

JAN 13 2025

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MO
ST. LOUIS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:

TERRY LEE HINDS,
Pro se & Suri Juris,
Officially a/k/a Terry Lee Hinds,

Real Party in Interest as Plaintiff/Petitioner, (“[P/P]”)

-Vs-

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., in his official capacity
as the President of the United States of America; &
actions of the Government of the United States, and

DANIEL WERFEL, in his official capacity
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service, &/or
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue; via § 7803 &
actions of INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, IRS &

JANET YELLEN, in her official capacity
as Secretary of the United States Department
of the Treasury; & actions of the UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, and

MERRICK B. GARLAND, in his official capacity
as Attorney General of the United States; & actions of
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. (“[D/R/I P]”)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL MATTERS.

SIXTH DECLARATION OF TERRY LEE HINDS (“[Decl. #6]”)

Pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1746, I, TERRY LEE HINDS, as Petitioner/Plaintiff (“[P/P]”) hereby declare as follows. This Declaration is in support of [P/P]’s civil action regarding:

**PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW, JUDGMENT OR DECREE
AND FOR ALL WRITS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO THIS CASE
AS WELL ISSUE WRITS AGREEABLE TO USAGES & PRINCIPLES OF LAW**

This Sixth Declaration is necessary for the purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 8. General Rules of Pleading, to meet a requirement made pursuant to law, and to the factual matters that are required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, or established for [P/P]'s civil action. I am over the age of 18 and I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration & could or would testify competently to those facts if called as a witness.

PETITION BACKGROUND & LEGAL NATURE OF THIS CASE

[P/P]'s [LLP] is of one's FAITH in [LAW] as a religious liberty. It is the way, the truth & the life. [P/P] chose to express a full spectrum of inherently expressive conduct & free speech of religious activity of which articulates the following as facts in issue, averments, allegations, or relevant facts germane to this case or as original pleaded from the original civil action [OVC] FILE NUMBER: 4:17 – CV- 750, (2017) to wit [Testimony] revealed.

Section D –

An Intersection of Church and State- Personal Constitution & U.S. Constitution

(1.) Plaintiff's Personal Constitution

179. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #1, Justice – Equality – Service – Unity – Sacrifice; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

180. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #2, Separation of Powers Doctrine (a system of checks and balances); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

181. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #3, The Preamble of the United States Constitution; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

182. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of a religion through Exhibit D- #4, For God & Country - Preambles of 50 State Constitutions of U.S.A. ; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

183. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #5, Everson v. Board of Education, 330 U.S. 1 (1947)- A Landmark Case; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

184. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #6, Lemon v. Kurtzman, 403

U.S. 602 (1971) - A Landmark Case; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

185. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #7, *Larkin v. Grendel's Den, Inc.*, 459 U.S. 116 (1982); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

186. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #8, *Walz v. Tax Comm'n of City of New York* 397, U. S. 664; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #8 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

187. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #9, *Butchers' Union Co. v. Crescent City*, 111 U.S. 746 (1884); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #9 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

188. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #10, *United States v. Cruikshank*, 92 U.S. 542 (1875); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #10 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

189. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #11, *Loan Assoc. v. Topeka*, 87 U.S. 20 Wall 655 (1874); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #11 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

190. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #12, *U.S. v. Butler*, 297 U.S. 1 (1936); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #12 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

191. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #13, *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #13 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

192. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #14, *J. Story, Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States* §1893; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #14 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

193. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #15, *The Public Policy*

Doctrine of United States Criminal Law; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #15 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

194. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #16, Contemporary Civil Religion in the United States; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #16 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

195. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #17, IN GOD WE TRUST- A Principle system for Mankind's possibilities; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #17 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

196. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #18, [Quintessential Rights] of the First Amendment Free Exercise Clause; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #18 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

197. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #19, The All Seeing Eye of Providence & The Chief Cornerstone; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #19 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

198. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #20, United States v. Bishop & 28 U.S. Code §2007 & Mo. Const. Art I, Sec.11; more particularly described in Exhibit D- #20 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

199. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #21, Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S 1 Cranch 137 137 (1803); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #21 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

200. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #22, McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 U.S. 4 Wheat 316 316 (1819); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #22 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

201. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #23, Bailey v. Drexel Furniture Co., 259 U.S. 20 (1922); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #23 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

202. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D-24, County of Allegheny v.

ACLU, 492 U.S. 573 (1989); more particularly described in Exhibit D- #24 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

203. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #25, Edwards v. Aguillard, 483 U.S. 578 (1987); more particularly described in Exhibit D-#25 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

204. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #26, Grosjean v. American Press Co., Inc., 297 U.S. 233 (1936); more particularly described in Exhibit D-#26 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

205. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #27, Bose Corp. v. Consumers Union of U. S., Inc., 466 U.S. 485 (1986); more particularly described in Exhibit D-#27 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

206. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #28, Gibbons v. Ogden, 22 U.S. 1 (1824); more particularly described in Exhibit D-#28 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

207. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, not of any religion through Exhibit D- #29, Doctrine of Stare Decisis; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#29 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

208. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #30, Intelligent Design of Civil Religion; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#30 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

209. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #31, The Intersection of Church and State/Our Church of Greater Reality; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#31 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

210. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and American Civil Religion through Exhibit D- #32, [Commanding Heights] E Pluribus Unum (Latin for "Out of many, one"); more particularly described in Exhibit D-#32 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

211. Plaintiff's personal constitution in pursuant of his [LLP] has established legal evidence of reason, and not of any religion through Exhibit D- #33, The Intersection of

Church and State – A Threshold for Understanding; more particularly described in Exhibit D-#33 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(2.) Creation, Creature, or Legal Constructs of Legal Doctrine for States & [D/R/I P]

212. Yazoo & M. V. R. Co. v. City of Clarksdale, 257 U.S. 10 (1921) ("The corporation is completely a creature of a state, and it is usually within the function of the creator to say how the creature shall be brought before judicial tribunals.").

213. Wilson v. United States, 221 U.S. 361, 384 (1911) ("[T]he corporation is a creature of the state. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter.").

214. Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 74-75 (1906) ("[T]he corporation is a creature of the state. It is presumed to be incorporated for the benefit of the public. It receives certain special privileges and franchises and holds them subject to the laws of the state and the limitations of its charter. Its powers are limited by law. It can make no contract not authorized by its charter. Its rights to act as a corporation are only preserved to it so long as it obeys the laws of its creation. There is a reserved right in the legislature to investigate its contracts and find out whether it has exceeded its powers.").

Section I - Common Backgrounds of certain religions and religious beliefs of Plaintiff.

246. Many say the etymology of religion lies with the Latin word religare, which means "to tie, to bind", an assumption most favored, since this helps explain the power religion has.

247. According to some estimates, there are roughly 4,200 religions in the world. The Everything World's Religions Book: Explore the Beliefs, Traditions and Cultures of Ancient and Modern Religions; page 1 Kenneth Shouler (2010) ISBN-10: 1440500363.

248. Plaintiff avers: "Religion is regarded by the common people as true, by the wise as false, and by the rulers as useful." Seneca the Younger (ca. 4 BC–AD 65), was a Roman philosopher & statesman.

249. The word "religion" as a matter of fact, never occurs in the Hebrew Scriptures, nor is it to be found in the gospels; and a mere five references to it elsewhere in the New Testament.

250. A perspective on God, Gods versus No God(s) and a God that has no religion:

a.) Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates on top of a mountain a "Burning Bush" who declared to Moses: "I AM the God of your father, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac and the God of Jacob." Including but not limited to: "I AM, WHO I AM." Exodus 3:14. There is

no record or mention that this God declared a particular religion, only as a Founding Father.

b.) Is religion a person, place, or thing versus existing as a system of beliefs, practices and convictions that inspire conduct or demand it? No matter how we define religion it is a manmade or human creation.

c.) A common background of certain religions may have one god, or multiple gods or perhaps no gods or a god at all. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants [IRS] created a god of gold.

d.) Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS has a large host of gods, with Defendants erecting a statute of an IRS' deity the Plaintiff per se, calls [WHATEVER] as [An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER]. See Exhibit H- #7, [WHATEVER] – An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS; more particularly described in Exhibit H- 7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

e.) Plaintiff avers no U.S. Court of Law has declared that a certain god, or a host of gods have any particular religion(s) or "IN GOD WE TRUST" is not or exists as a secular god.

f.) Plaintiff avers this Nation has a secular faith "IN GOD WE TRUST" a Nation existing as "One Nation Under God" being of a God who created reason, as The Way, The Truth, and The Life.

(g.) The First Amendment, in part prohibits Defendants' from manifesting law respecting an establishment of religion and not with a Nation's reasoning, its truths we hold to be self-evident or a God who created reason.

251. Tithing and Offerings:

a.) Many religions, if not a majority already have some system of belief or thoughts and/or practice of tithing and offerings received from its body of believers. Human history has revealed tithing and offerings do not always involved money; rather one's time, labor, sacrifice and even promises to do a certain thing constituted tithing or an offering.

b.) Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are promoting undue influence of religious tithing and offerings as "[the giving of new and useful information to the IRS' Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices]" per se as ("[Intellectual Tithing]") taxing the human spirit and soul.

c.) Plaintiff avers Tithing and Offerings of the present time often involves money or something of value, however also based on a sacrifice of one's time, labor, favors, food, or a host of material things of important by those who made a promise or commitment regarding "woethership" (today as traditional word, worship but has advance or changed to [Worthship]).

252. Christianity:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Christianity is a religion and practices it various forms.
- b. Christianity is the world's largest religion and was founded in the early 1st century AD, with the teaching, miracles, crucifixion, and resurrection of Jesus of Nazareth. Christianity has many different branches and forms with accompanying variety in beliefs, practices, and convictions.
- c. The three major branches of Christianity are Roman Catholicism, Eastern Orthodoxy, and Protestantism, with numerous subcategories within each of these branches, including but not limited to the Baptist, Mormonism, Jehovah Witnesses, Methodism, Lutheran, Presbyterianism, Pentecostalism, Congregationalism, Quakers, Evangelical, and Christian Science.
- d. The sacred text of Christianity is the Holy Bible, including both the Hebrew Scriptures (also known as the Old Testament) and the New Testament. Central to traditional Christian practice is the gathering at churches for worship, fellowship, and study, and engagement with the world through evangelism and social action.
- e. Plaintiff [believes] in a spiritual death. In Christian theology, spiritual death is separation from God. Humans are separated from God because of sin (wrongful thoughts and actions), which entered the world through the Fall of Man, and are reconciled to God through the atoning sacrifice of Jesus Christ.
- f. Plaintiff avers the religion known as Christianity did not exist when Jesus Christ was born and when he was crucified the Roman authorities declared he was the King of the Jews.

Fact: Jesus Christ was crucified as a secular leader and was tried under Roman law. The written Gospels of Matthew, Mark & Luke were inscribed by Roman personnel pursuant to the orders issued by Roman governor Pontius Pilate. Initially served as intelligence briefings because of the supernatural powers displayed and presented by Jesus Christ. Such documents preserved by the Romans Empire. The Gospel of John was written by a distinct authority just like The Book of Revelation, as "I am" of The Eye of Providence.

253. Islam:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] Islam is a religion; however, sees no need to practice it, except for his self-surrender to the "Will of God" and in Plaintiff [conscience] dictates God is Jesus Christ.
- b. It is the second largest religion in the world and is a monotheistic religion based on revelations received by the Prophet Muhammad in the 7th century, which were later recorded in the Qur'an (Koran), Islam's sacred text.

c. The Arabic word Islam means "submission," reflecting the religion's central tenet of submitting to the will of God. The root of the Arabic word is 'Salem' that means 'to be in peace'. Accordingly, Islam means submission to Allah (God in English) and hence being in peace between yourself and God and all the surrounding.

d. Islamic practices are defined by the Five Pillars of Islam: faith, prayer, fasting, pilgrimage, and alms. An adherent of Islam is called a Muslim. Muslims believe that God is one and incomparable and the purpose of existence is to submit to and serve Allah (God).

e. Muslims also believe that Islam is the complete and universal version of a primordial faith that was revealed before many times throughout the world, including notably through Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses and Jesus, whom they consider prophets.

f. Religious concepts and practices include the five pillars of Islam, which are basic concepts and obligatory acts of worship, and following Islamic law, which touch on virtually every aspect of life and society, providing guidance on multifarious topics from banking and welfare, to warfare and the environment.

254. **Hinduism:**

a. Plaintiff [believes] Hinduism is a religion; however sees no need to practice it; but respect and reveals the manifestations of an Ultimate Reality. In Hinduism, Brahman connotes the highest Universal Principle, the Ultimate Reality in the universe.

b. Hinduism It is the third largest religion in the world. It is the dominant religion of the Indian subcontinent, particularly of India and Nepal, which consists of many diverse traditions. It includes Shaivism, Vaishnavism and Shaktism among numerous other traditions, and a wide spectrum of laws and prescriptions of "daily morality" based on karma, dharma, and societal norms.

c. Hinduism is a categorization of distinct intellectual or philosophical points of view, rather than a rigid, common set of beliefs. Clear concepts of proselytization and conversion play a marginal role in practice. Early in their history, in the absence of other competing religions, Hindus considered everyone they came across as Hindu and expected everyone they met to be Hindus. Hindus today continue to be influenced by historical ideas of acceptability of conversion.

d. Hence, many Hindus continue to believe that Hinduism is an identity obtained by birth, while many others continue to believe that anyone who follows Hindu beliefs and practices is a Hindu, and many believe in some form of both theories.

255. **Buddhism:**

a. Plaintiff [believes] Buddhism is a religion; however, sees no need to practice it, however religiously accepts, practices and [believes] “seeing things as they are” and its teaching people to “live and let live”. Plaintiff [believes] in a Spiritual Death.

b. Buddhadasa called Dukkha Spiritual Death. Sangharakshita uses the term Spiritual Death to describe one stage in a system of meditation, where insight is gained into delusions about our existence.

c. Buddhism remains the dominant religion of the Far East and is increasingly popular in the West. The basic doctrines of early Buddhism, which remain common among Buddhists today, include the “four noble truths:” existence is suffering; suffering has a cause, namely craving and attachment; there is a cessation of suffering, which is nirvana; and there is a path to the cessation of suffering, the “eightfold path” of right views, right resolve, right speech, right action, right livelihood, right effort, right mindfulness, and right concentration.

d. Meditation and observance of moral precepts are the foundation of Buddhist practice. The five basic moral precepts, undertaken by members of monastic orders and the laity, are to refrain from taking life, stealing, acting unchastely, speaking falsely, and drinking intoxicants. Buddhists share in common a great respect for the teachings of the Buddha, “The Enlightened One.”

e. Buddhism is a religion which teaches people to 'live and let live'. Buddhists speak of “seeing things as they are” as one of the hallmarks of true enlightenment, where this means grasping or realizing the emptiness of things, but not in a purely intellectual way. Persons newly adhering to Buddhism traditionally “take Refuge” (express faith in the Three Jewels — Buddha, Dharma, Sangha) before a monk or similar representative.

256. Sikhism:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Sikhism is a religion; however, sees no need to practice it, although [believes] in there “goal” to build a close, loving relationship with God.

b. Sikhism emerged in 16th-century India in an environment heavily permeated with conflicts between the Hindu and Muslim religions. Its founding teacher, Guru Nanak Dev, was born in 1469 to a Hindu family. His most famous saying was, “There is no Hindu, there is no Muslim, so whose path shall I follow? I shall follow the path of God.” Sikhism is not known to openly proselytize but accepts converts.

257. Judaism:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Judaism is a religion; and believes in many aspects of it, especially the letters used in the Torah and the encoded belief in using an equidistant letter sequence, called ELS for short. Plaintiff practices in the Torah Code; knowing we live in an encoded world, maintaining our free will is the destiny of the human race.

b. Judaism is one of the oldest religions still existing today. It began as the religion of the small nation of the Hebrews, and through thousands of years of suffering, persecution, dispersion, and occasional victory, has continued to be a profoundly influential religion and culture.

c. Their sacred text, the Hebrew Bible, teaches several doctrines - such as those about God, the Messiah, human beings, and the universe - making beliefs very important to Jews. Judaism has no official creed, however.

d. "Kabbalah" is an esoteric method, discipline, and school of thought that originated in Judaism. A traditional Kabbalist in Judaism is called a Mekubbal.

e. Modern Judaism is a complex phenomenon that incorporates both a nation and a religion, and often combines strict adherence to ritual laws with a more liberal attitude towards religious belief. Judaism is where law respecting an establishment of religion exist in the State of Israel.

258. Bahaism:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Bahaism is a religion; however sees no need to practice it, however believes in the spiritual unity of all humankind and the concept of "obtain a hearing".

b. To begin, the terms "Bahai faith" and "Bahai religion" are both correct terminologies. "Bahai faith" is the term most associated with the worldview and convictions of Bahai's adherents, while "Bahai religion" is the term most used when referring to the community's organization, institutions, and religious requirements.

c. The community eschews all forms of superstition, emphasizes the importance of an unfettered search for scientific and religious truth, and sets for its followers the goal of meeting the highest moral standards.

d. The Bahá'í Faith is a monotheistic religion emphasizing the spiritual unity of all humankind. According to the Bahá'í Faith's teachings, the human purpose is to learn to know and love God through such methods as prayer, reflection and being of service to humanity.

e. In sharing their faith with others, Bahá'ís are cautioned to "obtain a hearing" – meaning to make sure the person they are proposing to teach is open to hearing what they have to say. "Bahá'í pioneers", rather than attempting to supplant the cultural underpinnings of the people in their adopted communities, are encouraged to integrate into the society and apply Bahá'í principles in living and working with their neighbors.

259. Confucianism:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Confucianism is a religion and practices certain aspects of it on a very selective basis.

b. Confucianism is a way of life taught by Confucius in the 6th–5th century BC. It is a system which is mixture of philosophy and ethics established by Chinese philosopher, Confucius. It has deeply influenced spiritual and political life in China; its influence has also extended to Korea, Japan, and Vietnam. Confucianism is perhaps best understood as an all-encompassing humanism that neither denies nor slights Heaven.

c. The core of Confucianism is humanism, or what the philosopher Herbert Fingarette calls "the secular as sacred". Confucianism focuses on the practical, especially the importance of the family, and not on a belief in gods or the afterlife. Confucianism, broadly speaking, does not exalt faithfulness to divine will or higher law.

260. Jainism:

a. Plaintiff [believes] in Jainism as a religion; however, sees no need to practice it.

b. This is an Indian religion which began in the sixth century, the same time Buddhism was developing. It stresses the need of non-violence and spreads the message of mutual cooperation among the humans. The jinas, after which the name of the religion is assigned, were the spiritual conquerors who achieved liberation.

261. Taoism:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Taoism is a religion; however, sees no need to practice it except for spiritual harmony within the individual, which complements Confucianism's focus on social duty.

b. Taoism (also spelled Daoism) is based on the teachings of the Tao Te Ching, a short tract written in the 6th century BC in China. There are two main strands and schools within Taoism, usually labeled "philosophical Taoism" (Tao-chia) and "religious Taoism" (Tao-chaio).

c. Taoism is a philosophical, ethical, and religious tradition of Chinese origin that emphasizes living in harmony with the Tao. The term Tao means "way", "path" or "principle", and can also be found in Chinese philosophies and religions other than Taoism.

d. In Taoism, however, Tao denotes something that is both the source and the driving force behind everything that exists. It is ultimately ineffable. The emphasis of the Tao Te Ching is on spiritual harmony within the individual, which complements Confucianism's focus on social duty. Many followers of Taoism are characterized by their use of the Taoism symbol, "yin & yang".

e. Its emphasis on spiritual harmony within the individual complements Confucianism's focus on social duty. The two great Chinese belief systems were founded at about the same time and continue to exist side-by-side in today's China.

262. Indigenous Religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Indigenous Religion is a religion; and practices on a selective basis pertaining to the spiritual practices of the Indigenous peoples in North America with membership of their faith, not by religious belief however by blood.

b. “Indigenous Religion” is religion that is primarily defined as orally and ritually oriented toward particular landscapes. Native American tribal faiths are indigenous elements of a religious life and are directly connected with religious creativity.

c. One example of an “Indigenous Religion” is Shinto. Shintoism is the term for the indigenous religious beliefs and practices of Japan. It actually connects the modern-day Japan with that of the ancient one. The spirit of Japan lies in this religion.

d. The Shinto religion has no founder or official scriptures but even so, its beliefs have been preserved and practiced in true letter and spirit by approximately 4 million members throughout the ages. In the Shinto religion, which doesn’t include a weekly service; their practices include worship and offerings to kami at shrines and at home. Shinto kami were considered as protectors of Buddhism.

263. Revealed religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Revealed Religion is a religion; however, has no desires to practice it based on the standards of others.

b. Revealed religion also commonly described as Law-giving religions; the revealed religion is those which find their symbolic center or base in some set of revelations handed down by a deity or any powerful entity that want to be like a “god”. These types of revelations are normally contained in written document or scriptures which, in turn, have been transmitted to the rest of us by specially revered prophets of the god(s) or a demi-god or Caesar style ruler or God.

c. One example of “revealed religion” was practiced was the Roman Empire. The majority of leaders of the Roman Empire were pagans (worship many gods) who the central leaders thought were descendants from the gods or often self-declared as a god.

d. Plaintiff [believes] the United States Tax Court was formed as the Orthodox Church on behalf of the Church of Taxology and is where Taxology and “revealed religion” is practiced. United States Tax Court icon is the Roman Fasces, the symbol of real power.

264. Identity religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Identity Religion is a religion; and has practice it with the IRS.

b. While religion as belief emphasizes doctrines, religion as identity emphasizes an association or affiliation with a group. In this sense, “Identity Religion” is experienced as something akin to family, ethnicity, race, class or nationality. Identity religion

distinctiveness in which a person or child believe they were born to accept or “born into” rather than something to which they convert after a process of study, prayer, or reflection.

c. In Identity religion what you believe doesn't matter very much; you're a member whether you like it or not and whether you believe in a religion or not. Identity religion is "religious theater" - a course in acting out a part, like IRS Rites defining our Rights.

d. Identity religion, in this basic form, understands co-religionists to be a part of the same group (perhaps even regardless of their personal beliefs). Identity religion is less likely to emphasize shared theological beliefs and more likely to emphasize shared histories, cultures, classes, ethnicity, shared values or status and traditions.

e. This means that the term “identity” may refer to individual identity, group identity, or social identity. On all these levels identity has something to do with a tendency toward “sameness” or stability, with a tendency toward “wholeness” or integration of traits, or with a strengthening of boundaries around the unit in question.

f. Any person who deems themselves a “Taxprayer” & as a dependent have accepted the ideas shared with and beliefs within religious Identity. IRS’ Identity religion is built on the Orthodoxy of THEIRS, with the practice of this faith better described as taking “a leap of faith”. IRS Identity religion has a great effect on any person as described herein.

265. Pseudo-religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Pseudo-religion is a religion; and has practice some aspects of it.

b. Pseudo-religion is a generally pejorative term applied to a non-mainstream belief-system or philosophy which is functionally similar to a religious movement, typically having a founder, principal text, liturgy, and faith-based beliefs.

c. Belief systems such as Theosophy, Corporate Kabbalism, Christian Science, and the Nation of Islam have all been referred to as pseudo-religions, as have various New Age religions, as well as political ideologies. Within the academic debate, political ideologies that resemble religion are sometimes referred to as political religions.

d. While the more serious-minded participants in these groups may prefer to consider themselves part of a proper religion, or not part of a religion at all, the mainstream ascribes to them a fringe status. Such groups as the Raëlian Church and Heaven's Gate, seen as dangerous, exploitative, secretive, or closed, have been classified as pseudo-religious cults.

e. Political Religion- “Let reverence for the laws; be breathed by every American mother, to the lisping babe that prattles on her lap. Let it be taught in schools, in seminaries, and in colleges. Let it be written in primers, spelling books, and in Almanacs. Let it be preached from the pulpit, proclaimed in legislative halls, and enforced in the courts of justice. And, in short, let it become the political religion of the nation.” Abraham Lincoln (1809–1865), U.S. President. Address before the Young Men's Lyceum, Springfield,

Illinois, Jan. 27, 1838. Collected Works of Abraham Lincoln, Vol. 1, p. 112, Rutgers University Press (1953, 1990). Plaintiff acknowledges “old souls” like Lincoln holds law as a sacred trust.

f. Political religion concerns governmental ideologies whose cultural and political backing is so strong that they are said to attain power equivalent to those of a state religion, to which they often exhibit significant similarities in both theory and practice. Political religion is based on the observation that sometimes political ideologies or political systems display features more commonly associated with religion.

g. Plaintiff aver Political religion offers creates battles, existing in all forms or its endeavors and even established full blown political or civil wars as witnessed in history or present-day time.

266. Civil Religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] civil religion is a religion and practices American Civil Religion all the time. Plaintiff loves American Civil Religion because it allows him to prefect his soul.

b. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates “American Civil Religion” concerns “One Nation Under God” who endorses “reason” not any particular religion. “American Civil Religion” is seen when an oath of office or in a court of law is taken on the Holy Bible. The Holy Bible is the public policy standards not written by the Defendants where American Civil Religion is practice by the first U.S. President.

c. “American Civil Religion” is a term coined by sociologist Robert Bellah in 1967. According to Bellah, Americans embrace a common “civil religion” with certain fundamental beliefs, values, holidays, and rituals, parallel to, or independent of their chosen religion.

d. Civil religion stands somewhat in the dichotomy of its social or political status; and the establishment of religion. Civil religion is self-evident since by definition it suffuses an entire society or at least a large segment of a society; and is often practiced by leaders within that society. American Civil Religion builds trust and human virtues of a society.

e. American Civil Religion retains the faith that GOD created reason, not religion, holding that the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment concerns itself with separation of Church and State not the separation of “God and Country”. America civil religion holds: “There’s an authority above all of us and by this authority we seek our independence.”

f. American Civil Religion is a part of American heritage and history, especially upholding previous U. S. Supreme Court decisions and doctrines that have not been overturned. The term civil religion often varies according to whether one is a sociologist of religion, a professional political commentator or any person seeking the truth concerning a legal system having a balance with religion and secular beliefs.

g. Civil religion tends to be problematic from a theological viewpoint. Being more identified with a political and social culture and a leadership hierarchy of an existing society, civil religion cannot interfere with the prophetic mission of a religious faith.

h. Civil religion intention is to “harmonize” religion and a politics society and finds a solution to the problems of endless litigation regarding religious matters or with religion itself. Civil religion does not take away one’s free will, or the ability to think and act independently. Civil religion has no power to place anyone civilly or criminally liable.

i. As such civil religion encompasses such things as: the invocation of God in political speeches and public monuments; the quotation of religious texts on public occasions by political leaders; the veneration of past political and spiritual leaders; the use of the lives of these leaders to teach moral ideals; the veneration of veterans and casualties of a nation's wars; religious gatherings called by political leaders.

j. Civil religion use of religious symbols on public buildings; the use of public buildings for worship; founding myths and other national myths; and similar religious or quasi-religious practices. These are a few examples but not a complete list.

k. America's civil religion can be traced back to the Declaration of Independence, which states that people have a God-given right to freedom, life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. It's a notion that can be found in the "One Nation Under God," phrase in the Pledge of Allegiance, and the "In God We Trust" motto on U.S. currency. And it's an ethos celebrated during Thanksgiving, Memorial Day, and the Fourth of July.

l. Civil religion, among other practices, include the following: a small or large crowd singing the national anthem at certain public gatherings; parades or display of the national flag or military might on certain patriotic holidays; oaths of allegiance, such as the Pledge of Allegiance of the United States. In American Civil Religion no practice is required by law.

m. In American Civil Religion there are no indictments, trials or imprisonment of “any person”, person or a citizen. American Civil Religion operates freely without penalties or punishments pursued by the state or any other government authority because is authorized by the Founding Fathers and Framers under “prohibiting the free exercise thereof...” of the First Amendment.

n. American Civil Religion is not law respecting an establishment of religion because its actions or practices are based on reason, not religion. American Civil Religion does not compel belief or disbelief through the force of law or threat of penalty.

267. Personal Religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Personal religion is a religion and practices it all the time. Plaintiff’s personal religion is an unincorporated body teaching that the obligation imposed by The Ten Commandments of God is greater to that of policies, rules, ordinances, regulations,

or laws respecting an establishment of religion enacted by temporal government or an authoritarian body. Ten Commandments of God is the Laws of Causes and Consequences.

b. Plaintiff's personal religion has been given a label or exist as United Constitution Christian (UCC member of society). Plaintiff [believes] as a United Constitution Christian he has a duty to his God and Country. Plaintiff [believes] as a United Constitution Christian a person can have or be a member of other religious organization or practice any religion of their choice. Plaintiff's sacred Honor, most sacred precincts & most sacred property is herein.

c. Personal Religion may be best understood in terms of what it is not. It is not one which claims to have a religious message for all of humanity, it does not offer any means of universal salvation, and it does not purport to have a unique insight into the will of any God or deities; however, it is conduct; that Personal Religion is substantially motivated by a sincerely held religious belief or beliefs in The Laws of Principle and Practice of God.

d. These sincerely held religious belief(s) are not particularly based upon a membership in a religious organization, but a quality of a religion. The personal religious belief must be paramount in a person's life. A person must be living by these beliefs through conduct that reflects some tenet, practice, or custom of a larger system of religious belief or be of many long-standing personal practices that have strong religious connotations.

e. The dichotomy between one's sincerely held religious belief(s) and self-styled secular belief(s) doesn't really exist in Personal religion. Personal religion is practiced 24/7 and is where personal work habits, or business conducted, and personal life patterns co-exist. These sincerely held religious belief(s) may be tested in a court of law or when a person's personal religious practice poses a threat to the health, safety, or welfare of the public.

f. In Personal Religion a person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, include belief in a Deity or Gods. A person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, make sense to others. A person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, be coherent. A person's religious belief may, but doesn't have to, be understandable or acceptable to others.

g. Personal Religion is of an *intelligent design* and is a form of free agency or moral agency. Moral agency is an individual's ability to make moral judgments based on some commonly held notion of right and wrong and to be held accountable for these actions. A moral agent is a being who is capable of acting with reference to right and wrong.

h. Personal Religion allows for and accepts membership with other religion(s). Personal Religion gives meaning to a person's life. Personal Religion is the spiritual glue that holds the visible world and the invisible worlds together.

i. Plaintiff's Personal Religion is based on The Way, The Truth, and The Life, of his GOD; so, he can perfect his soul, protect the human spirit and please GOD with prayer,

practice and purpose. Plaintiff's Personal Religion is one's life and not about taking a human life.

268. Salvation religion:

- a. Plaintiff [believes] that Salvation religion is a religion; however, will not practice it, except for the salvation granted by God not a government acting as a God.
- b. Legalism, in Christianity, is a term referring to an improper fixation on law or codes of conduct for a person to merit or obtain salvation, blessing from God, or fellowship with God, with an attendant misunderstanding of the grace of God.
- c. Simply put, legalism is belief that obedience to the law or a set of rules is the pre-eminent principle of redemption and/or favor with God. Its opposite extreme is antinomianism which claims that moral laws are not binding on Christian believers
- d. In Christianity, legalism is the excessive and improper use of the law (10 commandments, holiness laws, etc). This legalism can take different forms. The world's religions agree that humanity needs salvation from its present condition.
- e. Salvation religion is typically founded by a prophet or religious leader who creates a basic doctrine which explains to people how salvation or recovery might be achieved through a simple self-help message that congregants say is both uplifting and accessible. Salvation religion theology has been described as "cotton candy" theology – tastes good – but no real substance.
- f. It could be argued reasonably that the primary purpose of all religions is to provide salvation for their adherents, and the existence of many different religions indicates that there is a great variety of opinion about what constitutes salvation and the means of achieving it. That the term salvation can be meaningfully used in connection with so many religions, however, shows that it distinguishes a notion common to men and women of a wide range of cultural traditions.
- g. The fundamental idea contained in the English word salvation, and the Latin salvatio and Greek sōtēria from which it derives, is that of saving or delivering from some dire situation. The term soteriology denotes beliefs and doctrines concerning salvation in any specific religion, as well as the study of the subject. The idea of saving or delivering from some dire situation logically implies that humankind, as a whole or in part, is in such a situation. This premise, in turn, involves a series of related assumptions about human nature and destiny.
- h. The hope of salvation has naturally involved ideas about how it might be achieved. These ideas have varied according to the form of salvation envisaged, but the means employed can be divided into three significant categories: (1) the most primitive is based on belief in the efficacy of ritual magic; initiation ceremonies, such as those of the ancient mystery religions, afford notable examples; (2) salvation by self-effort, usually

through the acquisition of esoteric knowledge, ascetic discipline, or heroic death, has been variously promised in certain religions, such as Orphism, Hinduism, and Islam; and (3) salvation by divine aid usually entailing the concept of a divine savior who achieves what humans cannot do for themselves, as in Christianity, Judaism, and Islam.

i. Legalism in Taxology is a term referring to an improper fixation on law or codes of conduct for a person to merit or obtain salvation, or blessing from Government, or fellowship with the Government, with an attendant misunderstanding of the grace of Government.

269. Mystical religion:

a. Plaintiff does not believe in Mystical Religion and will not practice it.

b. Mystical religion is one in which mystical experiences play the central or most important role in terms of understanding nature, or the natural rhythm of life forces, learning about the divine, and becoming a religiously devout person.

c. At its most extreme, it excludes all other concerns with life, society, and fellow believers - it is unsurprising that mystical religions which remain mystical religions alone do not often result in coherent and long-lived religious traditions.

d. The mysteries had little or no use for doctrine and correct belief. They were primarily concerned with the emotional life of their followers. Wicca is a Mystical religion.

e. Wicca is an almost completely decentralized religion. George Knowles, a Wiccan author, has said: "Wicca has no high authority, no single leader, no prophet and no Bible to dictate its laws and beliefs". Many, perhaps most, Wiccans are solitary practitioners. Others form small local groups called covens, groves, etc. Thus, there probably are almost as many sets of Wiccan beliefs as there are Wiccans.

270. Olympian or Sports religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Sport-religion is a religion; however, sees no need to practice it.

b. After organized worship, organized athletic competition is perhaps the oldest communal impulse known to mankind, and today sports and religion mirror each other as never before, experts say. "Super Bowl Sunday" on Feb. 6, 2005, is a case in point: a Sabbath-day event that will bring thousands to a contemporary cathedral - and tens of millions more via television - to watch gridiron gladiators who call on God's help for their success.

c. Olympian religion first appeared in the Greek states. Such religion had full-time professional priesthoods that are hierarchies or bureaucracies organized like the state itself. Olympia religions are polytheistic, characterized by pantheons of powerful Etienne anthropomorphic God's with specialized functions.

d. Sports religion is like "religious theater" however it is not a course in acting out a certain part, but rather "witnesses" to the human spirit in achieving a goal with little violence or with ferocity that Plaintiff hopes will not destroy the human spirit or soul.

271. Corporate religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] Corporate religion is a religion; however, sees no need to practice it.

b. Corporate religion is about building a strong market position, a shared vision, strong leadership, internal-external integration, the implementing the idea, and a spirit self-worth and belief that enables "any person" to succeed in business. The aim is to unite everything in a corporate religion.

c. A religion that brings the internal company and the external markets in a shared connected flow of understanding. Corporate religion is very large because the IRS, most churches, temples, and other religious institutions have tax-exempt status because they are a Corporation recognized by state government. Corporate religion produces bid write off and write downs with [THE CODE].

d. Corporate religion is very powerful because these legal entities have produced people who have god-like powers, similar to those living in the Rome Empire or the Ancient Greeks. These Greek demi-gods were known as the Titans. Today the Titans of industry were known and very powerful in human and governmental affairs.

272. Hellenistic religion:

a. Plaintiff [believes] the ancient Hellenistic-religion was a religion; and like all or any ancient religions not practiced, become a myth or myths.

b. Hellenistic religion is any of the various systems of beliefs and practices of the people who lived under the influence of ancient Greek culture during the Hellenistic period and the Roman Empire (c. 300 BCE to 300 CE).

c. Two of the most powerful empires in the ancient world were Greece and Rome. It's impossible to understand these empires without understanding the religions that were so important to them.

d. Religion wasn't simply a part of the lives of the ancient Greeks and the ancient Romans; religion was that upon which their lives were built. Religion was often where Greeks and Romans derived their meaning and purpose in life.

e. Hellenistic-religion was the matrix through which they understood the universe and all the events within it. Although the religion of the ancient Greeks and Romans is virtually extinct in its original form, it lives on in the cultures, imaginations, and, in some ways, even the religions of the modern western world.

f. A Greek religion was polytheistic is clear, but it also incorporated concepts that could be said to resemble an Ultimate Reality. Although the stories contained in the Greek myths and legends make up part of the religion of the Ancient Greeks, they did not set out strict religious rules which must be followed, such as are set down in the Holy Bible or the Koran.

g. The Ancient Greek gods were very much like incredibly powerful humans. They were human in form, and they experienced human emotions such as: love, hate, jealousy, lust, worry.

f. Each of the Greek gods was responsible for a particular aspect or area of life. People were free to worship whichever gods were most relevant to their lives. Temples were built to honor the gods, and the Ancient Greeks also used to have shrines in their homes to show their devotion to a particular deity.

273. **New Age Religion:**

a. Plaintiff [believes] in the power of New Age Religion; and has practices it from time to time.

b. The term commonly used to designate a vast syncretism (or mixing) of numerous religious and philosophical ideas being a movement of late 20th century and contemporary Western culture, characterized by an eclectic and individual approach to spiritual exploration and references the pending or coming astrological Age of Aquarius.

c. “New Age Religion” which offers individuals the opportunity to reconnect with spiritual dimensions of the self and thus with the wider cosmos—relationships that are typically obscured by secular culture and often are not addressed in biblical traditions.

274. **Scientology:**

a. Plaintiff’s [believes] Scientology is a religion however sees no need to practice it.

b. Scientology operated as a business until it acquired tax exempt status as an organized religion issued by the IRS. The full body of knowledge that comprises the Scientology religion is contained in more than forty million spoken and written words on the subject—all by L. Ron Hubbard.

c. Scientology has a central tenet called “Auditing”. “Through auditing one is able to look at his own existence and improve his ability to confront what he is and where he is.” “The person being audited is completely aware of everything that happens.” “***Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures.***” <http://www.scientology.org/what-is-scientology/the-practice-of-scientology/auditing-in-scientology.html>

d. The Doctrine of Exchange is a central tenet of Scientology, which dictates that for spiritual well-being, "anytime a person receives something, ***he must pay something***

back" and balance "inflow" with "outflow". The Church of Scientology has presented this as the reason why some of its services, such as auditing (a central practice, and sometimes described as the central practice of Scientology), must never be given away, to members who are at the church, but must be paid for. This is frequently used by the Church as an explanation for the fixed pricing of its many fees.

e. Scientology through The Church of Scientology attempts to gain converts through its use of celebrity status of some of its members (most famously the American actor Tom Cruise) to attract converts. The Church of Scientology requires that all converts sign a legal waiver which covers their relationship with the Church of Scientology before engaging in Scientology services.

275. Taxology:

a. Plaintiff's [believes] Taxology is a religion and had practiced its various forms of beliefs, practices, and convictions for over 20 years. Plaintiff no longer desires the religious practices or chooses its religious convictions of Taxology creating his causes of action.

b. Defendants have forced and compelled this Plaintiff to accept, profess and practice Taxology and Taxism by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

c. Taxology through The Church of Taxology attempts to gain converts by offering tangible and intangible benefits. It has also used the celebrity status of some of its members (most famously Americans Judy Garland, William Bud Abbott and Lou Costello, Tom Coughlin (Wal-Mart family), Pete Rose, Survivor star Richard Hatch, Movie stars Nicholas Cage and Wesley Snipes, infamous gangster Al Capone) to keep and attract converts.

d. The Church of Taxology requires that all converts sign a legal document, (1040 form, 1040ez w-2g and w-4) which covers their relationship with the Church of Taxology before engaging in Taxology's services.

e. See this [OVC] for the facts and sincerely held religious beliefs as set forth herein.

276. Organized Religion:

a. Plaintiff avers Organized Religion has a great deal of structure, with complex hybrid beliefs, with practices having a great range of observances, customs or duties expending certain obligations as convictions for a body of believers or its communicants.

b. Plaintiff [believes] Organized Religion is a religion as set forth in this complaint and has practiced it for over 20 years which Plaintiff calls Taxology.

c. Plaintiff [believes] organized religion and its Mega Churches are being organized on corporate & corporate logic seen as a collective experience of religious phenomenon.

d. Plaintiff [believes] Joel Olsen, including to but not limited to Benny Hinn or other televangelist are a driven force for Organized Religion and it's Mega-Church.

e. The term Mega-Church is the name given to a cluster of very large, Protestant congregations that share several distinctive characteristics. These churches generally have 2000 or more persons in attendance at weekly worship, a charismatic, authoritative senior minister, a very active 7 day a week congregational community, a multitude of social and outreach ministries, and a complex differentiated organizational structure.

f. Mega-churches are not identical and do not all resemble the nontraditional appearance and seeker formed by Community Church. However, their very large numbers of attendees do shape their functioning in unique and often uniform ways.

g. Another reality among attendees is that of the distinction between the participants and the spectators in the life of the mega-church. A mega-church attraction, it is not necessarily the strength of personal relationships, but rather it is the more reputational and stylistic characteristics that attract people to the churches.

h. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' tax evangelists are the same as televangelist operating with a different forum.

i. Defendants' Organized Religion is set forth and described in this [OVC].

Section Z – [Q.U.E.S.T.] – Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth

3963. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] an acronym for Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth.

3964. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] decrees in Jesus Christ are 'The Laws of Principle and Practice'.

3965. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his free speech or [Protected Speech] decrees The Ten Commandants of God is 'The Laws of Cause and Consequence'.

3966. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed fundamental truths about life, liberty & happiness.

3967. "Jesus Christ" spoke of commerce, trade, taxation, labor, service, work, family, leadership, obedience and duties, Justice, judgment, and punishment, including but not limited to life, liberty and law with his teachings providing the true standard for charitable relief and civil benevolence. "Jesus Christ" created legal reasoning not a particular religion.

3968. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] about GOD (Jesus Christ) revealed "One Nation Under God" creating The Seven Seals of Almighty GOD, as We the People, ordained and established the Seven Article of the U.S. Constitution; more particularly described in

Exhibit Q - #1 through Q - #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3969. Plaintiff's Faith manifests that "IN GOD WE TRUST" is the Founding Father for God's Kingdom on Earth; more particularly described in Exhibit R - #1 through R - #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3970. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a spiritual program, faithfully exercised to manifests secular convictions and devout beliefs, under the full protection of free exercise freedoms and the guarantees in the U.S. Constitution and its Amendments.

3971. The Plaintiff in his [Q.U.E.S.T.] fights for the Holy cause of human liberty and freedom.

3972. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] establishes a personal stake in Order to Form a More Perfect Union, to establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our children.

3973. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and God-given mission is an immense undertaking against [To LIVE as EVIL].

3974. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States, against all enemies, foreign or domestic.

3975. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission effects the National Security Interests of this Nation the essence of Defendants establishing over a 19 trillion-dollars debt. Added fact current National debt is approximately 34 trillion-dollars.

3976. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to establish a quintessential disestablishment right and the full implementation of Plaintiff's plan called "Archangel".

3977. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the lawful establishment of J.E.S.U.S. an acronym for (Justice – Equality – Service - Unity – Sacrifice) "In Order to Form a More Perfect Union between the powers in Heaven and on Earth".

3978. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the lawful establishment of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution for an Intersection of Church and State.

3979. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is the total disestablishment of the IRS and [THE CODE] through the United States legal system in the [Commanding Heights].

3980. One aspect of Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] and his mission is to reshape the human condition through [CLP] with the prospective relief in a right to exist as 'I Am' versus a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

3981. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is the eternal thought of Truth which allows Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to pursue their [LLP] with the confidence that constitutional rights or personal freedoms cannot be defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

3982. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a personal stake in the daily business of life, one's personal liberty and the ways of happiness.

3983. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the United States Constitution and the First Amendment Establishment Clause.

3984. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to U.S. citizen free exercise rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

3985. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the Due Process of Fifth Amendment which holds in pertinent part: "No person shall... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law..."

3986. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Thirteenth Amendment.

3987. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to the Sixteenth Amendment.

3988. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Article I, Section 8, Clause 1 (Taxation and Spending Clause).

3989. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities described herein, an unlawful establishment of an aggregated policy or usurping authority of indifference to Article VI, Clause 2 (Supremacy Clause).

3990. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendant IRS' activities failed to address Plaintiff's petition for a less restrictive way to effectively achieve the compelling government interest of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3991. Plaintiff avers he has a God-given right existing as a quintessential disestablishment right not accept unconstitutional activities or [To LIVE as EVIL] endorsed by Defendants' [IRS] advancing [The Policy] [The Program] and [CRITERION] of THEIRS.

3992. Plaintiff avers no just governmental body on Earth can make him accept activities that advance or supports a complacent policy of indifference to evil per se as To LIVE as EVIL.

3993. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in unalienable rights of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

3994. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in the free exercise of First Amendments rights, privileges, or immunities to the United States Constitution.

3995. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in an unalienable right to establish a personal constitution as set forth herein.

3996. Plaintiff avers he has a personal stake in an unalienable right to establish a close and personal relationship with his GOD (Jesus Christ) as set forth herein.

3997. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] revealed Defendants' IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings for this Plaintiff or others similarly situated.

3998. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings advanced by Defendants' complacent policy of indifference to evil.

3999. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings advanced by [THE CODE].

4000. By Defendants IRS' activities described herein, it is evident Defendants' Organized Religion per se as Taxology, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

4001. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, established by Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism, has created a personal stake for any person as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

4002. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs with the religions of his choice, discussion or debate thereof.

4003. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's secular belief, of choice, of discussion or debate of [CLP].

4004. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Protected Speech].

4005. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's dictates of [conscience].

4006. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Protected Conduct].

4007. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4008. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4009. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to [Sacred Honor].

4010. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's [LLP].

4011. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's dictates or decisions with [CLP].

4012. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect, in direct opposition to Plaintiff's personal constitution.

4013. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect in direct opposition to Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

4014. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect in direct opposition of Plaintiff's GOD (Jesus Christ) and the U.S. Constitution and its germane Amendments in this case.

4015. Defendants IRS' activities described herein, manifested a personal stake existing as [To LIVE as EVIL] in effect in direct opposition to "God Policy of Truth".

4016. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities has no legal taxing power to define design, drive, or devalued, degraded, deprived, or destroy the Plaintiff by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

4017. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities has no legal right or constitutional power to create a vacuum or establish a void between Plaintiff and GOD, (Jesus Christ).

4018. Plaintiff avers "In Order to Form a More Perfect Union between the powers in Heaven and on Earth" Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a surreal mix of facts, faith and life, The Truth in Liberty to make us free and the ways of happiness.

4019. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] bring Plaintiff closer to a proper relationship with his GOD, (Jesus Christ).

4020. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is advanced by "God's Policy of Truth"; a policy based on reason, more particularly described in Exhibit M-#6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4021. Plaintiff [believes] [Q.U.E.S.T.] is divinely inspired to re-shape the human condition through [CLP], the U.S. Constitution, its Amendments and "God's Policy of Truth".

4022. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested Quintessential Rights.

4023. Plaintiff avers he has the free exercise in Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

4024. Plaintiff avers he has properly, and legally established Quintessential Rights granted under the full protection or established protocols of the First Amendment as guaranteed by the Ninth Amendment to United States Constitution and as sanctioned by [CLP].

4025. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment manifested an unalienable God-given right to exist as 'I Am', and not as any person defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived or to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

(A-1). An Intersection of Church and State

4026. Plaintiff [believes] in Book of Revelation and his [conscience] dictates a Nation Union with an Intersection of Church and State more particularly described in Exhibit M - #1 through M - #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4027. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States are Liberty Interests and Property Interests established as the [Commanding Heights].

4028. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, is the result of Plaintiff's personal constitution for an Intersection of Church and State.

4029. Plaintiff avers the Intersection of Church and State exists In Order to Form a More Perfect Union and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's God entitle them.

4030. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] acknowledges the Intersection of Church and State and "Historical practices thus demonstrate that there is a distance between the acknowledgment of a single Creator and the establishment of a religion."

4031. Plaintiff avers he is endowed by The Creator with certain unalienable rights that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness as the Plaintiff is a creation and creature of his Creator, Jesus Christ.

4032. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] validates the Intersection of Church and State in a hybrid forum of expressive activity as an artful blend in the [Commanding Heights] [CLP] and his personal constitution.

4033. [Q.U.E.S.T.] does not have a particular religious viewpoint and/or a particular secular viewpoint rather a vantage point found in the [Commanding Heights] and in hybrid forums of expressive activity as an artful blend.

4034. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] constructs a restricted threshold and narrow path for the Intersection of Church and State.

4035. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is in obedience with "Enter through the narrow gate. For wide is the gate and broad is the path that leads to destruction, and many enter through it. But small is the gate and narrow the road that leads to life, and only a few find it." Matthew 7:13-14 New International Version.

4036. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a physical gateway within Defendants' Wall of Separation of Church and State and a lawful Intersection of Church and State.

4037. The Intersection of Church and State is a convention of Plaintiff's right of [conscience] and of religious beliefs; in the free exercise of a right to profess a belief in a religion existing as "absolute" by The United States Supreme Court decision in *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 304 (1940) – "freedom to believe" is absolute.

4038. The Intersection of Church and State is a convention of Plaintiff's Establishment right to practice, partake or advance a religion and for Establishment Rights of the [Commanding Heights] in the name of J.E.S.U.S..

4039. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] and the Intersection of Church and State, through the free exercise freedoms of the First Amendment, Plaintiff's religious entity "Our Church of Greater Reality" can establish a body of believers.

4040. Plaintiff avers Intersection of Church and State is the essential essence for Our Church of Greater Reality and its body of believers.

4041. Plaintiff avers Mark Van Der Leest, a friend of the Plaintiff, including but not limited to Sheila Hinds, Plaintiff's wife are under the full protection of [Q.U.E.S.T.].

4042. Plaintiff avers germane examples of [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is found in the exhibits of Mark Van Der Leest and Shelia Hinds attached to this [OVC] both existing as legal persons, like the Plaintiff, or others similarly situated.

4043. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed as a profound statement by Mark Van Der Leest in a "DECLARATION OF MARK VAN DER LEEST" more particularly described in Exhibit N-#1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4044. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4045. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4046. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4047. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in letters, actions and within legal forums of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more particularly described in Exhibit N-#5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4048. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in the profound actions and sincerely held religious beliefs of Mark Van Der Leest and of the Plaintiff, in [CLP] a forum of certain guarantees of Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment; more

particularly described in Exhibit N-#6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(A-2). Terry Lee Hinds - Exhibits in support of [OVC]

4049. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] is based on knowledge, not ignorance a more particularly described in Exhibit N – O, Q.U.E.S.T. - IGNORANCE, THE ROOT AND STEM OF ALL EVIL attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4050. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #1, Year 2014 - 09/29/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 59 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words, and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4051. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #2, Year 2014 - 09/29/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 516 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words, and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4052. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #3, Year 2014 - 09/30/2014 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 71 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words, and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4053. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #4, Year 2015 - 08/27/2015 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 2566 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words, and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4054. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #5, Year 2016 - 05/12/2016 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 504 beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions]

religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4055. Plaintiff avers [Q.U.E.S.T.] in action is revealed in Exhibit O- #6, Year 2016 - 08/5/2016 Defendants' proselytizing IRS activities through CP 71C beliefs. Plaintiff's thoughts, words, and activities in free exercises of First Amendment protections within forums of certain guarantees of [Sacred Honor] [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] religion and religious beliefs, [conscience] [Constitutionally Protected Interests] [Protected Conduct] and [Protected Speech] concerning Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4056. Plaintiff avers Quintessential Rights are hybrid First Amendment free exercise rights.

4057. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] protects and preserves the "[most sacred precincts of private and domestic life; Mankind's Supreme Possessions]" per se as ("[Supreme Possessions]").

4058. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to Religion and Belief, of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4059. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Protected Speech], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4060. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to one's [conscience], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4061. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Protected Conduct], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4062. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Constitutionally Protected Interests], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4063. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [Mankind's Supreme Possessions], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4064. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] manifested a Quintessential Right as to [LLP], of which warrants enforcement and Plaintiff's free exercise thereof.

4065. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him "The right to be left alone" because of [CLP] [Commanding Heights] [LLP] as an Artful Blend.

4066. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to privacy in the name of J.E.S.U.S.

4067. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to think, because of The Intersection of Church and State – A Threshold for Understanding.

4068. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to work because [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] manifested burdens on one's [LLP].

4069. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment guarantees him the right to the "Circle of Life" existing as [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4070. Plaintiff avers he has [The right to be left alone, to think, to privacy and to work as Constitutionally Protected Interests] per se ("[Constitutionally Protected Interests]") being Protected conduct and of liberty interests under the free exercise of the First Amendment.

4071. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment are of fundamental Liberty Interests and Property Interests that is supported by the rule of law.

4072. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances Plaintiff's [LLP].

4073. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment are central to his unalienable rights to [LLP].

4074. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of religion and religious belief.

4075. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] and its expressive activities.

4076. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of one's own individual [conscience].

4077. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct].

4078. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4079. Plaintiff's [LLP] incorporates the free exercise in a Quintessential Right of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4080. Plaintiff avers his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment includes [CLP] in support of this Nation's rule of law.

(1). Freedom of Religion under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Religion & Belief, inter alia

4081. Plaintiff has a Quintessential Right to proselytizing a religion, and with the free exercise of religious beliefs over the lack of such belief.

4082. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of Quintessential Right to Religion & Belief, because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.

4083. The First Amendment free exercise clause guarantees Plaintiff the right of expressing or professing no religious beliefs at all, particularly religious beliefs about Taxology or Taxism.

4084. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS have caused Plaintiff to profess certain religious beliefs as described herein, thereby infringed on Plaintiff from expressing no religious beliefs at all, or not of his choice & of discussion or debate thereof.

4085. U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines and [CLP] as described herein were established to provided existing protections from First Amendments violations or other constitution violation as described herein for the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated.

4086. Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Religion & Belief rely on [CLP] for his [Q.U.E.S.T.]

4087. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #4, Reynolds v. United States, 98 U.S. 145 (1878).

4088. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #8, Davis v. Beason, 133 U.S. 333, 342- 343(1890).

4089. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #17, United States v. Macintosh, 283 U.S. 605 (1931).

4090. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #27, Murdock v. Pennsylvania, 319 U.S. 105 (1943).

4091. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #29, West Virginia State Board of Ed. v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943).

4092. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #30, United States v. Ballard, 322 U.S. 78 (1944).

4093. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #31, Follett v. Town of McCormick, 321 U.S. 573 (1944).

4094. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #33, Zorach v. Clauson, 343 U.S. 306 (1952).

4095. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #34, Fowler v. Rhode Island, 345 U.S. 67 (1953).

4096. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #39, Braunfeld v. Brown, 366 U.S. 599 (1961).

4097. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #40, Engel v. Vitale, 370 U.S. 421 (1962).

4098. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #41, School Dist. of Abington Tp. v. Schempp, 374 U.S. 203 (1963).

4099. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #46, United States v. Seeger, 380 U.S. 163 (1965).

4100. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #55, Presbyterian Church v. Hull Church, 393 U.S. 440 (1969).

4101. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #56, Welsh v. United States, 398 U.S. 333 (1970).

4102. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #66, Widmar v. Vincent, 454 U.S. 263 (1981).

4103. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #67, Thomas v. Review Bd., Ind. Empl. Sec. Div., 450 U.S. 707 (1981).

4104. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #70, Larson v. Valente, 456 U.S. 228 (1982).

4105. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] Exhibit C- #72, Estate of Thornton v. Caldor, Inc. 472 U.S. 703 (1984).

4106. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #73, Lynch v. Donnelly, 465 U.S. 668 (1984).

4107. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #77, Bowen v. Roy, 476 U.S. 693 (1986).

4108. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #80, Hernandez v. Commissioner, 490 U.S. 680 (1989).

4109. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #82, Employment Division. v. Smith, 494 U.S. 872 (1990).

4110. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #84, Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, v. Hialeah, 508 U.S. 520 (1993).

(2). Freedom of Speech under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech]

4111. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging the freedom of speech or its expressive activities concerning Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech].

4112. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [Protected Speech] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.

4113. Freedom of Speech is the right to communicate one's opinions, ideas or articulate one's beliefs without fear of government retaliation, censorship or societal sanction.

4114. The term freedom of expression includes any act of seeking, receiving and imparting information or ideas, regardless of the medium or forums used; be it orally, in writing, print, through the Internet or through art forms.

4115. Freedom of information, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters involves the right to seek information and ideas, the right to receive information and ideas, and the right to impart information and ideas.

4116. Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Expression and Freedom of Information, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof are [Protected Speech] of the First Amendment and is exercised and practiced in quintessential forums of personal or public expression.

4117. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of prophetic speech or as predictive speech.

4118. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to prophetic speech or as predictive speech because [Government Speech] takes precedent over Plaintiff's [Protected Speech].

4119. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities.

4120. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities.

4121. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of religious or proselytizing speech.

4122. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to religious or proselytizing speech.

4123. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech.

4124. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection of spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech

4125. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of pure speech.

4126. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to pure speech.

4127. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in the freedom of core political speech.

4128. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to core political speech

4129. The free exercise clause established Plaintiff's rights, privileges or immunities of the First Amendment in free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all.

4130. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all.

4131. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #15, *Whitney v. California*, 274 U.S. 357 (1927).

4132. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #24, *Palko v. Connecticut*, 302 U.S. 319 (1937).

4133. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #32, *Thomas v. Collins*, 323 U.S. 516 (1945).

4134. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #37, *Speiser v. Randall*, 357 U.S. 513 (1958).

4135. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #44, *New York Times Co. v. Sullivan*, 376 U.S. 254 (1964).

4136. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #47, *Freedman v. Maryland*, 380 U.S. 51 (1965).

4137. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #59, *Perry v. Sindermann*, 408 U.S. 593 (1972).

4138. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #62, *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 US 1 (1976).

4139. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #63, *Wooley v. Maynard*, 430 U.S. 705 (1977).

4140. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #75, *Cornelius v. NAACP Leg. Def. Fund*, 473 U.S. 788 (1985).

4141. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #76, *Wallace v. Jaffree*, 472 U.S. 38 (1985).

4142. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #79, *Riley v. Nat.Fed. of the Blind of N. Carolina*, 487 U.S. 781 (1988).

4143. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #85, *Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual*, 515 U.S. 557 (1995).

4144. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #89, *Bartnicki v. Vopper*, 532 U.S. 514 (2001).

4145. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #90, *Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition*, 535 U.S. 234 (2002).

4146. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #91, *Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union*, 535 U.S. 564 (2002).

4147. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #93, *Rumsfeld v. Forum for Academic & Inst. Rights*, 547 U.S. 47 (2006).

(3). Freedom of Conscience under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [conscience], inter alia.

4148. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, invading, or devaluing, degrading, or depriving Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [conscience].

4149. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [conscience] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.

4150. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #2, *Cummings v. Missouri*, 71 U.S. 277, 4 Wall. 277 (1866).

4151. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #22, *Carter v. Carter Coal Co.*, 298 U.S. 238 (1936).

4152. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #23, *Steward Mach. Co. v. Collector*, 301 U.S. 548 (1937).

4153. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #26, *Minersville School District v. Board of Ed.* 310 U.S. 586 (1940).

4154. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #28, *Dobson v. Commissioner*, 320 U.S. 489 (1943).

4155. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #42, *Sherbert v. Verner*, 374 U.S. 398 (1963).

4156. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #64, Abood v. Detroit Bd. of Educ., 431 U.S. 209 (1977).

4157. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #65, Stone v. Graham, 449 U.S. 39 (1980).

4158. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #81, Texas v. Johnson, 491 U.S. 397 (1989).

(4). Freedom of Association under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protect Conduct]

4159. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, defining or designing Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct].

4160. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of [Protected Conduct] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.

4161. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #3, Watson v. Jones, 80 U.S. 13 Wall. 679 679 (1871).

4162. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #43, NAACP v. Button, 371 U.S. 415 (1963).

4163. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #45, Griswold v. Connecticut, 381 U.S. 479 (1965).

4164. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #51, United States v. Robel, 389 U.S. 258 (1967).

4165. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #54, Elrod v. Burns, 427 U.S. 347 (1976).

4166. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #71, Roberts v. United States Jaycees, 468 U.S. 609 (1984).

4167. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #88, Boy Scout of America v. Dale 530 U.S. 640 (2000).

(5). Freedom of Protest Activities under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Protest Activities

4168. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, designing or driving Plaintiff's Quintessential Right of Protest Activities.

4169. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right of Protest Activities because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.

4170. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #1, *Martin v. Hunter's Lessee*, 14 U.S. 1 Wheat. 304 304 (1816).

4171. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #13, *Pierce v. Society of Sisters*, 268 U.S. 510 (1925).

4172. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #14, *Farrington v. Tokushige*, 273 U.S. 284 (1927).

4173. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #16, *Olmstead v. United States*, 277 U. S. 438, 277 U. S. 478 (1928).

4174. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #19, *United States v. Murdock*, 290 U.S. 389 (1933).

4175. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #21, *United States v. Constantine*, 296 U.S. 287 (1935).

4176. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #25, *WELCH v. HENRY*, 305 U.S. 134, 147 (1938).

4177. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #35, *Bolling v. Sharpe*, 347 U.S. 497 (1954).

4178. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #48, *Mapp v. Ohio*, 367 U.S. 643 (1961).

4179. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #50, *Miranda v. Arizona*, 384 U.S. 436 (1966).

4180. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #57, *Wisconsin v. Yoder*, 406 U.S. 205 (1972).

4181. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #61, *United States v. Bishop*, 412 U.S. 346 (1973).

4182. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #74, City Council v. Taxpayers for Vincent, 466 U.S. 789 (1984).

(6). Freedom to Petition under Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to Petition the Defendants

4183. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from abridging, defining, designing Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

4184. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right to petition for a redress of grievances because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein.

4185. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #6, Boyd v. United States, 116 U.S. 616 (1886).

4186. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #18, Lawrence v. State Tax Commission of Mississippi, 286 U.S. 276 (1932).

4187. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #20, Hamilton v. Regents of University of California, 293 U.S. 245 (1934).

4188. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #36, Yates v. United States, 354 U.S. 298 (1957).

4189. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #49, Adderly v. Florida, 385 U.S. 39 (1966).

4190. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #52, Machinists v. Street, 367 U.S. 740, 789 (1961).

4191. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #58, California Motor Trans. Co. v. Trucking Unlim, 404 U.S. 508 (1972).

4192. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #60, Schneckloth v. Bustamonte, 412 U.S. 218 (1973).

4193. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #68, USPS v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Assns., 453 U.S. 114 (1981).

4194. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #69, Valley Forge Coll. v. Americans United, 454 U.S. 464 (1982).

4195. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #78, Board of Airport Comm. City v. Jews for Jesus, 482 U.S. 569 (1987).

4196. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #83, Cheek v. United States, 498 U.S. 192 (1991).

4197. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #86, Lebron v. National R.R. Passenger Corp., 115 S.Ct. 961, 393 (1995).

(7). Freedom in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] / Plaintiff's Quintessential Right [LLP]

4198. The free exercise clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits Defendants from defining, designing, driving, devaluing, degrading or depriving the Plaintiff's Quintessential Right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

4199. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff does not have the right or protection to the freedom of a Quintessential Right in [Constitutionally Protected Interests] of his [LLP] because of the facts and evidence as set forth herein

4200. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #5, Hurtado v. California, 110 U.S. 516 (1884).

4201. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #7, Yick Wo v. Hopkins, 118 U.S. 356 (1886).

4202. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #9, Church of the Holy Trinity v. United States, 143 U.S. 457 (1892).

4203. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #10, Jacobson v. Massachusetts, 197 U.S. 11 (1905).

4204. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #11, Bailey v. Alabama, 219 U.S. 219 (1911).

4205. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #12, Truax v. Corrigan, 257 U.S. 312 (1921).

4206. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #38, Shelton v. Tucker, 364 U.S. 479 (1960).

4207. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #53, Stanley v. Georgia, 394 U.S. 557 (1969).

4208. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #87, Capitol Square Review and Advisory Bd. v. Pinette 515 U.S. 753 (1995).

4209. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #92, GONZALES V. O CENTRO ESPIRITA, 546 U. S. (2006).

4210. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #94, KNOX v. SER.EMPLOYEES INTERN. UNION 132 S.Ct. 2277 (2012).

4211. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #95, Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc., 573 U.S. (2014).

4212. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment endorses the [CLP] in Exhibit C- #96, Our Decision in God-given unalienable rights [LLP].

4213. Plaintiff's avers [CLP] and its freedom of expressive association protects more than his group's membership decisions, it also reaching activities that affect a group's ability to express its message by making Plaintiff's group membership more attractive.

4214. Plaintiff avers [CLP] set forth herein, serves as evidence of his beliefs, practices and lawful elements of his own personal constitution revealing Defendants violated and failed to follow clearly established and well settled federal constitutional rights of the Plaintiff.

4215. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established [To LIVE as EVIL] as endorsed by Defendants' IRS as set forth herein has no lawful or legal authority to do.

4216. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that Evil exists in this World; so that we may be the better of it, not to facilitate a personal stake with it.

4217. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that Evil people exist in this World; so that we may show them, the way, the Truth and the life of our Faith in GOD and Country.

4218. Plaintiff avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] for Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment has clearly established that [CLP] exist in this Nation; so that we may have life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness and to facilitate a personal stake in the Name of J.E.S.U.S (Justice-Equality-Service-Unity-Sacrifice).

4219. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested [Sacred Honor] more particularly described in Exhibit T- #1 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4220. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. per se as the greatest sacred precincts of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] more particularly described in Exhibit T- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4221. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. the Architects of Religion and Religious Beliefs more particularly described in Exhibit T- #3 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4222. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. Plaintiff's sacred right of [conscience] with the human mind as a sacred place and with the human heart (emotions) being a sacred space found within us all; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #4 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4223. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. [Constitutionally Protected Interests] more particularly described in Exhibit T- #5 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4224. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. [Protected Conduct]; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #6 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4225. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has manifested in the name of J.E.S.U.S. collectively [Protected Speech]; more particularly described in Exhibit T- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4226. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed or demonstrated in the name of J.E.S.U.S. the prevailing violations, injustices and manifested the Plaintiff's Exhibits attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4227. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed [Intellectual Tithing] violates Plaintiff's right to privacy which constitutes a kind of wound—a puncturing of the soul—that might, finally, deaden our minds and spirit.

4228. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed [THE CODE] expect a taxpayer or Plaintiff to be prepared to defend every act of one's life which maybe suddenly and without probable cause alleged against him by the IRS.

4229. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected is not a tax but rather a tithe, offering or religious donation made by taxpayers of Taxology.

4230. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Plaintiff and others similarly situated are being compelled to hold one's life, or the means of living, or many material right essential to the enjoyment of life at the mere will of another, and in this case it is the IRS and its personal.

4231. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Plaintiff and others similarly situated are being proselytized by the IRS through Taxology advanced by Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

4232. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has revealed Defendants' core values and a path of life advancing or endorsing [To LIVE as EVIL] more particularly described in Exhibit P-#1 through P#15 and attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4233. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] maintains Plaintiff's unalienable right to love The Lord, Thy God, Jesus Christ manifested a sacred right by establishing his life, his liberty and his pursuit of happiness to exist as 'I Am' more particularly described in Exhibit T-#8 through P#15 and attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4234. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] creates an Intersection of Church as lawful activities, beliefs or practices occurring; further described in Exhibit M - #1 through M - #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

4235. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances the Holy cause of Liberty in law and [Sacred Honor].

4236. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances the First Amendment to the U. S. Constitution.

4237. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks God's core values guiding a path to achieving his vision.

4238. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Mankind's Supreme Possessions].

4239. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

4240. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Protected Conduct].

4241. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] is a free exercise of [Protected Speech].

4242. Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] has a firm reliance on the protection of Divine Providence.

4243. Plaintiff's avers his [Q.U.E.S.T.] in the Name of J.E.S.U.S seeks, concerns or advances:

1). A lawful position for prospective relief in a right to exist as 'I Am' versus a personal stake as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful to be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings, and

2). A petition to advance and enforce one's Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to secure, defend and protect Plaintiff's life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, and

3). To define and set forth God-given unalienable rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness; forming such unalienable rights as "sacred rights" in life, liberty and property.

4). Set forth and described Plaintiff's Establishment Clause Challenges, and

5). Set forth and described Free Exercise Clause violations of First Amendment rights, privileges or immunities of the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, and

6). An establishment of a lawful Intersection of Church and State and its established forums under the Rule of Law via declared rights and legal remedies promulgated, and

7). A legal endorsement of the [Commanding Heights] and Plaintiff's [CLP] for [LLP], and

8). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks relief from evil and from or [To LIVE as EVIL], and

9). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] seeks a close relationship with The Lord, versus the IRS, and

10). Plaintiff's [Q.U.E.S.T.] advances The Way, The Truth, and The Life to exist as 'I am' and not as any person who is compelled by the Defendants or IRS to accept [To LIVE as EVIL].

Section O – Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates

1235. Plaintiff [believes] we are bearing witness to the ritual purifications of [IRC] when we "see", believe or read the many burdensome cross references in this title, especially where the word "see" is used, which are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect.

1236. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are compelling the spiritual surrender of one's own [conscience].

1237. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are constructing [THE CODE] as more moralistic than mathematical.

1238. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates we are "dealing" with the IRS as a yielding condition; when the term applies to the buying and selling of something, creating a review of personality or behavior, not of a proper review of tax procedures.

1239. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates we are "dealing" with the IRS as a condition when the term applies to the buying and selling of something, like you soul, "For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul".

1240. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is inducing a form of a spiritual abortion; a stillborn plan of escape from laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever sources derived.

1241. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are sanctioning an IRS Dogma of THEIRS – F.E.A.R. = False Evidence Appearing Real.

1242. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enmeshing [THE CODE] existing as law respecting an establishment of religion within the meaning of the First Amendment.

1243. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are indorsing a recognized religious creed of "[Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.]" per se as ("[Creed]").

1244. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' [Creed] has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1245. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are instituting IRS Core Values to share, believe in and are practiced by many people.

1246. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS Core Values were established to advance religion.

1247. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS path is for a religion of reality.

1248. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS vision concerns religious matters, beliefs or dutiful endeavors described herein this [OVC].

1249. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' creating IRS Core Values has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1250. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a proselytizing effect as [IRS Path of Life is to keep your Faith... THEIRS per se ("[IRS Path of Life]")].

1251. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' creating [IRS Path of Life] has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1252. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' creating IRS Vision by developing Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – "Rethink Church", and this religious faith envisioned by taxpayers has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

1253. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS has not nothing to do with the secular power to lay and collect taxes on incomes pursuant Article I, Section 8, Clause I (tax and spending clause) of the U.S Constitution or its 16th Amendment.

1254. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the activities of [Creed] to cultivate intrinsic and expressive associations.

1255. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are allowing [THE CODE] to compel intrinsic and expressive associations contrary to Plaintiff free will.

1256. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are providing taxpayer and taxpayer an elevated position of intrinsic and expressive associations.

1257. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are endorsing a religious dogma of THEIRS: "[Service + Enforcement = Compliance]" per se as ("[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]").

1258. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are compelling "[A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil]" per se as ("[To LIVE as EVIL]").

1259. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are compelling the Plaintiff to accept or approve a practice of [To LIVE as EVIL].

1260. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are founding a whole system of deity beliefs, practices & convictions manifesting a suspension of disbeliefs in the U.S Constitution and First Amendment freedoms.

1261. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing organized religion as a commercial activity developing it into a big business in the 20th century, a highly profitable tax-exempt business.

1262. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend the religious scruples or [Creed] of the IRS.

1263. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend the organized religion of the IRS.

1264. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling Plaintiff to conduct himself, as not to offend Defendants' IRS activities described herein this [OVC].

1265. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the guiding effects in Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice.

1266. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are proselytizing an unworldly zeal or [Burdens] as religious fervor of THEIRS.

1267. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are exhausting Plaintiff with [Burdens] as a religious test for an institutionalized faith of THEIRS.

1268. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are "[converting taxpayers into taxprayers]" per se as ("[Transfigure]"), and transforms a U.S. Citizen into a Customer of THEIRS through the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology.

1269. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' IRS are proselytizing U.S. Citizens into a custom of customers of THEIRS.

1270. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are making people and the Plaintiff live a transform life through a [proper return].

1271. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the force of law; not merely in sectarian regulations, or within the submissive enumerations calling for an identification of the solicitors of an offered tax benefit or a contrive return of a tax; but as to give the authorities some basis/census for investigating strangers coming into the IRS' community, culture or its realm; when making a return to an [IRS Path of Life].

1272. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming major initiatives of providing the seed money of faith for sowing and reaping of human souls.

1273. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are proselytizing [Purpose-Driven Life] serving/aiding as religious subsidies for the semblances of an organized religion.

1274. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a full range of beliefs, practices and instructions to live by for the [Purpose-Driven Life].

1275. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are endorsing a hybrid church and an alleged taxing system involving the use of coercion and graphic symbols for the concert, union or dependency of one on the other.

1276. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are utilizing "[the force and effect of the color of law]" per se as ("[Interfaith]") with taxpayers and the Plaintiff.

1277. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are fashioning and compelling a taxprayer's forum within Plaintiff's legal domicile (his home), against his free will and religious beliefs.

1278. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating what [Protected Speech] is acceptable to IRS rules and policies.

1279. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating what [Protected Speech] he can use within or allowed his own home when "dealing" with the IRS.

1280. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating what [Protected Conduct] he can use within or allowed his own home when "dealing" with the IRS.

1281. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating which First Amendment freedoms he can exercise in his own home when "dealing" with the IRS.

1282. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' IRS are dictating which First Amendment freedoms he can exercise in his own business when "dealing" with the IRS.

1283. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting a forum of dialogue shared or exercised in the eyes of its beholders for an organized religion.

1284. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have formed a modern day hybrid Church Forum.

1285. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting an establishment /endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS.

1286. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology using "Get Right with your Taxes" from cradle to grave.

1287. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by means of "[stealthy seamless intrusions of [Interfaith] and religion]" per se as ("[intrusions]").

1288. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by means of "[Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of Interfaith or Encroachments to manipulate or adapt to one's advantage so as to give one party an unfair advantage]" per se as ("[religious gerrymanders]").

1289. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing [intrusions] and [religious gerrymanders] for an unconstitutional end.

1290. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing [religious gerrymanders], [Burdens], [Purpose-Driven Life], [THE CODE], and [Creed] for an organized religion.

1291. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology where taxing becomes the benefits of an organized religion.

1292. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created a taxpayer's forum for the Collective Experience Mission of Taxology by the use of [Burdens].

1293. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] and a [Creed] to determine what standards govern the choice and the character of the rites of a taxpayer or the Plaintiff.

1294. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using [Burdens] to defeat or confuse the precision of regulations, by using CFR written for excises taxes as the regulations for income taxes.

1295. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing the primary effects of proselytism, through the act of attempting to convert people to another religion or the opinion(s) of taxpayers into taxprayers.

1296. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are paying bonuses to IRS employees motivated by religious syncretism who owe back taxes to the IRS.

1297. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are entangling the synthesis of law and religious syncretism through IRS indoctrination.

1298. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates IRS indoctrination is the semblances of religious syncretism and is re-writing not just our Nation heritage; but writing our personal history, core values and religious beliefs.

1299. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are permitting IRS indoctrination of moral argument and moral hazards.

1300. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are authorizing other religious assemblies and activities through §501(c)3.

1301. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are establishing the built environments as the atmospheres, pressures, and scared spaces of U.S. values "by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code".

1302. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating and advancing the Sindustry of THEIRS.

1303. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing a taxing environment, culture, or its realm into the described formations, implements and atmospheres of religious indoctrination, creating religion, not reason.

1304. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are upholding an atmosphere dedicated to the advancement of religious belief being constantly maintained.

1305. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning substantial burden to exist; where the Defendants place substantial pressure on the Plaintiff to modify his behavior and to violate his beliefs.

1306. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's religions and religious belief by infringing on Plaintiff's "[freedom of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate]" per se as ("[Liberty Interests]").

1307. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are controlling Plaintiff's [Liberty Interests] as set forth in this [OVC].

1308. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] are [Burdens] on free exercise right of expression of the Plaintiff.

1309. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are approving collective performances that inhibits, impinges, or unduly burdens Plaintiff's practices of religion.

1310. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing numerous forms for Worship by manifesting "[Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism]" per se as ("[Worshipship]").

1311. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and mandating [Worshipship] that touch the heart of the existing order and this seems to be a visible aim of many, if not most religions.

1312. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Worshipship].

1313. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected]" per se as ("[Refunds]") as a Mode for [Worshipship].

1314. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing religious messages, objectives or desires for a religious experience through [Refunds], or other Modes for [Worshipship].

1315. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Refunds].

1316. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates a heavy hand of government force has caused the Plaintiff to choose between following the precepts of his religion and forfeiting benefits, on the one hand, and abandoning one of the precepts of his religion.

1317. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a heartfelt gravity that altered Plaintiff's beliefs, behavior, speech, expression or association creating the obvious effects on free exercise rights.

1318. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is sanctioning a Mode of [Worshipship] that infringes on Plaintiff's freedom to believe, express and exercise his religion and religious beliefs.

1319. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Refunds] in excess of U.S. Constitutional taxing and spending limits and restrictions.

1320. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving legislation that criminalizes religiously inspired activity or compels conduct that some find objectionable for religious reasons.

1321. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are nourishing a sacrilegious environment towards Plaintiff's personal constitution.

1322. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Refunds].

1323. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 304 (1940) – where “freedom to believe” is absolute.

1324. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] set forth in Exhibits C list that Plaintiff relies on regarding his free exercise rights of the First Amendment.

1325. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are encouraging loyalty in the spheres of religious activity for a profound “[Theology of Money]” per se as (“[Moralistic]”) creating the compulsion in a religion of reality.

1326. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have established IRS actions tantamount to teaching & training of a religion.

1327. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating Modes of [Worship] and the duress in a religion of reality.

1328. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are creating and crafting the coercion of an organized religion per se as Taxology.

1329. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are producing obedience in the name of a religious faith not of Plaintiff own making.

1330. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating actual legal coercion, hence inculcates obedience to authority by force of law and threat of penalty.

1331. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are validating a body of believers as persons who believes in, practices or makes a “[proper return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices]” per se as (“[proper return]”).

1332. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [proper return].

1333. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of unbridled IRS approval & disapproval of religion, being tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement.

1334. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing dubious intrusions of defining what is a religion under tax exempt laws.

1335. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting entanglements for [IRS Path of Life].

1336. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are fostering an excessive entanglement with religion.

1337. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing "[An adopted "set of fundamental rights" of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Ceremony]").

1338. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities with [Ceremony] is the effect of a religious rite.

1339. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have created a deify system for [Worthship].

1340. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing a Doctrine of Exchange of refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, or abatements through an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as ("[Taxism]").

1341. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Doc-of-Exch].

1342. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are teaching a [Doc-of-Exch] manifested by Taxology Modes of [Worthship].

1343. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing a Fundamental Doctrine of Exchange of "pay-as-you-go" to balance "inflow" & "outflow" for an organized religion.

1344. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inspiring "[Taxology's Theology of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Religiosity]") to believe in.

1345. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing legal sanctions for "[The Truth About Frivolous Tax Arguments]" per se as ("[Frivolous Arguments]"), existing as the devout practice for modern day witch hunts of the present time.

1346. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are using the robust tools of religious exercises and atmospheres for indoctrination of religious beliefs or practices for THE IRS.

1347. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are providing for religious motivations or accommodations through U.S. Tax Court.

1348. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “[Federal income tax exempt status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers]” per se as (“[Exemptions]”), as a Mode for [Worthship].

1349. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Exemptions].

1350. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Exemptions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1351. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [THE CODE].

1352. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities herein have a predominant purpose of promoting religion with the primary effect of advancing it.

1353. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Exemptions].

1354. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burdens of overbreadth & void for vagueness controversies.

1355. Plaintiff has suffered substantial burdens of First Amendment rights of [Protected Conduct] and [conscience] and protected speech and religion and his practices of it.

1356. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting and evangelizing the IRS Revivalism of THEIRS through the “name-it and claim it” Doctrine.

1357. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities have assembled the establishment/endorsement of an “[Internal Religious Service aka IRS]” per se as (“[IRS]”).

1358. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are proselytizing the activities of [IRS] with the purpose of offering real worship.

1359. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ [IRS] encourages loyalty and is a hierarchy of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.

1360. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing the legal endorsements of [THE CODE] thereby encouraged loyalty and given a hierarchy exclusive patronage of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.

1361. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an “[IRS Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist]” per se as (“[IRS Pilgrimage]”).

1362. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are promoting an [IRS Pilgrimage] creating a journey or search of moral or spiritual significance knowing the unknowable answers exist.

1363. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [IRS Pilgrimage].

1364. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are advancing an [IRS Pilgrimage] for a taxpayer’s [proper return].

1365. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are surreptitiously founding and forming a powerful hybrid “[Church of Taxology Internal Revenue Service]” per se (“[House of Worthship]”).

1366. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are crafting the payment of tithes & tribute vs. lay & collect taxes on incomes.

1367. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants publishing and promoting an IRS fervent or zealous history in the “[“IRS Historical Fact Book: A Chronology 1646-1992”]” per se as (“[THE BOOK]”) occurring within an Orthodoxy’s ‘Promised Land’.

1368. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are upholding the superstitions of 1646, that occurred in Salem Massachusetts (Witch Hunts) and continues today as “ghost returns” or as modern-day witch hunts for nonfilers.

1369. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting and endorsing [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.

1370. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Intellectual Tithing] and Offerings for a Religion of Reality.

1371. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are persecuting or creating viewpoint discrimination or judgement involving “[The human mind, a sacred place becoming the scene of a thought crime]” per se as (“[thought crimes]”).

1372. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using IRS Moral Inception as [thought crimes].

1373. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are making Plaintiff thoughts and his beliefs literally [thought crimes].

1374. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating [Intellectual Tithing] for a Religion of Reality on behalf of a Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices, with its fruit is rooted within an IRS demigod's hierarchy.

1375. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are encouraging [Intellectual Tithing] & Offerings for a Religion of Submission.

1376. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and authorizing "[14 Points of Policy or Criteria of an IRS' Church]" per se ("[IRS House of Worship]").

1377. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are certifying an IRS codified fingerprint of religious beliefs & practices of THEIRS via a [IRS House of Worship].

1378. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are failing to maintain a separation between church and state influences in an [IRS House of Worship].

1379. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the primary effect in defining & approving a church or its status.

1380. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' endorsements of, the attribution of or the representation of are "[personification of unrighteous wealth that in which one trusts]" per se as ("[False God]").

1381. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' [False God] is located and operates on federal property.

1382. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's free exercise of the Right of Conscience.

1383. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are constructing or manifesting "[Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits]" per se as ("[Tax Credits]") as a Mode for [Worthship].

1384. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Credits].

1385. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using, issuing and fashioning [Tax Credits] as a form for Temple Currency.

1386. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates his [CLP] do not authorize the Defendants to established Temple Currency.

1387. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Temple Currency advances an organized religion.

1388. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Credits] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1389. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Tax Credits].

1390. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting a Doctrine of Systematic Theology as a religious custom of THEIRS.

1391. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing the "[Systematic Theology of THEIRS]" per se as ("[THEIRS]").

1392. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are cultivating intrinsic and expressive associations, for "[mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS]" per se as ("[FAITH]").

1393. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are enforcing obedience without question until another verse or revision of law respecting an establishment of religion is written.

1394. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing an establishment and endorsement of an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1395. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using tax money spent in violation of a specific constitutional protection – specifically the Establishment Clause.

1396. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are illusorily constructing The Orthodox Church of Taxology – The Temple of Taxism, existing as U.S. Tax Court.

1397. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are evolving Taxology as a religion of submission, where Plaintiff was 'born into' and was compelled to accept its religious faith in [Taxism], especially when perceived by a young person in their formative years.

1398. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are displaying on Federal property "[An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER]" per se as ("[WHATEVER]").

1399. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating and forming surreal religious beliefs in [WHATEVER].

1400. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are verbalizing [WHATEVER] practices as an invisible touch controlling the human mind, while expensing a discernible touch upon the human soul.

1401. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities encompassing [WHATEVER] diminishes Plaintiff's spiritual uniqueness and rob him of God's spirit.

1402. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities sanctioning Modes of [Worship] manifested by their "[God of Gold]" ("[GOG]") as the [WHATEVER].

1403. Plaintiff [believes] a cardinal principle of his religion is not to believe in [GOG].

1404. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' [GOG] is not a god he wants to have faith in or profess [Worship] in a façade of core values, tax administration, and strategic plans.

1405. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are permitting [THE CODE] to compel forced associations with the IRS and their [GOG].

1406. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have brought into existence an "[Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Syntax Messiah]").

1407. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving an IRS entity clothed with authority as "that in which one trusts" for "[The Worship of Money and Egregious Wealth]" per se as ("[Mammon]").

1408. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants IRS "that in which one trusts" is a master and a servant of We The People.

1409. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates a cardinal principle of his religion is not to serve two masters.

1410. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating Idolatry and Oracles and believers.

1411. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants created a false deific a [Syntax Messiah] for a system of [Worship].

1412. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating influences of [Taxism] over the enumerated powers of U.S. Constitution.

1413. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates that [Auditing] is a religious practice or custom of the Defendants.

1414. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are producing the religious exercises of [Auditing] and training for taxpayers and followers.

1415. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are predicated the entanglements of intrinsic and expressive associations as [Auditing] literally establishes guilt by association alone.

1416. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are requiring ongoing inspections through [Auditing] to ensure the presence of a religious message of THEIRS.

1417. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling taxpayers and Plaintiff [To LIVE as EVIL] through a dogma of "Service + Enforcement = Compliance".

1418. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are administering a "[Dominion Theology of Taxism]" per se as ("[IRS Realm]").

1419. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing unlimited and indiscriminate sweep of [THE CODE] with its comprehensive interference in associational freedom going far beyond what might be justified in a legal exercise of a compelling government interest.

1420. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating direct and substantial interference with Plaintiff's freedom of association guaranteed by the First Amendment.

1421. Defendants activities in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] is vague as construed and applied, and fears that he may arbitrarily be held criminally liable based on a vague and ambiguous standard in 26 U.S. Code § 7203 - Willful failure to file return, supply information, or pay tax.

1422. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's free exercise of the Freedom to Choose Associations.

1423. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates he has no desire to associate with the IRS.

1424. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the [Freedom of Association &/or Not to Associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism; is the free exercise right in Protest Activities as a sword and to Petition as a shield] per se as ("[Protected Conduct]").

1425. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are crafting inevitable results in the exercise of pressure and coercion upon taxpayers who are parents, to declare their children to secure a tax deduction or a [Tax Credit].

1426. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions]" per se as ("[Tax Deductions]") as a Mode for [Worthship].

1427. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Deductions].

1428. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Tax Deductions] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1429. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of IRS [Tax Deductions].

1430. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of IRS "[Modified Adjusted Gross Income" of THEIRS]" per se as ("[MAGI]").

1431. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Tax Deductions].

1432. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [MAGI].

1433. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test - Lynch v. Donnelly, 465 U.S. 668 (1984) – a perception of submission.

1434. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities have created spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit in taxprayers.

1435. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are manifesting and communicating [Government Speech] for an organized religion.

1436. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are instituting Taxology stepping stones to spiritual awareness.

1437. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing or endorsing "[Publications, Instructions & Forms of THEIRS or to "see" their stepping stones of enlightenment values]" per as ("[Govspel]")

1438. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Government Speech] is for an organized religion.

1439. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Government Speech].

1440. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Govspel].

1441. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are broadcasting and proselytizing the heartfelt activities in [Govspel] which are official opinions existing as [Government Speech].

1442. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning the “Taxpayer Bill of Rights” as a prescribed form or manner governing words or actions for a ceremony.

1443. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing, endorsing or prescribing form or manner governing the words or actions for a ceremony as “[Benefits or privileges offered & provided when making a [proper return] to a system of [Worship]]” per se as (“[Body of Rites]”).

1444. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating “The Taxpayer Bill of Rights” as the [Body of Rites] for Taxology.

1445. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Body of Rites].

1446. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are practicing a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803 of which was declared as a “set of fundamental rights” by Taxpayer Advocate Service.

1447. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(A) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to be informed.

1448. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(B) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to quality service.

1449. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(C) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to pay no more than the correct amount of tax.

1450. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(D) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to challenge the position of the Internal Revenue Service and be heard.

1451. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(E) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to appeal a decision of the Internal Revenue Service in an independent forum.

1452. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(F) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to finality.

1453. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(G) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to privacy.

1454. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(H) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to confidentiality.

1455. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(I) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to retain representation.

1456. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a religious rite through 26 U.S. Code §7803(J) as a formal or ceremonial act declaring that a taxpayer has the right to a fair and just tax system.

1457. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are approving “[The policies, rules, guidelines & mandates created to rob Peter to pay Paul]” per se as (“[Peter to Paul Mandates]”).

1458. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates [Peter to Paul Mandates] is for the advancement of a religion and religious belief.

1459. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously turning the “[Taxpayers Advocate Service]” per se as (“[TAS]”) into the Unorthodox Church of Taxology.

1460. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing the [TAS] de facto [Church of What’s Happening Now] “[Church]” as a designated religious forum.

1461. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates the voice of the people was established under the U.S. Constitution through a legal forum called The United States Congress.

1462. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing, the marriage & dichotomy between the sacred & profane with A Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.

1463. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing employees of THE IRS to subtly or overtly conform their instruction(s) to the pervasively religious environment in which they taught taxpayers to believe in.

1464. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are inducing IRS state-sponsored indoctrination exacerbated by Defendants failure to separate religious activity from secular tax collecting activities.

1465. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating sacred & the profane with IRS' various forms of Modes for [Worthship].

1466. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are ensnaring a person in stealthy seamless intrusions with [religious gerrymanders].

1467. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants have prescribed or recognized a body of rules of conduct or policies with binding legal force and effect of law respecting an establishment of religion enforced by a controlling authority of the Defendants.

1468. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities herein are empowering the [Church] through taxpayers' prayers.

1469. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect of conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.

1470. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forcing the Plaintiff or a person to profess a belief or disbelief in a religion through a Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS.

1471. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are endorsing an Integrated Auxiliary of Church of Taxology- Taxpayer Advocacy Panel.

1472. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are preaching, teaching and spreading "[A Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values]" per se as ("[Materialism]").

1473. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning Belief-O-Matic as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

1474. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are IRS Written Determinations more particularly described in Exhibit I-#38 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1475. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are Private Letter Rulings more particularly described in Exhibit I-#39 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1476. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are Cross References beliefs rooted in law as religion; more particularly described in Exhibit I-#39 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1477. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic are written Determination Letter for 501(c)3.

1478. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are violating Plaintiff's free exercise principles in protesting activities.

1479. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing the endorsement of Compelled Speech.

1480. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants violated Plaintiff's freedom from compulsory unification of opinions.

1481. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's freedom from paying income tax by or through our confessions to the IRS.

1482. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants system of taxation by confession with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon expressive activities or conduct is in some measure a temptation, a religious belief, a devout practice, a moving experience, an act of atonement, redemption, or symbolic conduct.

1483. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates an IRS system of taxation is central to, and compelled by a deified taxing system composed of religious tenets or other essential religious endeavors; so exercised in accomplishing an IRS sanctified end.

1484. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are generating historical lists of "[IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia]" per se as ("[Enumerations]") used for the Modes for [Worship].

1485. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Enumerations].

1486. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Enumerations] in excess of U.S. Constitutional limits and restrictions.

1487. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit of [Enumerations].

1488. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the [CLP] in the Endorsement Test- West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette, 319 U.S. 624 (1943) – a touchstone.

1489. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are surreptitiously sanctioning "[The Church Without Walls Ministries]" per se as ("[Ministries]").

1490. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates [Orthodoxy] is endorsed by [THE CODE].

1491. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities with Orthodoxy is endorsed or is advanced by [THE WORDS].

1492. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates the activities described herein with Orthodoxy was established or was manifested by Defendants.

1493. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities with Orthodoxy is preserved, protected, and defended by Taxism.

1494. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are creating a physical church body with shared religious values as "[It's a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a Collective Experience of religious phenomenon]" per se as ("[Mega Church]").

1495. [Mega Church] being manifested or endorsed by [THE WORDS].

1496. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are building and establishing a [Mega Church] through IRS Worthship Ministries.

1497. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing the [IRS] & its [Mega Church] utilizing the prestige, power, and influence of a public institution.

1498. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting three powers of a "[Taxing Trinity of THEIRS "The Bureau" "The Agency" "The Service"]" per se as ("[Taxing Trinity]").

1499. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' [Taxing Trinity] is faith in action exercised as "knowing how to know".

1500. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are empowering a [Taxing Trinity] as having "One Look. One Voice. One IRS.".

1501. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Form 1040].

1502. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Form 1040] as a covenant, petition & viewpoint, inter alia, a hybrid forum of expressive ideas or activity.

1503. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Form 1040] as content-based restrictions to regulate speech based on its subject matter or viewpoint.

1504. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are forming a prenuptial agreement beyond all human understanding or reason through [Form 1040].

1505. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' activities are instituting "[Where a Religious Belief and Practice Exist as a Dichotomous Key of Confession]" per se ("[Voluntary Compliance]").

1506. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants is advancing Taxology through [Voluntary Compliance] = [Confession].

1507. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are compelling affirmations of a repugnant belief to the Plaintiff.

1508. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [THE WORDS] as a means to an unconstitutional end.

1509. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are establishing a religious Orthodoxy as a means to an unconstitutional end.

1510. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect by making adherence to a religion relevant.

1511. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using religious means to serve governmental ends producing entanglements tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement with religion.

1512. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as viewpoint-based restrictions on protected speech.

1513. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as Content-based restrictions regulating speech based on its viewpoint or subject matter of the speech at issue.

1514. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as an IRS Covenant to convert taxpayers into taxprayers.

1515. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a religious covenant.

1516. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a petition from those seeking a redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1517. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting [Form 1040] as a forum of expressive activity.

1518. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are permitting and investing in the "[Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Dispensation]").

1519. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are expressing an adaptation of being Left Behind or a religious viewpoint of the Rapture, through Wall Street bailouts.

1520. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are manifesting moral hazards through Government Bailout Plan, advanced by taxpayer dollars.

1521. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [Form 1040] is used to redress of grievances when a person is seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1522. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff's Rights to a petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained when compelled use a [Form 1040].

1523. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates he controls the forum in his free exercise to petition for a redress of grievances when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained.

1524. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating "[Federal Tax Return Forms & Filing Status/Badge]" per se as ("[Submission]") in support of a Mode for [Worthship].

1525. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Submission].

1526. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are authorizing [Submission] beyond U.S. Constitutional powers, limits and restrictions.

1527. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants violated the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit by [Submission].

1528. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities are using mysticism or religious studies within "[Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship/sacrilege]" per se as ("[Prior Restraint]").

1529. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning "[Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]" per se as ("[Convention]").

1530. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are sanctioning a National Church establishment for the endorsement of religious belief over the lack of such belief.

1531. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and are endorsing an IRS dogma existing as "[The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]" per se as ("[ABC's of Faith]").

1532. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are developing Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – “Rethink Church”.

1533. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants have constructed Taxism’s Wailing Wall for the religious faith envision by taxprayers and the Plaintiff.

1534. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have contrived and are further developing “[The ABC’s Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation]” per se as (“[Emerging Church]”).

1535. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [Emerging Church] with [Law/As/Religion] touching a returning generation of its body of believers.

1536. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants instituting religious beliefs and practices which play the role of a religion and function as a religion in the Plaintiff’s life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness.

1537. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating the Adjustment Bureau & its Synagogue being the Federal Reserve System as the Benevolence Ministry of Internal Revenue, Returns, & Profits.

1538. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants created or promoted The Founding Church of Modern-day Saints as the “Bureau of Prohibition”.

1539. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants have authorized and are enforcing various [PENALTIES FOR PURSUING FRIVOLOUS TAX ARGUMENTS] per se as (“[sanctions]”).

1540. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are using [sanctions] to control free exercise rights concerning Plaintiff’s [LLP].

1541. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are formulating or tolerating nonbelievers (Constitutional Citizens) or non-adherent (Non-Taxpayers) to become second-class citizen.

1542. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities by supporting the standards of behavior required by the Internal Revenue Code.

1543. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using the religious triggers of “[Penalties & Interests of THEIRS]” per se as (“[Temple Taxes]”).

1544. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates there is no legitimate, compelling interest, or clear secular purpose on the subject matter of [Temple Taxes].

1545. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using unjustified government interference as a tool for religious and moral conversion.

1546. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are allowing or granting the laying and collecting of income taxes with regard to any census or enumeration.

1547. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants have established and exercised governmental funding as [Orthodoxy of THEIRS].

1548. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing the religious inspirations in "[The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS for the establishment of the "right practice"]" per se ("[Orthodoxy]").

1549. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are subsidizing a House of Prayer & a Den of Thieves, establishing a "[House of Trade, Worthship and Praise]" per se ("[House]").

1550. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants are developing religious significance that permeates from Defendants conduct as set forth in this [OVC].

1551. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are advancing [Worthship] & dependent conditions for a body of believers.

1552. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants are using symbolic conduct in promoting a systematic congregation, religious expression or other essential religious endeavors.

1553. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are making an objective observer, the Plaintiff and his wife view and participate in an organized religion and its religious acts.

1554. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing a "[hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions]" per se as ("[body of believers]").

1555. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are creating a primary effect with [Temple Taxes] & in a hybrid congregation/membership.

1556. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities leaving believers or nonbelievers alike confused with religion.

1557. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities and conduct herein are endorsing "[Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude]" per se as ("[Servitude]").

1558. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing “[Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude]” per se as (“[Legalism]”).

1559. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are entangling religious activities under a guise of spending power for "general welfare".

1560. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities or conduct is taking liberties by disregarding the fact that the 16th Amendment has no power of enforcement clause.

1561. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating substantial burden on protected First Amendment activities, as Defendants have failed to achieve a less drastic impact on the continued vitality of Plaintiff’s First Amendment freedoms of [LLP].

1562. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating Plaintiff’s Unalienable Rights of a fundamental liberty interest in life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

1563. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates his Liberty of Labor is a most sacred property with Individual Freedom of Mind – a sacred place. Such matters are beyond “Service + Enforcement = Compliance”.

1564. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants are violating the Unconstitutional Conditions Doctrine by conditioning a person's receipt of a governmental benefit through “[Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”]” per se as (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.

1565. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing on Plaintiff’s Liberty of Labor a most sacred property.

1566. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are infringing or invading Plaintiff’s Individual Freedom of Mind – a sacred place.

1567. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are creating massive invasions of liberty interests in the hearts and minds of anyone designated as a taxpayer by the IRS.

1568. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ activities are issuing Notice of Deficiency as an assessment that constitutes a taking, under the guise of taxation, of private property for public use without just compensation.

1569. Plaintiff’s [conscience] dictates Defendants’ activities of issuing Notice of Deficiency is voided under the Federal Constitution as amounting to a taking of property without due process of law.

1570. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants stated activities are advancing an IRS Dogma: The Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment, as in the safe harbors of the Caribbean Islands, Gilligan's FA Quality Island or for IRS employees who owe back taxes.

1571. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are using invidious discrimination against this Plaintiff or anyone who will not become a taxpayer.

1572. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants are depriving Plaintiff of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.

1573. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Taxology with one's Institutionalized Faith in Taxism are constitutional evils as set forth in the [OVC].

1574. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants endorsement of IRS religion has generated TAXTAN. See Exhibit E- #32 (TAXTAN) – The Essence of Taxology's TAXTAN.

1575. Plaintiff [believes] no human being is born with TAXTAN.

1576. Plaintiff [believes] The Spirit of a TAXTAN existing as a spiritual being somewhere within the jurisdiction of a deadline and our human concepts of "Death and Taxes".

1577. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' TAXTAN is producing heartfelt burdens on Plaintiff's free exercise of the First Amendment only to allow a heartbeat to become a flat line in the inevitability of time.

1578. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' activities herein of converting taxpayers into taxpayers with [Burdens] has a primary effect of TAXTAN taking over his human spirit.

1579. Plaintiff [believes] TAXTAN have and will continue to attack the Spirit of '76.

1580. Plaintiff [believes] his own spirit is a part of the Spirit of '76.

1581. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants' TAXTAN is engaged in beliefs, expressive activities, and associations or activities of the Defendants herein.

1582. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxpayers creates a collective experience and goodwill.

1583. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the inevitable effect of the collective experience of conversion, like goodwill is regarded as a quantifiable asset of the human mind.

1584. Plaintiff [believes] the mind is a 'sacred place' with the human heart (emotions) is our 'sacred space' found within us all as a jurisdiction: tear down This Temple, John 2:13-22.

1585. Plaintiff [believes] these most sacred precincts of private and domestic life can produce a religious experience for many people. It has for the Plaintiff countless times.

1586. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities or conduct commands [Creed] and [Purpose-Driven Life] with [Refunds] concerns are automatically controlling; over all secular interests of taxing one's labor, person, beliefs or our experiences.

1587. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates one's labor, person, beliefs or our experiences are assets.

1588. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates such assets are held in the most sacred precincts of private and domestic life existing within our most 'sacred place' and 'sacred space' found within us all.

1589. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates Defendants' activities herein are granting unyielding weighting in favor of [Burdens] and [Law/As/Religion] over all other interests.

1590. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates [THE CODE] and [Refunds] has a primary effect that impermissibly advances a particular religious practice.

1591. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the primary effect of an establishment in [Refunds] confers its "benefit" on an explicitly religious basis.

A Collective List of Terms & Conditions of Religious Beliefs, Practices or Convictions

1. Real Party in Interest as Plaintiff/Petitioner, ("[P/P]")
2. Intersection of Church and State ("[IC&S]")
3. Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment. ("[Q.R.F.A.]").
4. one's personal constitution and the U.S. Constitution/Amendments & Rule of Law for [P/P]'s [LLP]. ("[LAW]"). [LAW]
5. sincerely held religious beliefs, practices, or observances. ("FAITH") or ("[believes]").
6. "unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness" ("[LLP]").

7. Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth (“[Q.U.E.S.T.]”)
8. Christianity/Constitutionally/Conscience, (“[C/C/C]”)
9. [Commanding Heights] is not a wall of separation, but a space in Intersection of Church & State activities for thoughts/speech/conduct.
10. Controlling Legal Principles (“[CLP]”)
11. Facts of Faith/exhibits/declarations incorporated herein by reference.
 (“[Testimony]”)
12. (1) prophetic speech or as predictive speech, (2) symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities (3) religious or proselytizing speech, (4) spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech (5) pure speech (6) core political speech (7) free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all collectively manifesting per se as (“[Protected Speech]”).
13. [P/P] [believes] the mind is a sacred place with the human heart (emotions) being a sacred space found within us all. Within these most sacred precincts of private & domestic life, religious experiences are created for many people &/or within this Plaintiff’s own individual [conscience]. (“[conscience]”).
14. [P/P]’s sacred Honor is built upon [public principles established in a name of J.E.S.U.S. structured as the most sacred precincts of public and one’s own private life, personal liberty, and the pursuits of happiness. (“[Sacred Honor]”).
15. free exercise principles do not cause a man to sacrifice his integrity, his rights, the freedom of his convictions, the honesty of his feelings, or the independence of his thoughts. (“[Mankind’s Supreme Possessions]”).

16. free exercise of the right to be left alone, to think, to privacy and to work
[Constitutionally Protected Interests]
17. [Constitutionally Protected Interests] (“[CPI]”)
18. [Freedom of Association &/or Not to Associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism; is the free exercise right in Protest Activities as a sword and to Petition as a shield] for grievances or when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained in our [LLP] per se as (“[Protected Conduct]”).
19. religious belief, religion, conscience, association, petition, protest, protected speech, etc. (“[Rights]”)
20. Justice – Equality – Service – Unity – Sacrifice (“J.E.S.U.S.”)
21. FAITH in a personal constitution of [Sacred Honor], [Mankind’s Supreme Possessions], [Constitutionally Protected Interests] (“[CPI]”), [conscience] & [Protected Conduct] existing as legal/moral capacity.

A Collective List of Terms & Conditions of Religious Beliefs, Practices or Convictions

1. Government Religion of Submission. (“[Islamic belief]”).
2. law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings (“CODE”).
3. Systematic Theology of THEIRS (“[THEIRS]”).
4. Dominion Theology of Taxism (“[IRS Realm]”).
5. Collective Experience of THEIRS (“Dominion Theology”).
6. Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS (“[Dispensation]”).

7. Theology of Money (“[Moralistic]”).
8. Taxology’s Theology of THEIRS (“[Religiosity]”).
9. Black Theology of Legalism (“[Legalism]”).
10. Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values (“[Materialism]”).
11. “Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision.” (“[Creed]”).
12. Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures (“[Auditing]”).
13. Taxology’s Doctrine of Exchange by using refunds, exemptions, enumerations, credits, deductions, adjustments, or abatements (“[Doc-of-Exch]”).
14. IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected (“[Refunds]”).
15. Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers (“[Exemptions]”).
16. Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits (“[Tax Credits]”).
17. Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions (“[Tax Deductions]”).
18. IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, *inter alia* (“[Enumerations]”).
19. Adjusted Gross Income/Withholding Allowance Certificate /Depreciation & Amortization (“[Adjustments]”).
20. Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise” (“[Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.
21. personification of unrighteous wealth that in which one trusts. (“[False God]”).
22. the Church of Taxology Internal Revenue Service. (“[House of Worthship]”).
23. The Worship of Money & Egregious Wealth (“[Mammon]”).
24. Modified Adjusted Gross Income (“[MAGI]”).

25. IRS Dogma: “Service + Enforcement = Compliance” (“[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]”).
26. “Your Voice At The IRS” as (“[Theology Forum]”).
27. Taxpayers Advocate Service per se Church of What’s Happening Now. (“[Theology Forum]”) or as “Your Voice At The IRS”.
28. Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit (“[Government Speech]”).
29. Benefits or privileges offered & provided when making a [proper return] to a system of [Worthship] (“[Body of Rites]”).
30. Publications, Instructions & Forms for Worthship or to “see” their steppingstones of enlightenment values (“[The Govspel]”).
31. IRS Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of Interfaith &/or Encroachments to manipulate or adapt to one's advantage so as to give one party an imbalance or unfair advantage (“[religious gerrymanders]”).
32. The policies, rules, guidelines & mandates created to rob Peter to pay Paul (“[Peter-to-Paul Mandates]”).
33. Voluntary Compliance (“[Confession]”).
34. Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship /sacrilege (“[Prior Restraint]”).
35. Filing a U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 (“[Form 1040]”).
36. Form 1040 is used by U.S. taxpayers to file an annual income tax return with IRS having an OMB No. 1545-0074 (“[1040]”).
37. Forbidden Accounting Transcends Everything (“F.A.T.E.”).
38. The ABC’s of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess (“[A B C’s of Faith]”).

39. The Religious Triggers of Penalties & Interests of THEIRS (“[Temple Taxes]”)
40. The fusion of religion revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS (“[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]”).
41. Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion. (“[THE WORDS]”).
42. “Revenue Rulings” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
43. “Revenue Procedures” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
44. “Announcements” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
45. “Notice” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
46. “Delegation Orders” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
47. “Private letter ruling” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
48. “General Counsel Memoranda” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
49. “Treasury Decisions” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
50. “Technical Memoranda” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
51. “Proposed regulations” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
52. “Treasury Department Regulations” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
53. “Determination Letter for 501c3” as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.
54. THE ABC’s Ministries of THEIRS per se as The Emerging Church of THEIRS.
55. IRS Worthship Ministries per se as The Mega Church.
56. Internal Revenue Service per se as The Church of Taxology.
57. U. S. Tax Court per se as The Temple of Taxism.
58. Taxpayers Advocate Service per se as The Church of What’s Happening Now.
59. Federal Reserve System per se as The Adjustment Bureau & its Synagogue.

60. The “Bureau of Prohibition” per se as The Founding Church of Modern-day Saints.
61. a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a collective experience of religious phenomenon. (“[Mega Church]”).
62. IRS existing as “The Bureau” - “The Agency” - “The Service”. (“[Taxing Trinity]”).
63. IRS deify system of taxing beliefs, practices and convictions for organized religion. (“[Theologies]”).
64. The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS. (“[Convention]”).
65. ABC’s Ministries of THEIRS as strategies for reaching a returning generation. (“[Emerging Church]”).
66. A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil. (“[To LIVE as EVIL]”).
67. [D/R/I P]’s activities, conduct and documentation with [Doc-of-Exch]. (“[The Policy]”)
68. Cross References that “are made only for convenience and shall be given no legal effect.” (“[As Applied Law]”).
69. an IRS strategy, plan or positions enforced as their core values guiding their path to achieving their vision in favor of a "proselytization" approach of THEIRS. (“[CRITERION]”).
70. Institutionalized Faith in Taxism. (“[Taxism]”).
71. The Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS. (“[Purpose-Driven Life]”).
72. Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion (“[Law/As/Religion]”).
73. Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice. (“[Burdens]”).
74. Enactments of Law &/or Application of Internal Revenue Laws. (“[THE CODE]”).
75. The IRS Path of Life is to keep your faith... THEIRS. (“[IRS Path of Life]”).

76. Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism. (“[Worthship]”).
77. a return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs, and practices. (“[proper return]”).
78. Organized Religion of THEIRS. (“[Taxology]”).
79. Internal Religious Service a/k/a IRS. (“[IRS]”).
80. IRS’ Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist. (“[IRS Pilgrimage]”).
81. The human mind, a sacred place becoming the scene of a thought crimes. (“[thought crimes]”).
82. Points of Policy or Criteria of an IRS’ Church. (“[IRS House of Worship]”).
83. mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS. (“[FAITH]”).
84. An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER. (“[WHATEVER]”).
85. the Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS. (“[Syntax Messiah]”).
86. The ABC’s of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]. (“[ABC’s of Faith]”).
87. The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS established the “right practice”. (“[Orthodoxy]”).
88. hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent condition. (“[body of believers]”).
89. Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude. (“[Servitude]”).
90. An Adopted “set of fundamental rights” of THEIRS. (“[Ceremony]”).

91. “IRS Historical Fact Book”. (“[THE BOOK]”).
92. [Taxpayers Advocate Service] (“[TAS]”)
93. [FAITH] in The Ten Tax Commandments
94. [The Church Without Walls Ministries] (“[Ministries]”).
95. [D/R/I P]’ activities, conduct and documentation with [Doc-of-Exch]. (“[The Policy]”).
96. [given the character of an institution or incorporated into a structured and usually well-established system] (“[Institutionalized Faith]”)

Force and Effect of Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion (“[THE WORDS]”).

1. “Revenue Rulings” which address issues of substantive tax law, arise from various sources, including rulings to taxpayers, technical advice to district offices, studies undertaken by the IRS, court decisions, suggestions from practitioner groups, and so on.
2. “Revenue Procedures” are issued in the same manner as Revenue Rulings but deal with the internal management practices and procedures of the IRS. Familiarity with these procedures increase taxpayer compliance and helps make the administration of the tax laws more efficient. Revenue Procedures often involve mechanical rules, but sometimes substantive positions are embedded in them as well. Revenue Rulings and Revenue Procedures serve an important function in that they provide guidance to both IRS personnel and taxpayers in handling routine tax matters. Both Revenue Rulings and Revenue Procedures are published weekly by the U.S. Government in the Internal Revenue Bulletin (I.R.B.)
3. “Announcements” provides alerts to taxpayers to a variety of information but do not have the formality of notices, revenue rulings, or revenue procedures.
4. “Notices” will constitute authority for purposes of the substantial understatement portion of the accuracy-related penalty.
5. “Delegation Orders” are orders by the Commissioner of the IRS to delegate his/her authority to settle civil or criminal tax cases under I.R.C.

6. “Private letter ruling” is a written statement issued to the taxpayer by the Internal Revenue Service in which interpretations of the tax law are made and applied to a specific set of facts. These IRS written determinations in “Private Letter Rulings” (PLR) serve as Taxpayer-specific rulings or determinations being written memoranda furnished by the IRS National Office in response to requests by taxpayers under published annual guidelines.
7. “General Counsel Memoranda” is documents prepared by the Office of the Chief Counsel that “contain the reasons behind the adoption of revenue rulings, private letter rulings, and technical advice memoranda and having important precedent value in determining future tax questions.
8. “Treasury Decisions” (T Ds) are issued by the Treasury Department to promulgate new Regulations, to amend or otherwise change existing Regulations, or to announce the position of the Government on selected court decisions. Like Revenue Rulings and Revenue Procedures, T Ds are published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin and subsequently transferred to the Cumulative Bulletin.
9. “Technical Memoranda” are documents prepared by the Office of the Chief Counsel that “explain the reasons behind the adoption of [a] Treasury Decision” and are used by IRS personnel in determining the tax status of taxpayers.
10. “Proposed regulations” offer guidance for taxpayers seeking to comply with statutory mandates. Taxpayers receive an opportunity to make written and oral comments before these regulations become final. [U]pon approval by the Commissioner [of the IRS] and signature by the Assistant Secretary of the Treasury, final regulations are filed with the Federal Register and published in the form of a Treasury decision, which sometimes contains a preamble summarizing and responding to the most important comments made by the public on the proposed draft.
11. “Treasury Department Regulations” are issued by the U.S. Treasury Department under authority granted by Congress. Interpretative by nature, they provide taxpayers with considerable guidance on the meaning and application of the Code. Although not issued by Congress, Regulations do carry considerable weight. They are an important factor to consider in complying with the tax law. Section 12(d) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1939 is the predecessor to § 2 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. Keep in mind that the 1954 Code superseded the 1939 Code. (This complaint involves only 26 U.S.C. regs.)
12. “Determination Letter for 501c3” The Internal Revenue Code (IRC) provides tax benefits to charitable organizations that meet the requirements set forth in section 501c3. The determination letter is confirmation from the Internal Revenue Service that the organization has met these requirements. Common types of charitable organizations include those that advance religion, education, provide relief or lessen the burden of government.

The Application of Religious Liberties of [Q.U.E.S.T.] for [Q.R.F.A.].

Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates or declares sacred Honor is built upon [public principles established in a name of J.E.S.U.S. structured as the most sacred precincts of public and one's own private life, personal liberty and the pursuits of happiness] per se as our ("[Sacred Honor]").

Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates or declares [free exercise principles do not cause a man to sacrifice his integrity, his rights, the freedom of his convictions, the honesty of his feelings, or the independence of his thoughts. These are Mankind's supreme possessions. These are not the objects of sacrifice] per se as the greatest sacred precincts of ("[Mankind's Supreme Possessions]").

Plaintiff [believes] the mind is a sacred place with the human heart (emotions) being a sacred space found within us all. Within these most sacred precincts of private & domestic life, religious experiences are created for many people &/or within this Plaintiff's own individual [conscience]. ("[conscience]").

Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates or declares he has the [free exercise of the right to be left alone, to think, to privacy and to work] per se as [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

Plaintiff's personal constitution dictates or declares the [Freedom of Association &/or Not to Associate in a fusion of differing systems of belief/religious syncretism; is the free exercise right in Protest Activities as a sword and to Petition as a shield] for grievances or when seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained in our [LLP] per se as [Protected Conduct].

Plaintiff's avers his [(1) prophetic speech or as predictive speech, (2) symbolic speech or speech plus & its expressive activities (3) religious or proselytizing speech, (4) spiritual speech or as persuasive or private speech (5) pure speech (6) core political speech (7) free speech recognition also existing as exercising no speech at all] collectively manifesting per se as [Protected Speech]

[LLP] as Architects of Religion and Religious Beliefs

Exhibits in support of [Q.R.F.A.].

Exhibit T -- #2. ... [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] / 20 pages

Exhibit T -- #3. ... As Architects of Religion and Religious Beliefs / 1 page

Exhibit T -- #4... [conscience] / 7 pages

Exhibit T -- #5... [Constitutionally Protected Interests] / 3 pages

Exhibit T -- #6... [Protected Conduct] / 12 pages

Exhibit T -- #7... [Protected Speech] / 5 pages

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed: December 30th, 2024



TERRY LEE HINDS, [P/P]
438 Leicester Square Drive
Ballwin, Missouri 63021
PH (636) 777-0397
Email address: alphaomega44@outlook.com

Dated this 30th day of December 2024

Subscribed and sworn to before me in St. Louis County on this day of the 30th of December 2024.

