their Hybrid Church (Church of Taxology) fostering an excessive entanglement with religion, because of their religious motivations or accommodations.

1006. Plaintiff [believes] the Church of Taxology operates and administers for Defendants an Emerging Church, witnessed as a Mega-church and as The Church Without Walls which is a nondenominational affiliation of the Church of Taxology.

1007. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' organized religion has the effect of endorsing, favoring, or promoting other traditional and non-traditional religions.

1008. Plaintiff aver the Defendants' offers a way of life, beliefs and practices through a host of religions, religious activities and other essential religious endeavors as set forth and described in this [OVC].

1009. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not charitable concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1010. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not educational concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1011. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not scientific concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1012. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not literary concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1013. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not testing for public safety concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1014. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not fostering amateur sports competition concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1015. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the concepts or established criteria for what is or is not preventing cruelty to children or animals concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1016. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concepts or established criteria for what is or is not religious concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1017. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concept or established criteria for what is or is not a religious belief concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1018. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concept or established criteria for what is or is not a religious practice concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1019. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service reveals the religious concept or established criteria for what is or is not a religious conviction concerning tax-exempt nonprofit organizations.

1020. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Mega-church.

1021. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Church Without Walls.

1022. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Road to Perdition.

1023. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Institutionalized Religion.

1024. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Religious Institution.

1025. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Internal Religious Service.

1026. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Church of What's Happening Now.

1027. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Temple of Taxism.

1028. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious sects.

1029. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious cults.

1030. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Religious Places, Structure & Things.

1031. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious hierarchy.

1032. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Tower of Babel.

1033. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious formation.

1034. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Congregation.

1035. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Believer who is religious.

1036. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Non-believer who is not religious.

1037. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Adherent who is religious.

1038. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Non-adherent who is not religious.

1039. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a True believer who is religious.

1040. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Follower who is religious.

1041. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Supporter who is religious.

1042. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a group known as Chosen people who are religious.

1043. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Sinner who is religious.

1044. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Apostate who is religious.

1045. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Spiritual Being who is religious.

1046. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is clergy, minister, or a cleric.

1047. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Servant who is religious.

1048. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Medicine Man who is religious.

1049. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Heretic.

1050. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Nonconformists who was religious.

1051. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Oracle who is religious.

1052. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Sage or Tax guru who is religious.

1053. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Prophet.

1054. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Zealot.

1055. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is an Evangelist.

1056. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious Witness.

1057. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Dogmatist.

1058. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious Disciple.

1059. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious Missionary.

1060. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a religious convert.

1061. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for persons who are Minions of THEIRS, being Taxprayers who have no other religious beliefs or a religion, other than Taxology.

1062. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Neophyte or a New Convert who is religious.

1063. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Martyr who is religious.

1064. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the nonreligious concept(s) or established criteria for a secular individual.

1065. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is an Infidel.

1066. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious symbol or sign.

1067. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the religious belief in sacrificial lamb(s).

1068. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Idol worship or practice.

1069. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the personification of Mammon.

1070. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious symbolism.

1071. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for sacred pillars or places.

1072. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious fervor.

1073. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Temple currency.

1074. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Atonement offering.

1075. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Raised Altar.

1076. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Virtual Altar used for religious purposes.

1077. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Legalism, a Christian doctrine used in some churches.

1078. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria of a theology.

1079. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Religiosity.

1080. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the Collective Experience.

1081. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Canon law (Scriptures).

1082. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an institutionalized faith.

1083. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for "The Will to Believe".

1084. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Piety.

1085. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the Worshiping of Mammon.

1086. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for [Worthship].

1087. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person who is a Saint.

1088. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Articles of Faith.

1089. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious creed.

1090. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Bible.

1091. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a sacred or consecrated day.

1092. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for temptations.

1093. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Original Sin.

1094. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the Promised Land.

1095. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Ultimate Reality.

1096. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Ultimate Concern.

1097. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Deity or a god.

1098. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Prosperity theology.

1099. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Ordained Gospel.

1100. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Prosperity Gospel.

1101. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Gospel.

1102. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a person being Born Again.

1103. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Living Hell.

1104. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Burnt offering or sacrifice.

1105. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Purgatory.

1106. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the Rapture or Reckoning.

1107. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Mystical powers.

1108. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious Superstitions.

1109. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Confession or a Statement of Faith.

1110. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for revivalism.

1111. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Kabbalah.

1112. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for contemplative prayer.

1113. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious cleansing and spiritual cleansing.

1114. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Exorcism.

1115. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Anointing.

1116. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious conversion.

1117. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for moral conversion.

1118. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Rite of passage or Coming of age.

1119. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the practice in speaking in tongues.

1120. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Prophecy.

1121. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Inquisition.

1122. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Alternative Worship Service.

1123. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Laying on of Hands on believer.

1124. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Central Tenet.

1125. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Doctrine of Exchange.

1126. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious teachings & Catechisms.

1127. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Liturgy.

1128. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religious custom.

1129. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Principal Tenet.

1130. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for sowing your seed.

1131. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Partners Prayer Pledge.

1132. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an IRS Partners of THEIRS.

1133. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Religious Submission.

1134. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for various forms of worship.

1135. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Church stewardship & tithing.

1136. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for [Intellectual Tithing] and offerings.

1137. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Covenant.

1138. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the practice of Forgiveness.

1139. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Dogma.

1140. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for the religious practice of Bell ringing.

1141. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Salvation.

1142. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Deliverance.

1143. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Redemption.

1144. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a miracle.

1145. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Sermons.

1146. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious reconciliation.

1147. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Atonement or Repentance.

1148. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Ecclesiastical Formularies.

1149. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for religious observances.

1150. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an Eternal Sin.

1151. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Shunning.

1152. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Blasphemy.

1153. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Sorcery.

1154. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Judgment Day.

1155. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Ritual purification.

1156. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Transgression.

1157. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Tell-evangelism.

1158. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a religion.

1159. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for an organized religion.

1160. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Christianity.

1161. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Islam.

1162. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Hinduism.

1163. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Buddhism.

1164. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Sikhism.

1165. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Judaism.

1166. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Confucianism.

1167. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Jainism.

1168. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Taoism.

1169. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Indigenous Religion.

1170. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Revealed religion.

1171. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Identity religion.

1172. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Pseudo-religion.

1173. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Personal Religion.

1174. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Salvation religion.

1175. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Mystical religion.

1176. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Olympian or Sports religion.

1177. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for corporate religion.

1178. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Hellenistic religion.

1179. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for New Age Religion.

1180. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Scientology.

1181. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Taxology.

1182. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Taxism.

1183. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Institutionalized religion

1184. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for a Religion of Reality.

1185. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Our Church of Greater Reality.

1186. Defendants' Internal Revenue Service is aware of the religious concept or established criteria for Plaintiff's religion as a UCC member and as a United Constitutional Christians.

1187. Plaintiff avers the Intersection of Church and State is a convention of questions and answers discovered by the light of reason revealed in God's Policy of Truth for religious concepts or our established criteria of secular law.

Section N – The Temple of Taxism – United States Tax Court

1188. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court has been elevated to the stature of a Temple for Taxism, located at 400 Second Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20217-0002.

1189. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court was formed as the Orthodox Church and is where "revealed religion" and other religious endeavors are practiced on behalf of the Church of Taxology.

1190. The United States Tax Court is described by Defendants as an Article I court of record established by Congress under Article I, section 8, clause 9 of the U.S. Constitution.

1191. Plaintiff avers the United States Tax Court, is not a “Court” pursuant to U.S. Code › Title 28 › Part VI › Chapter 176 › Subchapter A › § 3002 set forth in 28 U.S.C. §3002: Definitions affirming: As used in this chapter: (2) "Court" means any court created by the Congress of the United States, excluding the United States Tax Court.

1192. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court is more particularly described in Exhibit H- #11, The Orthodox Church of Taxology – Temple of Taxism, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1193. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the United States Tax Court violates the Separation of Powers Doctrine of the Defendants and interrupts or in some cases defeats the purpose of the United States Court of Federal Claims.

1194. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ institutionalized religion exhorts a special return from any person, creating “moral absolutes and legal uncertainties” for an IRS’ Path of life, beliefs, and practices.

1195. Plaintiff [believes] United States Tax Court operates or serves as “The Watchtower”. A lighthouse built for an environment of legalism creating an illustrated religious magazine publishing monthly their stories of persons being converted as taxpayers into taxprayers.

1196. Plaintiff avers The Watchtower Announcing Jehovah's Kingdom is an illustrated religious magazine, published monthly by Jehovah's Witnesses via the Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society of Pennsylvania.

1197. Plaintiff avers the guarantee of free exercise is not limited to beliefs which are shared by all of the members of a religious sect with the real term “legalism” meaning “a strict, literal or excessive conformity to the law or to a religious or moral code.”

1198. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates any person paying a penalty without being convicted in a court of law is a strict, literal or excessive conformity to the law; regardless what will define the term “any person”.

1199. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court, is no different than the 12th century inquisitions held by the Catholic Church to protect its beliefs and property interest.

1200. Plaintiff [believes] the Inquisition was a group of institutions within the judicial system of the Roman Catholic Church whose aim was to combat heresy and to protect the power the church and its practices.

1201. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court is the symbolic center for the Temple of Taxism and the Fountainhead of Faith for its Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1202. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the United States Tax Court is serving as Defendants’ Temple of Taxism for with a foundational pillars seal, as a logo or image as set forth as:

1203. Plaintiff [believes] the Seal of the United States Tax Court; has used an ancient religious symbol of judicial authority consisting of a bundle of wooden rods bound by red ribbons with an ax projecting a blade; which was carried before or behind an ancient Roman magistrate as a symbol of authority. This historical or iconic symbol later became the symbol of Italian fascism in 1922.

1204. "The building presents itself as a cube that has been deconstructed into four units: a dramatic cantilevered courtroom block on the front with office blocks on each side and to the rear. These four components surmount a single-story base called the podium." http://www.triposo.com/poi/N_367142325

1205. Plaintiff [believes] this podium is to proselytize and convert taxpayers into taxprayers advancing the Temple of Taxism and its temptations in [Worthship].

1206. Master architect Victor A. Lundy stated, "What I've done is taken a monolithic block and broken it apart". The building is not only one of the most sophisticated and successful examples of Modernism in the District of Columbia, but also in the government's inventory. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Tax_Court_Building

1207. Plaintiff is certain of the religious and mystic power in the United States Tax Court, being an Article I Court, when it exercised its Oracle influence over the Plaintiff and is wife, Sheila Hinds religious beliefs in 2000.

1208. Plaintiff nor his wife (1) never filed any petition with this Court or, (2) paid a fee or, (3) amended any petition or, (4) address this court as Pro Se, but it placed jurisdiction over us, compelling us to speak or face the consequences pursuant to Docket # 008628-00,

1209. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Article I Judges, are modern-day oracles, with demi-god status or have Caesar style authority that have the power to play god over a person's unalienable rights; to hand down set of revelations based on Defendants religious beliefs and practices.

1210. Plaintiff [believes] IRS Article I Judges of the U S Tax Court, who take a Federal oath of Office, to faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon them under the Constitution and laws of the United States, are modern-day oracles, a demi-god because they uphold the Taxpayer Bill of Rights, over and above the Bill of Rights.

1211. Plaintiff [believes] that Taxology, through IRS mandates, religious decrees and through their image as a self-proclaimed Roman demigod.

1212. The Defendants have a system of taxation by confession. ~Hugo Black, U.S. Supreme Court Justice. U.S Tax Court headquarter is in the District of Columbia.

1213. Pursuant to Tax Court Rule 50(f), orders shall not be treated as precedent, except as otherwise provided.

1214. Plaintiff [believes] the Church of Taxology and its Orthodoxy Church of Taxology (U.S. Tax Court) like Roman Catholic Church have a distinct legal existence.

1215. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates religious institutions existed on a continuum, especially as the twentieth century advances.

1216. Defendants' actions and conduct, as set forth herein, transforms the spirit or sensibility of human experience through two modern-day religions, Taxology and Taxism using United States Tax Court to instill faith and fear.

1217. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, transcends governmental entities legitimacy.

1218. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, proselytizes a taxing environment, culture, or its realm for the IRS.

1219. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, creates the formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination.

1220. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, as set forth herein, makes acts of religious indoctrination or the act of attempting to convert people to another opinion.

1221. Defendants' Temple of Taxism and its legitimacy refers to an entity's right to exercise power.

1222. Defendants' Temple of Taxism, makes taxpayers believe in the opinions of the IRS.

1223. Defendants' Temple of Taxism makes decisions that touch constitutional rights.

1224. Defendants' Temple of Taxism legitimacy is authorized by law under the Internal Revenue Code of 1939, the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 that was redesignated and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that was redesignated.

1225. "Legitimacy" is usually contrasted with "justice." Whereas "authority" denotes a specific position in an established government, the term "legitimacy" denotes a system of government — wherein "government" denotes "sphere of influence".

1226. Defendants' institution, the United States Tax Court make fair or practical decisions, with religious beliefs of law that has no legal effect to the appropriateness of the decision-making process.

1227. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants Temple of Taxism make religious decisions.

1228. Plaintiff [believes] the Temple of Taxism created formal organizational structures that reflected not only technical taxing demands and "source dependencies", but was also

shaped by institutional forces, including rational myths, religion, shared values and other knowledge legitimated through the educational system and by the professions, public opinion, and law that shall be given no legal effect.

1229. Plaintiff [believes] The Temple of Taxism emphasized the salience of symbolic systems, cultural scripts, distinct religious history and religious models in taxing the human spirit thus, shaping institutional effects.

1230. Plaintiff [believes] The Temple of Taxism developed three pillars of their institutional order, dutiful devotion, religious beliefs and devout practices for a faith based franchise religion, proffered or perceived as: Taxology.

1231. Defendants institutionalized faith dutiful devotional elements emphasize rule setting and sanctioning their religious beliefs that contain an evaluative and obligatory dimension.

1232. Defendants devout practices factors involve shared conceptions and frames through which meaning is understood.

1233. Plaintiff [believes] each of Taxism's pillars offered a different rationale for legitimacy, both by virtue of being legally sanctioned, morally authorized, and culturally supported.

1234. Defendants' IRS organization is acting on patterns of hierarchy, from a moral obligation, or because its members cannot conceive of alternative ways of acting lawfully.

Section Y – [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] per se [To LIVE as EVIL]

3385. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of the Defendants.

3386. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of the IRS.

3387. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of The United States Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration of The Department of Treasury.

3388. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy of The Department of Justice, Tax Division.

3389. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary to The United States Constitution and its germane Amendments in this case.

3390. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and unjust to Plaintiff's constitution and the dictates of his [conscience].

3391. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and unjust to Plaintiff's [CLP].

3392. Plaintiff aver a complacent policy of indifference to Evil is a policy contrary and sinful to Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs.

3393. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Establishment Clause Doctrines as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #1, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3394. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Neutrality, Coercion & Endorsement Doctrines as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #2, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3395. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Free Exercise Clause under the [RFRA] as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #3, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3396. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Strict Scrutiny Test manifested in "Compelling Interest Test" as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #4, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3397. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Content-Based Restrictions Court Doctrine as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #5, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3398. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold the Court Doctrine on Chilling Effects of Speech & on Individual Freedom of Mind as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #7, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3399. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold the Court Doctrine of Substantial Overbreadth as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #8, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3400. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold The "Void for Vagueness" Doctrine as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #9, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3401. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Public Forum Doctrine of the First Amendment as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #10, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3402. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine of the U.S. Supreme Court as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit A- #11, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3403. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold First Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #1, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3404. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to uphold Due Process of Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #2, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3405. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to recognize unenumerated rights under Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #3, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3406. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with the Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #4, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3407. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #5, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3408. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #6, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3409. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit B- #7, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL] by advancing a purpose into a religious practice.

3410. Plaintiff avers Defendants failure, refusal, or complacency to comply with [Controlling Legal Principles] per se [CLP] of Terry Lee Hinds as set forth in Plaintiff's Exhibit C- #1 through Exhibit C- #96, manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3411. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is in reality religious beliefs manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] and treats personality as a property and transforms any person as a possession.

3412. Plaintiff avers [Creed] is the reality of religious belief manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3413. [Purpose-Driven Life] is a reality of religious practice manifested [To LIVE as EVIL].

3414. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Burdens] exists as religious convictions manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] by advancing [The Policy] without due process of law.

3415. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] are in reality religious inducements and burdens manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL] or as burdens when [THE CODE] must be read each year after “4,000 changes to the code occurred between FY 2000 and 2010”.

3416. Plaintiff avers Defendant’s Theology of Money is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3417. Plaintiff avers an [IRS Path of Life] is religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3418. Plaintiff avers that [Worship] is the inducement of [To LIVE as EVIL].

3419. Plaintiff avers [Worship] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3420. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ [Doc-of-Ex] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3421. Plaintiff avers a [proper return] advances religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3422. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Organized Religion of THEIRS per se as Taxology is the reality of religion manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3423. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] are religious practices manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3424. Plaintiff avers a [proper return] is the reality of religious submission manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3425. Plaintiff avers Defendant’s Theology of THEIRS is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3426. Plaintiff avers [Intellectual Tithing] advances and manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3427. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ [IRS] advances and manifests [To LIVE as EVIL].

3428. Plaintiff avers an [IRS Pilgrimage] is a religious practice manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3429. Plaintiff avers an [IRS House of Worship] is a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3430. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] are temple taxes manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3431. Plaintiff avers [WHATEVER] is in reality a [GOG] manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3432. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism is the reality of an institutionalized religion manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3433. Plaintiff avers [Auditing] is in reality a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3434. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] are in reality religious practices manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3435. Plaintiff avers [MAGI] is a religious practice manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3436. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Dominion Theology of Taxism is a religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3437. Plaintiff avers [Government Speech] is a religious conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3438. Plaintiff avers [Govspel] is religious beliefs, practices and conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3439. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] are in reality religious endeavors manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3440. Plaintiff avers [Voluntary Compliance] is in reality a religious discipline manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3441. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is of religious beliefs, practices and conviction manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3442. Plaintiff avers Defendant's Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS is the reality of religious doctrine manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3443. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Taxing Trinity] is in reality religious institution manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3444. Plaintiff avers he rejects the religious badge or religious garb of a Taxprayer manifesting [To LIVE as EVIL].

3445. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] manifests moral hazards.

3446. Plaintiff avers U.S. investment firms failures and U.S. banking institutions crisis was established by absolute greed that was advanced and manifested by [To LIVE as EVIL].

3447. Defendants' activities with bank institutions bailouts is in support of a complacent policy of indifference to evil.

3448. Defendants' activities with bank bailouts were advances by [To LIVE as EVIL].

3449. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to support moral hazards.

3450. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to collect taxes without just compensation for that personal service or work to be performed

3451. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to read publications of the IRS.

3452. Plaintiff is under no legal obligation to read instructions of the IRS.

3453. Plaintiff has no legal obligation or duty to accept a complacent policy of indifference to Evil as a policy of the Defendants.

3454. Plaintiff avers a taxpayer's conduct is inherently expressive.

3455. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Internal Revenue Service and/or IRS using [Government Speech] to advance Plaintiff's [Intellectual Tithing] for an organized religion of THEIRS.

3456. Plaintiff avers IRS is designed to protect itself, like many religious organization.

3457. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is defined with ambiguity to protect itself against religious criticisms or inspection.

3458. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1] is legally revised by "Sec. 10. Internal Revenue Title.- The Internal Revenue Title, heretofore referred to, and hereby and herein enacted into law, is as follows:"

3459. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1], is sanctioned, in part "is as follows:" which establishes or endorses law or its legal effects beyond the symbol (:).

3460. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2] "Enactment of Internal Revenue Title into law" is legally revised to "The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows:".

3461. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2], is sanctioned, in part "is as follows:" which establishes or endorses law or its legal effects beyond the symbol (:).

3462. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] "Enactment of Internal Revenue Title into law" is legally limited to "The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows: * * *."

3463. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] has no legal effect beyond "The Internal Revenue Title referred to in subsection (a)(1) is as follows: * * *."

3464. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3], is sanctioned, in part "is as follows: * * *." which establishes or endorses no law or its legal effects beyond the symbols of * * *.

3465. Plaintiff avers an asterisk is a star-shaped figure (*) used chiefly to indicate an omission, a reference to a footnote, or an unattested word, sound, or affix.

3466. Plaintiff avers a Period (.) at the end of the sentence means, the things said in the sentence are definite and no change is allowed.

3467. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby used chiefly to indicate an omission.

3468. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby being a reference to a footnote or footnotes.

3469. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] legal construction ends with * * *. thereby an unattested word, sound, or affix.

3470. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] creates violence to words when the symbol * * *. is used by the Defendants.

3471. Plaintiff [believes] the symbols of * * *. is a religious symbol of the [IRS].

3472. Plaintiff avers [CODE-1] never used the symbol * * *. however did use a colon (:) after the word - follows:

3473. Plaintiff avers [CODE-2] never used the symbol * * *. however did use a colon (:) after the word - follows:

3474. Plaintiff [believes] the symbol of three asterisks * * * resembles a conventional image of three stars and is very religious in nature as a true representation in a birth of an ideal.

3475. Plaintiff avers the representation of the symbol * * *. as a birth of an ideal is lawful.

3476. Plaintiff avers the representation of the symbol * * *. is not recognized in the U.S. Constitution.

3477. "An asterisk is a typographical symbol or glyph. It is so called because it resembles a conventional image of a star." <http://www.bing.com/search?q=asterisk+symbol&qs=SS&pq=asterisk&sk=SS4&sc=88&cvid=4F36585875B24F5C80EC5E844AC66771&FORM=QBRE&sp=5>

3478. "An asterisk (*; from Late Latin asteriscus, from Ancient Greek ἀστερίσκος, asteriskos, "little star")^{[1][2]} is a typographical symbol or glyph. It is so called because it resembles a conventional image of a star." See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3479. "In the Geneva Bible and the King James Bible, an asterisk is used to indicate a marginal comment or scripture reference." See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3480. “In the Leeser Bible, an asterisk is used to mark off the seven subdivisions of the weekly Torah portion. It is also used to mark the few verses to be repeated by the reader of the Haftara.” See <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3481. “In American printings of the Book of Common Prayer, an asterisk is used to divide a verse of a Psalm in two portions for responsive reading.” <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asterisk>

3482. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS uses symbols in [THE CODE] to advance a religion of THEIRS.

3483. Defendants three Asterisks resembles a conventional image of three stars as a symbol of their faith in three powers in one body, being one substance, essence and nature of a usurping body of law respecting an establishment of an organized religion.

3484. Plaintiff avers Defendants usurping body, the IRS existing as “The Bureau” + “The Agency” = “The Service” is the birth of an ideal or a belief in [To LIVE as EVIL].

3485. Plaintiff avers [CODE-3] and the symbol * * *. creates violence to Plaintiff’s First Amendment religious freedoms; not to bear or participate as a false witness, or accept, practice or believe in [To LIVE as EVIL].

3486. Plaintiff avers to declare a human being a possession or a property is [To LIVE as EVIL].

3487. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] allows a spouse or a child as a dependent, of which established them as a property for the express purpose of being exempt for an income tax

3488. Plaintiff avers that any person as a taxpayer can declare oneself, a spouse or a child as a dependent, of which established them as a surreal form of property for the express purpose of being exempt from an income tax is an act of [To LIVE as EVIL].

3489. Plaintiff avers that any person as a taxpayer that declares oneself on a [Form 1040] is in reality a 3/5 of a person.

3490. Plaintiff avers that U.S. Constitution declares 3/5 of a person is exempt from taxes.

3491. Plaintiff avers that a 3/5 of a person, in the year 1791 were slaves or had a master.

3492. Plaintiff avers that a 3/5 of a person cannot exist in America today.

3493. Plaintiff avers that any law or government entity that compels or induces a person to declare themselves or others person(s) as a property is an evil against the U.S. Constitution.

3494. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS compels or induces a person to declare one's child or spouse as a property having an established value on [Form 1040] as tax deduction or credit.

3495. Plaintiff decided not to have a child or children in this life because of [Taxology] and [Taxism].

3496. [Taxology] and [Taxism] compels a person to violate one's free exercise of religious beliefs, and [conscience] by inducing a taxpayer to declare their child as a property, as a tax deduction, or tax credit.

3497. Defendants' conduct, continuing activities and patterns have caused the Plaintiff to accept a complacent policy of indifference to evil against his free will.

3498. [To LIVE as EVIL] violates Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3499. [To LIVE as EVIL] advances Defendants' activities of [THE CODE].

3500. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on religion.

3501. [To LIVE as EVIL] concerns Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on speech.

3502. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on association.

3503. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident First Amendment free exercise infringements on protest activities.

3504. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Lemon Test Doctrine.

3505. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Substantial Overbreadth Doctrine.

3506. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Void for Vagueness Doctrine.

3507. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine.

3508. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Plaintiff's [CLP] described herein.

3509. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's free exercise rights of First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3510. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's right of Due Process of Fifth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3511. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Plaintiff's free exercise of his Quintessential Rights existing as unenumerated rights guaranteed under the Ninth Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3512. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Thirteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, such as an unconstitutional status or as a servant's indebtedness to a master

3513. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of the Sixteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution, such as an unconstitutional status as a second class citizen or IRS' Taxpayer.

3514. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 of the United States Constitution, degrading Plaintiff's integrity and a righteousness in Article I, Sec. 8, Cl. 1.

3515. [To LIVE as EVIL] is Defendants' policy to advance constitutional evils, such as committing self-evident violations of Article VI, Clause 2 of the United States Constitution

3516. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated 9/27/2004; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #1, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3517. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated 9/26/2005; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #2, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3518. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "REQUEST FOR YOUR TAX RETURN" "12-31-2004" dated and/or received around 7/24/2006; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #3, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3519. Plaintiff avers Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through "You owe past due taxes for 1997" dated and/or received around 9/25/2006; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #4, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3520. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You owe past due taxes for 1997” dated and/or received around 9/24/2007; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #5, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3521. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You owe past due taxes for 1997” dated and/or received around 9/22/2008; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #6, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3522. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Request for Your Tax Return” “Dec. 31, 2008” dated and/or received around 7/26/2010; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3523. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2008” dated and/or received around 9/20/2010; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #8, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3524. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2009” dated and/or received around 9/19/2011; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #9, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3525. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2010 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 5/21/2012; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3526. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-20010” dated and/or received around 9/19/2011; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #11, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3527. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 5/27/2013; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #12, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3528. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You must file your 2011 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 7/15/2013; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #13, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3529. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” “In reply refer to: 0765433863” dated and/or received around 11/10/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #14, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3530. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” Taxpayer number: 496-62-7855 dated and/or received around 11/17/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #15, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3531. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “Dear Taxpayer” “In reply refer to: 0469000192” dated and/or received around 11/26/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #16, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3532. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 12/01/2014 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #17, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3533. Defendants are advancing [To LIVE as EVIL] in [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] through “You must file your 2012 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated and/or received around 02/09/2015 more particularly described in Exhibit L- #18, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3534. Plaintiff’s personal constitution dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating The Evolution of Evil as the evidence in this case will show that Defendants cannot administer a wicked law impartially; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #19, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3535. Plaintiff’s personal constitution dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating with IRS Dogma – Star Trek for a Religion of Reality; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #20, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3536. Plaintiff’s personal constitution dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Bank-Bailout Redemption Plans; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #21, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3537. Plaintiff’s personal constitution dictates Defendants’ activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Collateralized Debt Obligations (CDOs); more particularly described in Exhibit L- #22, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3538. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Credit Default Swaps (CDS) & the Bread Line, 2008; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #23, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3539. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through Liars of U.S. Tax Code Reform; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #24, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3540. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the frozen credit markets and subprime mortgage crisis; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #25, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3541. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the 2008 Global Economic Crisis; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #26, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3542. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through concepts of Too Big To Fail and for the Business of Greed; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #27, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3543. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the Financial Deviants of Securitization and their Enablers for the Business of Greed; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #28, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3544. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #29, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3545. Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates Defendants' activities as set forth herein are promoting [To LIVE as EVIL] advocating Moral Hazards of False Evidence Appearing Real through the IRS Dogma- Star Trek for a Religion of Reality of THEIRS; more particularly described in Exhibit L- #30, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3546. Defendants' activities and conduct described herein as Internal Religious Service advances [THE CODE] [Doc-of-Exch] [Intellectual Tithing] [Auditing] [THE WORDS] [To LIVE as EVIL] and [body of believers] collectively manifesting per se as ("[IRS]").

3547. Defendants' activities and conduct described herein as Internal Religious Service advances [THE CODE] [Doc-of-Exch] [Intellectual Tithing] [Auditing] [THE WORDS] [To LIVE as EVIL] and [body of believers] collectively manifesting per se as ("[IRS]").

3548. Defendants' activities with the IRS and the Internal Revenue Service are practicing duality with the [IRS] as the religious glue that binds them together.

3549. Defendants' activities, conduct and documentation with [Refunds] [Exemptions] [Tax Credits] [Tax Deductions] [Enumerations] [Form 1040] [Abatements] manifesting per se [The Policy].

3550. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] has advanced [The Policy] as an aggregated policy.

3551. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants adversely effects the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

3552. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] creates incursions on Liberty Interests and Property Interests of the First Amendment.

3553. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] infringes, encroaches on or prohibits Plaintiff's free exercise rights, privileges or immunities of his [LLP] guaranteed by First Amendment Liberty Interests and Property Interests.

3554. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] support and promotes a compulsory unification of IRS' opinions.

3555. Compulsory unification of opinions achieves only the unanimity of death and taxes.

3556. [THE CODE] is the semblance of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff's [CLP].

3557. [THE WORDS] is the semblance of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on the free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff's [CLP].

3558. [The Policy] is the semblances of personal convictions established with compulsory unification of opinions infringing on the free exercise freedoms of Plaintiff's [CLP].

3559. [THE CODE] is the semblances of compulsory unification of opinions infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3560. [THE WORDS] is the semblances of compulsory unification of opinions infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3561. [The Policy] is the semblances of compulsory unification of opinions infringing on or invades Plaintiff's [LLP].

3562. Plaintiff avers the claim or free exercise of a First Amendment right, privilege or immunity cannot be converted into a crime, offense or code violation.

3563. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of religion or his religious belief into a crime, offense or code violation.

3564. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [Protected Speech] or the belief of one's [Protected Speech] into a crime, offense or code violation.

3565. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [consciences] or the beliefs of one's conscience into a crime, offense or code violation.

3566. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of [Protected Conduct] or the belief in one's [Protected Conduct] into a crime, offense or code violation.

3567. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of Protest Activities described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3568. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise First Amendment right of Petition as a shield for grievances or when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3569. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise of unalienable rights to Life, Liberties, and the Pursuant of Happiness in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] as described herein into a crime, offense or code violation.

3570. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts Plaintiff's free exercise of First Amendment Rights into the Taxpayer Bill of Rights as a legal substitute for constitutional rights.

3571. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] converts the Taxpayer Bill of Rights into the Taxpayer Bill of Rites.

3572. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice with his religion, and religious beliefs.

3573. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Protected Speech].

3574. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice on the scared right of conscience.

3575. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice with his [Protected Conduct].

3576. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Sacred Honor].

3577. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice of his [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

3578. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works in opposition of Plaintiff's personal constitution creating a sacrifice in [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

3579. Defendants' [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy], as an artful blend works or advances Defendant's religion as described herein.

3580. Plaintiff's forum of expressive activity is of an artful blend of his free exercise rights and his personal constitution for his establishment of [LLP].

3581. Plaintiff aver [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] and [The Policy] effects other person similarly situated to the Plaintiff.

3582. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [The Policy] advances a spiritual cancer on the free exercise clause of the First Amendment and is a Constitutional Evil.

3583. Plaintiff avers IRS Written Determinations, Private Letter Rulings and Cross References beliefs rooted in religion advances [The Policy] of the Defendants.

3584. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS is forcing Plaintiff to advocate an ideological point of view in IRS' Private Letter Rulings.

3585. IRS' Indoctrination is advanced by [The Policy].

3586. Plaintiff avers IRS' Indoctrination is [Law/As/Religion].

3587. Plaintiff has a First Amendment free exercise freedoms, not to practice, or advance, support or defend [The Policy].

3588. This religious Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to correct the continuing deprivations of his most cherished constitutional liberties from [The Policy].

(A-1). [The Policy] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated.

3589. Plaintiff's avers [The Policy] does not allow him to exist as 'I am' but influences, forces or compels Plaintiff to act as any person or as a taxpayer in support of [THE CODE] [THE WORDS] or [The Policy] of the Defendants.

3590. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants on its face and as applied to Plaintiff and others similarly situated violated First Amendment freedoms and U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines.

(A-1.1). [IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected] per se as ("[Refunds]")

3591. Plaintiff "facial" challenges Defendants conduct in providing Refunds of Income Taxes Collected for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3592. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3593. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in giving back income taxes collected from a taxpayer.

3594. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand a what constitute a tax liability.

3595. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3596. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on First Amendment freedoms guaranteed by U.S. Constitution.

3597. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens of a federal income tax.

3598. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on any person who has dealings with the IRS.

3599. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3600. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Refunds].

3601. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3602. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; [Refunds] via [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values and for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3603. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3604. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3605. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of the usurping powers of the Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to give back income taxes collected from him.

3606. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3607. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3608. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Refunds].

3609. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3610. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3611. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3612. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.2). [Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers] per se as (“[Exemptions]”)

3613. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3614. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a government benefit or privilege.

3615. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Exemptions].

3616. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Exemptions].

3617. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Exemptions].

3618. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Exemptions].

3619. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Exemptions] as tax law.

3620. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3621. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Exemptions].

3622. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3623. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional [Exemptions] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3624. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3625. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3626. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Exemptions].

3627. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3628. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3629. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Exemptions].

3630. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3631. Plaintiff avers [Exemptions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3632. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3633. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.3). [Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits] per se as (“[Tax Credits]”)

3634. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3635. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3636. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Tax Credits].

3637. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power by increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Tax Credits].

3638. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes as well as Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Tax Credits].

3639. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Tax Credits].

3640. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Tax Credits] as tax law.

3641. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3642. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Tax Credits].

3643. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3644. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional, [Tax Credits] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3645. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3646. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3647. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Tax Credits].

3648. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3649. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3650. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Tax Credits].

3651. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3652. Plaintiff avers [Tax Credits] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3653. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3654. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.4). [Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions] per se as (“[Tax Deductions]”)

3655. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct in providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3656. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3657. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Tax Deductions].

3658. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Tax Deductions].

3659. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Tax Deductions].

3660. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Tax Deductions].

3661. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Tax Deductions] as tax law.

3662. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3663. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Tax Deductions].

3664. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3665. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional [Tax Deductions] authorized in [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3666. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3667. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3668. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Tax Deductions].

3669. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3670. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3671. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Tax Deductions].

3672. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3673. Plaintiff avers [Tax Deductions] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3674. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3675. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants providing Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.5). [IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia] per se as (“[Enumerations]”)

3676. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3677. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3678. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers of providing [Enumerations].

3679. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Enumerations].

3680. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Enumerations].

3681. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in [Enumerations].

3682. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of [Enumerations] as tax law.

3683. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants' IRS.

3684. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Enumerations].

3685. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3686. Plaintiff avers Defendants' Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; [Enumerations] described throughout the entire breath of [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff's core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3687. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3688. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3689. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Enumerations].

3690. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability.

3691. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping of power by increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3692. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Enumerations].

3693. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3694. Plaintiff avers [Enumerations] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3695. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3696. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants authorizing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.6). [U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040] per se as (“[Form 1040]”)

3697. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3698. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3699. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Form 1040].

3700. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Form 1040].

3701. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power by increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute [Form 1040].

3702. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Form 1040].

3703. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Form 1040] as tax law.

3704. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants’ IRS.

3705. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Form 1040].

3706. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants sanctioning [Form 1040] manifests on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3707. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional; U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, in support of [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff’s core values or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3708. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3709. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprived him of guaranteed rights.

3710. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Form 1040].

3711. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability or an obligation.

3712. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3713. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Form 1040].

3714. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3715. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3716. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3717. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

(A-1.7). [Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise"] per se as ("[Abatements]") i.e., Salvation

3718. Plaintiff “facial” challenges Defendants conduct with Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise” for the reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3719. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power to qualify a person or make eligible, as a taxpayer to receive a benefit or privilege.

3720. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping powers of providing [Abatements].

3721. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers through [Abatements].

3722. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on taxpayers to read and understand what shall constitute[Abatements].

3723. Plaintiff avers [Refunds] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in [Abatements].

3724. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of [Abatements] as tax law.

3725. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Defendants’ IRS.

3726. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants’ usurping power in converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer through [Abatements].

3727. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise” manifest on its face no application(s) in [THE CODE] that would be constitutional.

3728. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ Revenue Bills and Revenue Acts as-applied to him, even though generally constitutional, [THE CODE] operates unconstitutionally as to him because of the Plaintiff’s particular circumstances or for reasons set forth herein this [OVC].

3729. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to inform him of or to control his core values.

3730. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping powers to deprive him of guaranteed rights.

3731. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of usurping powers of Defendants to be able, or to allow for or to provide [Abatements].

3732. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing burdens on Plaintiff to read and understand what shall constitute a tax liability or an obligation.

3733. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] as-applied to him are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power of increasing the burdens on the religious beliefs and practices of the Plaintiff.

3734. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied are in direct conflict with Congress powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes because of Defendants' usurping power in converting the Plaintiff into a taxpayer with [Abatements].

3735. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated establish burdens.

3736. Plaintiff avers [Abatements] on its face and as-applied to Plaintiff and others similar situated have defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, or deprived Plaintiff his core values.

3737. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise" manifests on its face violations to the Establishment clause.

3738. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, it is evident Defendants Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise" manifests, as-applied to the Plaintiff and others similarly situated violations of free exercise clause rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment.

3739. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is on its face & as applied, is unconstitutionally overbroad.

3740. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally vague.

3741. Plaintiff aver a person of common intelligence must necessarily guess at the meaning, scope, and application of [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THE IRS.

3742. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] and IRS lends itself to discriminatory enforcement by government officials in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

3743. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] vests Defendants with unbridled discretion in deciding whether to allow exemptions to some, all, or no organizations meeting whatever definition of “religious” it decides to craft or teach.

3744. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is viewpoint-based restrictions on Plaintiffs’ speech.

3745. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [The Policy] or Defendants’ differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3746. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3747. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3748. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of religious belief and association in achieving that end, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of the constitutionally protected rights.

3749. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Refunds] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3750. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Exemptions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3751. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Exemptions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3752. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Tax Deductions] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3753. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Enumerations] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3754. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Form 1040] or in achieving those ends, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3755. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive encroachment on First Amendment freedoms of belief involving [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation or in achieving that end, and the benefit gained, if any failed to outweigh the loss of constitutionally protected rights.

3756. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally vague.

3757. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unconstitutionally overbroad.

3758. [The Policy] unconstitutionally vests Defendants with unbridled discretion.

3759. Under [The Policy], IRS officials have unlimited discretion concerning a taxpayer or which group will be categorized favorably as with federal income tax exempt status.

3760. IRS official Lois Lerner exercised unlimited discretion concerning taxpayers or which groups will be categorized favorably as with federal income tax exempt status.

3761. As applied by Defendants, [The Policy] discriminates against Plaintiff’s religious group, religious speech and religious viewpoints.

3762. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional viewpoint-based restrictions on Plaintiff’s protected speech.

3763. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional content-based restrictions on Plaintiff’s protected speech.

3764. Defendants have applied [The Policy] against Plaintiff to impose unconstitutional compelled speech on the [Protected Speech] of Plaintiff.

3765. There is no rational basis for [The Policy] or Defendants’ application of [The Policy] to treat Plaintiff differently from others similarly situated religious groups.

3766. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [The Policy] advances a spiritual cancer on the free exercise clause of the First Amendment and is a Constitutional Evil.

3767. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [The Policy] or Defendants’ differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3768. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is unreasonable and imposes irrational and unjustifiable restrictions on Plaintiff’s constitutionally protected speech.

(A-2.1). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff’s Religion and Religious Belief

3769. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right to Religion and Religious Belief.

3770. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities and conduct with [The Policy] is to advance law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

3771. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise in a practice of his freedom of religion, of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3772. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Refunds] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3773. It is clear that [Refunds] is making adherence to an organized religion relevant.

3774. It is clear that [Refunds] promotes or preferences a person's standing in the political community.

3775. [Refunds] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3776. [Refunds] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3777. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] endorses the advancement of an organized religion.

3778. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an offense of Plaintiff's system of beliefs, both religious and secular.

3779. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the Defendants' choice on the practice of a religion of THEIRS.

3780. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein, it is evident [The Policy] violates the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers.

(A-2.2). [The Policy] of the Defendants infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's [Protected Speech]

3781. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of [Protected Speech].

3782. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Exemptions] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3783. [Exemptions] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3784. [Exemptions] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3785. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] creates a forum that encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker.

3786. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants have caused Plaintiff to profess religious beliefs in an organized religion.

3787. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess prophetic speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #2, [Purpose-Driven Life] or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3788. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess prophetic speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #10 Modes of [Worship] manifested by THE GREAT WHATEVER or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3789. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess predictive speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #11, [Form 1040] An Act of Faith in a petition [Form 1040] from taxprayers attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3790. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess predictive speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #11, Temple Currency of THEIRS - Tax Credits or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3791. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's prophetic speech or his predictive speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3792. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's prophetic speech or on predictive speech, existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3793. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and

its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3794. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #8, [Worship] – Moving toward a Deeper Theology Worship attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3795. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #27, Moral Arguments & Moral Hazards or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3796. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3797. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus and its Expressive Activities of belief, of choice & of discussion or debate existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3798. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech.

3799. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #15, Taxology – An Organized Religion of THEIRS attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3800. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess Religious or Proselytizing Speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #2 Intellectual Tithing & Offerings for a Religion of Submission or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3801. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Religious or Proselytizing Speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3802. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's Religious or Proselytizing Speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3803. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech as set forth and described in this [OVC].

3804. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #2 [FAITH] – The Ten Tax Commandments attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3805. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #3 [FAITH] - Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS- Next Exit Blind Faith or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3806. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3807. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3808. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech.

3809. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #4 [Government Speech] – [Body of Rites] Know Your Rights Rites attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3810. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #36 [THE WORDS] of THEIRS – Darth Vader- Dark Side of the Force or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3811. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's pure speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3812. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's pure speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3813. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] have caused Plaintiff to profess core political speech.

3814. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess core political speech to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #13 [Form 1040] in defining the forum, the focus should be on the access sought by the speaker attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3815. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess pure speech to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #16 [Dispensation] Government Bailout Plan as Core Political Speech or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3816. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's core political speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3817. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's core political speech existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3818. By Defendants' law, conduct and activities as described or set forth herein it is evident [The Policy] of the Defendants have caused Plaintiff to profess free speech as seen as the Exhibits in this [OVC].

3819. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech recognition to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #1 [Convention] The Fountainhead of Faith Doing What Faith Does attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3820. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech recognition to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxprayer, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #10 The Converts of THE-IRS – Taxprayers & Definitions §7701 or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3821. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech recognition existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3822. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech recognition existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

3823. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence to advance an organized religion of THEIRS, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #18, Taxpayer - President Ronald Reagan Quote attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3824. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] caused Plaintiff to profess free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence to advance an institutionalized faith in Taxism by conveying a message that an IRS religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred through indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting a taxpayer into a taxpayer, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #7 Religious Faith Envisioned & Practiced – Taxism Wailing Wall or other germane Exhibits in this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3825. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence (prayers to the IRS) existing as incursions on Plaintiff's personal constitution.

3826. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is an unconstitutional encroachment on Plaintiff's free speech existing as exercising no speech at all or silence (prayers to the IRS) existing as incursions on Plaintiff's most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.

(A-2.3). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's rights of [conscience]

3827. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right of [conscience].

3828. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Tax Credits] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3829. [Tax Credits], on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3830. [Tax Credits], on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3831. Defendants have caused, are causing, and will continue to cause Plaintiff actual damages, undue hardship, and immediate and irreparable injury because of [The Policy].

3832. This religious Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to correct the continuing deprivations of his most cherished constitutional liberties from [The Policy].

3833. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the purpose of Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] is to make property and nothing but property of the working class in all States of the Union.

3834. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] is the old issue of human rights versus property rights. It is the eternal struggle between two principles: the one, the common right of humanity, the other, the divine right of kings. It is the same spirit which says, 'You toil and work and earn bread and I'll eat it.'

3835. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is a doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class discrimination.

3836. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' decisions in [The Policy] makes Plaintiff accept this doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class discrimination being the working class, the middle class or the rich or privileged class, including the lower or welfare class.

3837. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is a doctrine of [Religion/As/Law] for class warfare.

3838. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nations' people by class warfare.

3839. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nations by those who are free from [THE CODE] and those who are enslaved by [THE CODE].

3840. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his wife, Sheila Hinds.

3841. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from Second Opinion HVAC.

3842. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his God, Jesus Christ.

3843. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his core values.

3844. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from his religious beliefs.

3845. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Plaintiff from [Commanding Heights].

3846. Plaintiff avers the [IRS] seeks to divide this Nation from God, Jesus Christ.

3847. Plaintiff's avers: "A house divided itself cannot stand. This government cannot endure permanently half slave and half free."

3848. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advances the [IRS] objectives to divide and conquer.

3849. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests sins, such as stealing or bearing false witness.

3850. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the false worship and false images.

3851. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the worship in a [GOG] a sin.

3852. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests sins of taking God name in vain.

3853. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests moral hazards.

3854. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] manifests the sins of coveting.

3855. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] produces the wages of sin.

3856. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] makes Plaintiff pay for the sins of others.

3857. Plaintiff avers “The wages of sin is death!” The Holy Bible, Roman 6:23

3858. [The Policy] advanced by the [IRS] makes the Plaintiff or others similarly situated to value gold over goodness.

3859. [The Policy] advanced by the [IRS] makes the Plaintiff or others similarly situated to show no respect for the privilege of walking this Earth.

3860. The IRS advanced by [The Policy] grants a 90-day extension to taxprayer or others similarly situated, who whose pockets are bulging and whose soul is empty.

(A-2.4). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff’s rights of Association

3861. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff’s free exercise of a Quintessential Right of Association.

3862. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] or Defendants’ differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3863. [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3864. [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

(A-2.5). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff’s rights in Protest Activities

3865. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff’s free exercise of a Quintessential Right in Protest Activities against the IRS.

3866. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Enumerations] or Defendants’ differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3867. [Enumerations] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3868. [Enumerations] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3869. [The Policy] advanced by [IRS] compels taxp[r]ayers or forces persons to profess a belief or disbelief in an IRS religion accepting the wages of sin as death.

3870. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] compels the Plaintiff and others similarly situated to become a slave to sin, or accept the wages of sin as death.

3871. Plaintiff avers one of his many cardinal principle of his religion; that he shall not be compelled to accept the wages of sin or to become a slave to sin (IRS wrongful ideas).

3872. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] compels taxp[r]ayers or forces persons or the Plaintiff to profess a belief in sin existing as IRS wrongful ideas or illegal opinions.

3873. Plaintiff [believes] IRS' wrongful ideas or illegal opinions are sins in every aspect of Christianity and In the Word of God.

3874. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] is sinful for a forum for enslavement.

3875. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] makes him and others similarly situated a slave in violation of the 13th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

3876. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the designed result in a complacent policy of indifference to evil, and that policy Plaintiff cannot but hate.

3877. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] activities creates a monstrous injustice of slavery itself.

3878. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it deprives our Republic of its just influence in the world.

3879. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it enables the enemies of free institutions everywhere to taunt us as hypocrites.

3880. Plaintiff avers he hates [The Policy] because it causes the real friends of freedom to doubt our sincerity, and especially because it forces so many good men among ourselves into an open war with the very fundamentals of civil liberty - denying the good faith of the Declaration of Independence and insisting that there is no right principle of action but self-interest.

3881. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has devalued Plaintiff's Core Political Speech.

3882. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has degraded Plaintiff's Pure Speech.

3883. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has deprived the Spiritual Speech of the Plaintiff's

3884. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has destroyed the Persuasive or Private Speech of the Plaintiff.

3885. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has defined Religious or Proselytizing Speech of the Plaintiff.

3886. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has absolute control over the Symbolic Speech or Speech Plus & its Expressive Activities of the Plaintiff.

3887. [The Policy] advanced by [Government Speech] has made the Prophetic Speech or as seen as Predictive Speech of the Plaintiff meaningless and futile.

(A-2.6). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's right to Petition the government

3888. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right to Petition the Defendants' IRS in a manner and forum of his own choosing.

3889. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Form 1040] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3890. [Form 1040] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3891. [Form 1040] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3892. Any person making a proper return to the IRS by way of [Form 1040] only the Defendants' IRS can subsequently file [Form 1040] represented as the symbolism of submission.

3893. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Prior Restraint] or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from other similarly situated.

3894. [Prior Restraint] on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3895. [Prior Restraint] on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

(A-2.7). [The Policy] infringing or prohibiting Plaintiff's right to [LLP]

3896. [The Policy], on its face and as applied, is an unconstitutional abridgment of Plaintiff's free exercise of a Quintessential Right in Unalienable Rights of [LLP].

3897. [The Policy] as law respecting an establishment of religion provides for conveniences and choices in [Abatements].

3898. There is no compelling governmental interest sufficient to justify [Abatements] i.e., Salvation or Defendants' differential treatment of Plaintiff from others similarly situated.

3899. [Abatements] i.e., Salvation on its face and as applied, is not narrowly tailored.

3900. [Abatements] i.e., Salvation on its face and as applied, is not the least restrictive means to accomplish any permissible government purpose.

3901. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is the product of [The Program] that has defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, and deprived any person deemed as a taxpayer by the IRS in support of [Creed] & the [Worthship] manifested by an organized religion described herein.

3902. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS is powerful and controlling.

3903. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS converts taxpayers into taxpayers.

3904. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS transforms U.S. Citizens into customers of THEIRS.

3905. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS makes small business into "Partners" and "Stakeholders" as a tangible property to be controlled, ruled and regulated by them.

3906. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #19, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma of F.E.A.R. attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3907. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #20, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma – Star Trek for a Religion of Reality attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3908. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #21, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Bank-Bailout Redemption Plans attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3909. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #22, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Collateralized Debt Obligations attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3910. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #23, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Credit Default Swaps/ New Bread Line attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3911. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #24, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards – Liars of U.S. Tax Code Reform, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3912. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #25, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of Credit Markets & subprime crisis, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3913. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #26, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards of 2008 Global Economic Crisis, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3914. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #27, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards in Too Big To Fail / Business of Greed, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3915. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #28, [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards as the Enablers for the Business of Greed, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3916. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #29, [To LIVE as EVIL] with IRS Dogma using the same OMB# for different Regulation under different Titles, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3917. Plaintiff avers [The Program] of the Defendants' IRS advances religious belief more particularly described in Exhibit L- #30, [To LIVE as EVIL] with the Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3918. Plaintiff avers a compelling IRS' criterion, principle or measure is an [IRS strategy, plan or positions enforced as their core values guiding their path to achieving their vision in favor of a "proselytization" approach of THEIRS] per se as [CRITERION].

3919. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in essence "official religion".

3920. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in opposition to the less restrict means to lay and collect taxes, from whatever source existing as the income of bank deposits made by a business at a Federal Reserve Bank.

3921. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is in "[Service + Enforcement = Compliance]" per se as ("[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]").

3922. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] creates faith and maintains fear.

3923. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] creates faith and maintains fear in an Individual's Freedom of Mind – a sacred place.

3924. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] established faith by using the Liberty of Labor, a most sacred property, as a property of THEIRS.

3925. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] degrades and devalued Plaintiff's [conscience] of which, is a sacred property.

3926. [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] has deprived Plaintiff his most 'sacred space' (emotions of love, faith & hope) with total impunity.

3927. Plaintiff is fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion or by an organized religion dealing in spiritual death and surreal taxes.

3928. Plaintiff aver [IRS Dogma of THEIRS] forces some many good men among ourselves, into an open war against the very fundamental principles of civil liberty, denying the good faith offered in the Declaration of Independence and insisting there is no right principle of action, but self-interest.

3929. Plaintiff made this [OVC] seeking relief, with a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence, he and others have mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our Fortunes and our sacred Honor.

3930. The [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS expressed as Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit as Government Speech for Taxprayers. This forces a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion.

3931. Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglement with religion; henceforth crafting a forced choice, threats of sanctions, and coercive intent initiated by offering,

conferring or penalizing or denying religious benefits, incentives or aid for unparalleled considerations.

3932. Defendants' own actions, operating the forums in a particular manner and permitting religious expressions, confessions, or dutiful activities to take place therein created the causes of action in this [OVC]

3933. Plaintiff as an objective and reasonable observer perceives such actions of the described governmental activities are motivated by religious syncretism; a religious perspective communicating messages often of contradictory beliefs, while blending practices of various schools of religious thoughts.

3934. Defendants' conduct or associations reveal true semblances of syncretism, sponsoring religious beliefs, exercises and endeavors; thus creating an excessive government entanglement with an organized religion's forms of salvation.

3935. Defendants excessive entanglements does unescapably result from a germane fact; that subsidy, benefit and its census is on an annual basis, when adherents/converts make a proper return to the IRS and its path of life, belief and practices.

3936. Plaintiff avers religious conditions upon public benefits cannot be sustained if they so operate; whenever their purpose is to devalue or deter the free exercise of First Amendment freedoms.

3937. Plaintiff's belief-system rooted in religion is protected by the free exercise clause; which, by its terms, is given special protections by Establishment Clause.

3938. Defendants' disparate treatment of Plaintiff based on his viewpoints is not rationally related to any legitimate governmental interest.

3939. Defendants activities herein are violating Plaintiff's free exercise of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment collectively existing as [Liberty Interests and Property Interests] as ("[Commanding Heights]")

3940. The Establishment/Free Exercise Clauses were to prevent a fusion of governmental and religious functions; when so permeated by religion that the secular side cannot be separated from the sectarian, seeing taxpayers turn into taxprayers.

3941. Defendants' actions complained of, makes a person's religious beliefs relevant to his or her standing in the political community by conveying a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred.

3942. Defendants' activities herein capture the essential commands of the Establishment Clause.

3943. Defendants' activities are crafting religious preferences being thrust upon the Plaintiff, as evidence of indelible religious taunt existing as direct and unwelcome contact; forever tainting Plaintiff's religious freedoms by inflicting an erosion of religious liberties.

3944. The challenged government conduct and activities have no legitimate, compelling interest or clear secular purpose, but has the actual purpose of endorsing religion with the primary effect of advancing it. This inhibits, impinges and burdens Plaintiff's free exercise of his religion, conscience, [Protected Conduct], [Protected Speech] or a disestablishment right.

3945. Scientology, another IRS sanctified or related religion, believes that [Auditing]; a dogma THE-IRS shared or created supporting an undue influence of [Intellectual Tithing].

3946. Plaintiff avers he has the right to be left alone; being protected conduct under First Amendment over and above the Defendants interests to advance Taxology or infringed on by IRS through [Taxism].

3947. Defendants' activities are interfering, encroaching, or prohibiting Plaintiff's [Sacred Honor] [Protected Speech] [Protected Conduct] and [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

3948. Defendants' activities functions as religion in Plaintiff's life, as evidenced by the fact that there is a true or genuine nexus between tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements.

3949. There is no legal nexus between a legitimate government interest (power to lay and collect taxes on incomes) and Defendants actions described herein, being beliefs, tools and atmospheres for religious indoctrination.

3950. Defendants' activities serves as evidence of an organized religion, its endeavors or its expressive activities as the various forms of salvation offered and redemption provided by [Taxology].

3951. The concept that any constitutional right turns upon whether a governmental benefit is characterized as a right or as a privilege; when fostering religious experiences, overtones of indoctrination, or support for a compulsory religion is offensive to the Plaintiff.

3952. Defendants are constantly constructing and enhancing [The fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]" per se ("[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]").

3953. Defendants' [Mega Church] is endorsed by [THE CODE].

3954. Defendants' [Mega Church] is manifested by Taxology.

3955. Defendants' [Mega Church] is preserved, protected, and defended by Taxism.

3956. Plaintiff avers Defendants are foreclosing the free exercise of constitutional rights by mere labels or barring compelling legal interest as a U.S. Citizen.

3957. Defendants are compelling a [proper return] existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest for the proposition of speculative benefits found in a [Refund].

3958. Defendants have established that penalties exist to encourage voluntary compliance by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code.

3959. Defendants described activities, crafting dependent conditions for an IRS' body of believers; manifested the Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS – the semblances of religion.

3960. Defendants producing clear deprivations of, or conduct that impermissibly infringes upon Plaintiff's free exercise rights of his [LLP], and supports invasions of Unalienable Rights of a Fundamental Liberty Interest in Controlling Legal Principles.

3961. Plaintiff has a right to decline to foster such IRS religious, political, and ideological beliefs while exercising his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment, its penumbral as an unenumerated right of the Plaintiff.

3962. Defendants stated IRS activities or beliefs are crafting clear deprivations of, conduct that impermissibly infringes upon, or activities prohibiting the free exercise Plaintiff's religion, speech, expression, conscience, association, protest, or petition.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: December 30th, 2024



TERRY LEE HINDS, [P/P]
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
PH (636) 777-0397
Email address: alphaomega44@outlook.com

Dated this 30th day of December 2024

Subscribed and sworn to before me in St. Louis County on this day of the 30th of December 2024.

