



*From the Desk of Terry Lee Hinds  
438 Leicester Square Drive Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
Monday April 8, 2024*

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR.  
President of the United States of America  
The White House  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20500

Cert # 9589 0710 5270 0405 0090 56  
Return Receipt Requested

**Legal Notice**

Mr. Biden,

I am providing this legal notice regarding certain claims and causes of action involving you and other Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. I declared this notice on this particular date, whereby the United States shall have a total solar eclipse take place on Monday, April 8, 2024, visible across North America and dubbed the Great North American Eclipse. A solar eclipse is a matter of science & mathematics, but in religion it presents other matters.

The Book of Revelation and Holy Bible has reported that such events shall issue in new or critical changes are about to occur. The removal of the entire breath of [THE CODE] would procure **religious liberty** from an entity, called IRS. This evil entity (IRS) according to the "Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, publication #1694 (12-92) catalog # 15087" declares its existence beginning in the **Year of "1646"**; shortly after pilgrims landing at Plymouth Rock, Massachusetts. Other facts are compelling when:

1. TREASURY ORDER 150-06, **dated July 9, 1953**, entitled "Designation as Internal Revenue Service," states in part: "The Bureau of Internal Revenue shall hereafter be known as the Internal Revenue Service."
2. TREASURY ORDER: 150-06, CANCELLATION DATE: **August 22, 2005** entitled, "Designation as Internal Revenue Service" TO of July 9, 1953, 150-06 **is cancelled.**

Regardless of such facts, my petition that I am filing on April 15, 2024, will produce an "earthquake" of enormous economic news for America and this World we share. The IRS' beliefs, [Burdens] & its subjugated lesson plan of religious indoctrination as a condition of servitude shall come to its end. God is my witness. If you think I am wrong, I remind my adversaries at the IRS of the Biblical event of June 29, 2006: "The rains resulted in 20 feet of flooding in the IRS' subbasement and 5 feet of water in its basement." (approx. 5 million gallons of water only in that building of DC). The power of God is authoritative respected.

Putting that thought aside, the granted "power to lay and collect taxes on incomes" not on profits at a 5% tax rate, **only on** creations of the state (LLC, trusts, corp. etc.) not on people; because they are creature of GOD<sup>1</sup>. This will raise 7-9 trillion dollars of revenue with 2.6 trillion remaining with people who are working for [LLP]. Each year the current Congress would determine the % of tax rate needed as a true system of taxation with representation.

Respectfully Submitted, as a shared biblical revelation in the making.

<sup>1</sup> Matthew 22:17-21



*From the Desk of Terry Lee Hinds  
438 Leicester Square Drive Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
Monday April 8, 2024*

JANET YELLEN Cert # 9589 0710 5270 0405 0090 70  
Secretary of the United States Department of the Treasury Return Receipt Requested  
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY  
1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20220

**Legal Notice**

Ms. Yellen,

I am providing this legal notice regarding certain claims and causes of action involving you and other Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. I declared this notice on this particular date, whereby the United States shall have a total solar eclipse take place on Monday, April 8, 2024, visible across North America and dubbed the Great North American Eclipse. A solar eclipse is a matter of science & mathematics, but in religion it presents other matters.

The Book of Revelation and Holy Bible has reported that such events shall issue in new or critical changes are about to occur. The removal of the entire breath of [THE CODE] would procure **religious liberty** from an entity, called IRS. This evil entity (IRS) according to the "Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, publication #1694 (12-92) catalog # 15087" decars its existence beginning in the **Year of "1646"**; shortly after pilgrims landing at Plymouth Rock, Massachusetts. Other facts are compelling when:

1. TREASURY ORDER 150-06, **dated July 9, 1953**, entitled "Designation as Internal Revenue Service," states in part: "The Bureau of Internal Revenue shall hereafter be known as the Internal Revenue Service."
2. TREASURY ORDER: 150-06, CANCELLATION DATE: **August 22, 2005** entitled, "Designation as Internal Revenue Service" TO of July 9, 1953, 150-06 **is cancelled.**

Regardless of such facts, my petition that I am filing on April 15, 2024, will produce an "earthquake" of enormous economic news for America and this World we share. The IRS' beliefs, [Burdens] & its subjugated lesson plan of religious indoctrination as a condition of servitude shall come to its end. God is my witness. If you think I am wrong, I remind my adversaries at the IRS of the Biblical event of June 29, 2006: "The rains resulted in 20 feet of flooding in the IRS' subbasement and 5 feet of water in its basement." (approx. 5 million gallons of water only in that building of DC). The power of God is authoritative respected.

Putting that thought aside, the granted "power to lay and collect taxes on incomes" not on profits at a 5% tax rate, **only on** creations of the state (LLC, trusts, corp. etc.) not on people; because they are creature of GOD<sup>1</sup>. This will raise 7-9 trillion dollars of revenue with 2.6 trillion remaining with people who are working for [LLP]. Each year the current Congress would determine the % of tax rate needed as a true system of taxation with representation.

Respectfully Submitted, as a shared biblical revelation in the making.

<sup>1</sup> Matthew 22:17-21

*From the Desk of Terry Lee Hinds  
438 Leicester Square Drive Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
Monday April 8, 2024*

DANIEL WERFEL  
Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service  
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY  
1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20224

Cert # 9589 0710 5270 0405 0090 87  
Return Receipt Requested

### Legal Notice

Mr. Werfel,

I am providing this legal notice regarding certain claims and causes of action involving you and other Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. I declared this notice on this particular date, whereby the United States shall have a total solar eclipse take place on Monday, April 8, 2024, visible across North America and dubbed the Great North American Eclipse. A solar eclipse is a matter of science & mathematics, but in religion it presents other matters.

The Book of Revelation and Holy Bible has reported that such events shall issue in new or critical changes are about to occur. The removal of the entire breath of [THE CODE] would procure **religious liberty** from an entity, called IRS. This evil entity (IRS) according to the "Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, publication #1694 (12-92) catalog # 15087" declares its existence beginning in the **Year of "1646"**; shortly after pilgrims landing at Plymouth Rock, Massachusetts. Other facts are compelling when:

1. TREASURY ORDER 150-06, **dated July 9, 1953**, entitled "Designation as Internal Revenue Service," states in part: "The Bureau of Internal Revenue shall hereafter be known as the Internal Revenue Service."
2. TREASURY ORDER: 150-06, CANCELLATION DATE: **August 22, 2005** entitled, "Designation as Internal Revenue Service" TO of July 9, 1953, 150-06 **is cancelled.**

Regardless of such facts, my petition that I am filing on April 15, 2024, will produce an "earthquake" of enormous economic news for America and this World we share. The IRS' beliefs, [Burdens] & its subjugated lesson plan of religious indoctrination as a condition of servitude shall come to its end. God is my witness. If you think I am wrong, I remind my adversaries at the IRS of the Biblical event of June 29, 2006: "The rains resulted in 20 feet of flooding in the IRS' subbasement and 5 feet of water in its basement." (approx. 5 million gallons of water only in that building of DC). The power of God is authoritative respected.

Putting that thought aside, the granted "*power to lay and collect taxes on incomes*" not on profits at a 5% tax rate, **only on** creations of the state (LLC, trusts, corp. etc.) not on people; because they are creature of GOD<sup>1</sup>. This will raise 7-9 trillion dollars of revenue with 2.6 trillion remaining with people who are working for [LLP]. Each year the current Congress would determine the % of tax rate needed as a true system of taxation with representation.

Respectfully Submitted, as a shared biblical revelation in the making.



*From the Desk of Terry Lee Hinds  
438 Leicester Square Drive Ballwin, Missouri 63021  
Monday April 8, 2024*

MERRICK B. GARLAND  
Attorney General of the United States  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20530

Cert # 9589 0710 5270 0405 0090 63  
Return Receipt Requested

**Legal Notice**

Mr. Garland,

I am providing this legal notice regarding certain claims and causes of action involving you and other Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. I declared this notice on this particular date, whereby the United States shall have a total solar eclipse take place on Monday, April 8, 2024, visible across North America and dubbed the Great North American Eclipse. A solar eclipse is a matter of science & mathematics, but in religion it presents other matters.

The Book of Revelation and Holy Bible has reported that such events shall issue in new or critical changes are about to occur. The removal of the entire breath of [THE CODE] would procure **religious liberty** from an entity, called IRS. This evil entity (IRS) according to the "Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, publication #1694 (12-92) catalog # 15087" declares its existence beginning in the **Year of "1646"**; shortly after pilgrims landing at Plymouth Rock, Massachusetts. Other facts are compelling when:

1. TREASURY ORDER 150-06, **dated July 9, 1953**, entitled "Designation as Internal Revenue Service," states in part: "The Bureau of Internal Revenue shall hereafter be known as the Internal Revenue Service."
2. TREASURY ORDER: 150-06, CANCELLATION DATE: **August 22, 2005** entitled, "Designation as Internal Revenue Service" TO of July 9, 1953, 150-06 **is cancelled.**

Regardless of such facts, my petition that I am filing on April 15, 2024, will produce an "earthquake" of enormous economic news for America and this World we share. The IRS' beliefs, [Burdens] & its subjugated lesson plan of religious indoctrination as a condition of servitude shall come to its end. God is my witness. If you think I am wrong, I remind my adversaries at the IRS of the Biblical event of June 29, 2006: "The rains resulted in 20 feet of flooding in the IRS' subbasement and 5 feet of water in its basement." (approx. 5 million gallons of water only in that building of DC). The power of God is authoritative respected.

Putting that thought aside, the granted "power to lay and collect taxes on incomes" not on profits at a 5% tax rate, **only on** creations of the state (LLC, trusts, corp. etc.) not on people; because they are creature of GOD<sup>1</sup>. This will raise 7-9 trillion dollars of revenue with 2.6 trillion remaining with people who are working for [LLP]. Each year the current Congress would determine the % of tax rate needed as a true system of taxation with representation.

Respectfully Submitted, as a shared biblical revelation in the making.

<sup>1</sup> Matthew 22:17-21

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:

TERRY LEE HINDS,  
*Pro se & Suri Juris*,  
Officially a/k/a Terry Lee Hinds,

Real Party in Interest as Plaintiff/Petitioner, (“[P/P]”)

-Vs-

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., in his official capacity  
as the President of the United States of America;  
Government of the United States, and

DANIEL WERFEL, in his official capacity  
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service, &/or  
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue; via § 7803  
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, IRS and

JANET YELLEN, in her official capacity  
as Secretary of the United States Department  
of the Treasury; UNITED STATES  
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, and

MERRICK B. GARLAND, in his official capacity  
as Attorney General of the United States;  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. (“[D/R/I P]”)

*Also serve pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(i)(1)(A)(i)*  
U.S. Attorney Saylor A. Fleming  
The United States Attorney’s Office  
Eastern District of Missouri  
Thomas Eagleton U.S. Courthouse  
111 S. 10th Street, 20th Floor, St. Louis, MO 63102

}  
}  
}  
}  
} CIVIL ACTION  
} FILE NUMBER:  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}

---

**PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW, JUDGMENT OR DECREE  
AND FOR ALL WRITS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO THIS CASE  
AS WELL ISSUE WRITS AGREEABLE TO USAGES & PRINCIPLES OF LAW**

COME NOW, [P/P] and for his Petition & Protest, avers, alleges or states as follows:

[P/P]’s Brief in Support of Petition

COME NOW Petitioner/Plaintiff, (“[P/P]”) and for his Petition and Protest hereby submits to this Court the following Brief in Support, and states the following:

**Nature of the Case in Law and Equity with Justiciable Controversies**

1. This is an action with actual controversies that are substantial and concrete, to settle *as applied violations* of statutory and constitutional provisions; by this means, to protect constitutional rights and liberties pursuant to *free exercise claims*<sup>1</sup> of religious belief, religion, conscience, association, petition, protest, [Protected Speech] *etc.* (“[Rights]”)<sup>2</sup> [D/R/I P]’s *practice of law is an art form* based upon *beliefs & expressive activities* in a CODE as a religion. A relation between law/art appears to be one of devout passion.
2. The substance here is *claim-rights owed* & differ as to things that touch the heart of an existing order. In our Federal Constitution, *government compulsion* as employed herein is not a *lawful measure* of achieving taxation<sup>3</sup> *via compelled speech*<sup>4</sup> violating [Rights]. Also, *one’s faithfulness* to the *rule of law* used<sup>5</sup> & *hybrid forums* applied<sup>6</sup> are central to this case for the *applications of religious liberty rights, one’s personal constitution & Facts of Faith/exhibits/declarations* incorporated herein by reference. (“[Testimony]”)<sup>7</sup>
3. ***“Can the government compel someone to say something they don’t want to say?”***<sup>8</sup>  
  
“If there is any fixed star in our constitutional constellation, it is that no official, high or petty, *can prescribe what shall be orthodox* in politics, nationalism, *religion*, or other *matters of opinion*, or *force citizens to confess by word or act their faith therein.*” *Board of Education v. Barnette*, 319 U.S. 624, 642 (1943). In this sphere of intellect & spirit holds: “But freedom to differ is not limited to things that do not matter much. That would be a mere shadow of freedom. The test of its substance is *the right to differ as to things that touch the heart* of the existing order.” *Id.*, at 642. *Emphasis added.*
4. The challenged opinions/conduct/actions/law of the [D/R/I P], *as applied*,<sup>9</sup> concerns:  
  
(1) violations of U.S. Const./Amends. (2) unwarranted intrusions, (3) *ultra vires acts*,  
  
(4) legal defects, (5) *Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief & Practice* (“[Burdens]”)

(6) invasions of legally protected interest of fundamental rights, doctrines, federal laws, & executive policy, (7) unjust liberty deprivations, aimed at (“[unconstitutional ends]”).

5. The federal arguments, disputes & concrete harms here<sup>10</sup>, concern “*unalienable Rights, that among these are **Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness***” (“[LLP]”) and with governmental actions *substantially burdening an exercise of religion & the **liberty*** of sincerely held religious beliefs, practices, or observances. (“FAITH”) or (“[believes]”).

The *religious integrity*<sup>11</sup> of one’s *life* is something so fundamental that it has been found to draw to its protection the *principles & practices* of more than one explicitly granted Constitutional right. Our Federal Constitution is born of the proposition that concedes all legitimate governments must secure the equal right of every person to “*Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness.*”<sup>12</sup> In this case these three *sacred ends* are compatible, mutually enhanced, and noteworthy coincident. The Court has long recognized that the *liberty*<sup>13</sup> to make the personal decisions and choices constitutive of private life is so fundamental to our “*concept of ordered liberty,*” *Palko v. Connecticut*, 302 U.S. 319, 325 (1937), that those choices must occasionally be afforded more direct protection. See, e.g., *Meyer v. Nebraska*, 262 U.S. 390 (1923); *Griswold v. Connecticut*, 381 U.S. 479 (1965). Many religions typically advocate or demand of their followers a certain prescribed behavior to uphold *religious integrity* of their FAITH. [P/P] *religious life* is a *sacred temple of free moral/agency* guided by a *personal religion*<sup>14</sup> in order to form a more perfect union. [P/P] as a lead architect of one’s [LLP] & “*Our Church of Greater Reality*”<sup>15</sup> is built upon *one’s intelligent design exercised of a **liberty*** in a tri-mixture of lawful/legal *elements* of Christianity/Constitutionally/Conscience, (“[C/C/C]”). [P/P]’s *personal religion as “I am”*, is for the World to come; & in keeping with *one’s personal*



*constitution* and the U.S. Constitution/Amendments & Rule of Law for [P/P]'s [LLP]. ("[LAW]"). Hence, [P/P] is motivated by one's FAITH in [LAW] through Questions Utilizing Evidence Seeking Truth ("[Q.U.E.S.T.]") for the *Intersection of Church and State as one's pursuit of happiness*.<sup>16</sup> It is where law and religion are a "fusion", as an establishment, endorsement, or practice of law with the *free exercise* of one's FAITH, are the same, as one cannot exist without the other. *Meyer*, along with *Pierce v. Society of Sisters* (1925), is "the two sturdiest pillars of the *substantive due process temple*."<sup>17</sup>

6. [D/R/I P]'s acts of *undue interference*<sup>18</sup> in [P/P]'s ability of embracing one's FAITH, are sanctioned by *government speech*,<sup>19</sup> **Cross References** & [unconstitutional ends] as law respecting an *establishment of religion* in a *matrix of religious dealings*. ("CODE"). This *holistic practice* provides devout services & benefits<sup>20</sup> from revenues raised under an *artful guise*, as *processing taxes*<sup>21</sup> for a religion within a CODE; in contravention of [P/P]'s FAITH in [LAW] [C/C/C] [Rights] [LLP] & Art. I, § 8, cl. 1. & Art. I, § 9, cl. 7
7. Dutiful, the CODE are content-based restrictions on *pure speech, as applied* or on its face due to a discriminatory purpose or justification as viewpoint-based discrimination on *religious beliefs* thereby prohibited, unconstitutional or subject to strict scrutiny.<sup>22</sup> The CODE imposes special disabilities on the basis of *religious status* or an observer's FAITH, as a penalty on the *free exercise of religion* that triggers most exacting scrutiny.

**#1 Question Presented:** *establishment clause & free exercise claims/claimed rights owed.*

Whether [P/P]'s [LLP] can lawfully exist/arise under the *free exercise* of one's own chosen religion & *secured liberty* of FAITH in [LAW] as an *establishment of religion*; under The U.S. Constitution/Amendments, U.S Supreme Court's Decisions, Doctrines & Tests as set forth herein; when the *establishment clause* protects [P/P] from *government speech* in its holistic approach, practices, or interferences as *mixed speech* within a CODE of opinions, conduct, actions, &/or laws of the [D/R/I P], *as applied* to [P/P]'s [LLP] and his [Rights]?

**Answer Yes. See Petition.**<sup>23</sup>

8. This case involves claims that arise out of or related to relationships among the parties, via *substantive law, doctrines*, [Rights], [LAW], [LLP], *inter alia*<sup>24</sup> to be upheld. Thus, congruent to one's [LLP], legal obligations, settled law & [D/R/I P]'s *faithful discharge of their duties* owed to this [P/P], to wit, ***conforming to their respective Oath of office.***
9. This *suit in equity* seeks a ruling for challenged conduct, law, & constitutional injuries or tangible/threatened harms that are concrete or real; involving, a controversy ripe for judicial determination; when [P/P] received an IRS' Notice CP2000<sup>25</sup>, dated 7/3/2023, Tax year 2021 for the proposed amount due of **\$12,080.00** ("IRS Notice"). An urgent factual obligation alleged & encroachment.<sup>26</sup> A penalty/harm adequately particularized when [P/P]'s ***chose to exercise fundamental rights***, U.S. Supreme Court Doctrines, and in ***substantive rights*** of *intellectual freedom, identity, autonomy, or freedoms of choice.*

**#2 Question Presented:** *invasions of substantive rights & protected fundamental rights.*

Whether [D/R/I P]'s IRS Notice or the actions/statutes challenged for prescribed standards of conduct to obtain IRS' services/benefits from revenues raised thru *undue interference in processing taxes* for a religion within a CODE is lawful or valid; under [P/P]'s [Rights] & FAITH in Article I, § 8, cl. 1, as held *U. S. v. Butler*, 297 U.S. 1-3 (1936) as [LAW]; when [P/P] ***chose to exercise fundamental rights***, Court Doctrines, & [C/C/C] and *substantive rights of intellectual freedom, identity, autonomy, or freedoms of choice* for one's [LLP]?

**Answer: No. See Petition.**<sup>27</sup>

10. This IRS Notice declared a '*Statutory Notice of Deficiency*'<sup>28</sup> followed by a bill for the proposed amount due is forthcoming if I failed to respond. This **lesson** in *debt coercion* is more substantial and concrete; when it comes to ***reprisals on free speech***, *inter alia* particularly when it declares, "*doesn't match the information you reported on your tax return.*" [P/P] never filed a 2021 tax return, because "**I am**" not an IRS' Taxp[r]ayer.<sup>29</sup>
11. Filing a U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 ("[Form 1040]") thus, regulates [P/P]'s viewpoint<sup>30</sup> & the contents<sup>31</sup> of *protected or pure speech, speech plus conduct,*

- inter alia*.<sup>32</sup> Expressive activities in freedom of worship, of mind, a *right to refrain from speaking* or an individual's freedom to choose his own creed are substantially burden.
12. This IRS' "lesson" focuses on *required reading*<sup>33</sup> & *compelled speech* using [1040] as a [proper return] in a *dialogue forum* of [Intellectual Tithing]. Thus, *regulations of such conduct* are [Burdens] & a *subjugated lesson plan of indoctrination*<sup>34</sup> as a condition of servitude. The point here is that this *exaction* (IRS Notice) is in no proper sense a tax; but a "lesson" imposed under the *guise* of a taxing act. The purpose is to usurp [Rights].
13. This "lesson" of *peer pressure turns into compulsion* and ceases to be an inducement, via *reading* IRS' *instructions/publications/opinions/notices/forms* that generate "*taxes on knowledge*"; a *constitutional evil* of which the First Amendment was partly aimed. [P/P]'s FAITH dictates [D/R/I P] violated RFRA, and respective Oath of office, with a CODE, *in the form of substantive evils flowing as religious/education laws*, evolving the *constitutional evils*<sup>35</sup> as petitioned herein existing as claimed rights owed or claims.

**#3 Question Presented:** *free exercise/actions that unduly favor one religion over another.*

Under the First Amendment's *free exercise clause* of religion, protected speech & freedom from *association* prevails or; does [P/P]'s *equal protection* in *freedom of worship, of mind*, a right to *refrain from* speaking, or an individual's *freedom to choose* his own creed or the *exercise* of one's religion without substantial [Burdens] as pursuant to RFRA exist; when [D/R/I P]'s *religious motivations* in a [proper return] are generating *taxes on knowledge*, for a favored religion by *converting* Taxpayers to Taxp[r]ayers, as a *condition of servitude*?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>36</sup>

14. These actions & serious harms are a misuse or threatened abuse of the legal process & law pursuant to 26 U.S.C. Subtitle F - Procedure & Administration; *as applied law*<sup>37</sup> in Chapters 61 through 68, & 75 through 80 or germane subchapter or parts therein: "*are made only for convenience and shall be given no legal effect.*" ("[As Applied Law]"). This legal aspect is *self-evident* pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §7806(a) - Construction of title.<sup>38</sup>

**#4 Question Presented:** *establishment claim & promoting a specific religion with taxes.*

Whether 26 U.S. Code Chapter 64 – COLLECTION is *law respecting an establishment of religion* or **religious dealings**; under 26 U.S. Code §7410 - Cross References (1) of which declares “*For provisions for collecting taxes in general, see chapter 64*”; particularly when 26 U.S. Code, §7806(a) Construction of title, mandates: “*The cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word ‘see’ is used, are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect*” raising legal arguments & suppressing [P/P]’s **religious grounded conduct & expression** of [LLP] v. *processing taxes* to promote a religion with [D/R/I P]’s laws or a potential civil action by the United States?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>39</sup>

---

**#5 Question Presented:** *impermissibly endorses/disapproves of religion/protected FAITH*

Under 26 U.S. Code §7410 - Cross references (2) of which declares “*For venue in a civil action for the collection of any tax, see section 1396 of Title 28 of the United States Code*”; does 28 U.S. Code §1396 - Internal revenue taxes exist as **institutionalized faith** or invalid; when 26 U.S. Code §7806(a) Construction of title, mandates: “*The cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word ‘see’ is used, are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect*” producing disputes & suppressing [P/P]’s religious observances, expressions or activities of [LLP] with conduct, opinions, or laws of the [D/R/I P] &/or within a potential civil action by the United States?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>40</sup>

---

**#6 Question Presented:** *encouraging or promoting religion as [Temple Taxes], inter alia.*

Under 26 U.S. Code §7410 - Cross references (3) of which declares “*For venue of a proceeding for the recovery of any fine, penalty, or forfeiture, see section 1395 of Title 28 of the United States Code*”; does 28 U.S. Code §1395 - Fine, penalty or forfeiture exist as [Temple Taxes] for **institutionalized faith**; when 26 U.S.C. §7806(a) Construction of title, mandates “*The cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word ‘see’ is used, are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect*” creating disputes & suppressing [P/P]’s religious observances, expressions of FAITH in [LAW] vs [D/R/I P]’s laws, &/or in a potential civil action by the United States?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>41</sup>

15. This IRS Notice is a “*descriptive matter*” relating to the contents of this title. However, pursuant to §7806(b), declares, in part: “*No inference, implication, or presumption of legislative construction shall be drawn*”...“*or descriptive matter relating to the contents*



of this title be **given any legal effect.**” Such *legal effect* is for **religion not legal reason.**

Regardless, IRS’ **administrative practices** are self-evident when not in compliance with 26 U.S.C. Part I - GENERAL PROVISIONS §§ 7201 to 7217, as prescribed **standards of behavior** within a CODE,<sup>42</sup> but again, or the other hand existing [As Applied Law].

**#7 Question Presented:** *free exercise claims, ultra vires acts, legal defects, & [Burdens].*

Whether Congress intend that *any person*, should become a criminal by one’s mere failure to measure up to [D/R/I P]’s *prescribed standards of conduct* within a CODE; under 26 U.S.C. §7806; when [As Applied Law] manifests *bona fide* misunderstandings as to one’s liability for the tax, as to one’s *duty to make a return*, or as to the adequacy of the records *any person* maintained or required to produce, or *as applied* to [P/P]’s [LLP] & [Rights]?

**Answer: No. See Petition.**<sup>43</sup>

16. [D/R/I P]’s encroachment, endorsement, or enforcement of [Form 1040] or being used by them, is axiomatic of activities infringing on [Rights]; when using **law with no legal effect, made only for convenience.** [P/P]’s [believes] [Form 1040] is an IRS Covenant<sup>44</sup> to **convert taxpayers** to taxp[r]ayers in a *dialogue forum* of [Intellectual Tithing] taxing the human spirit & soul for a **Government Religion of Submission.** (“[Islamic belief]”).

17. Thereby, this conduct/actions forces [P/P] to profess a belief or disbelief in religion that affects him in a very personal/individual way *through a forum* for a process of instilling *religious doctrines.* Court held in *usage/purposes of a forum:* “First Amendment means that government has no power to restrict expression because of its message, its ideas, its subject matter, or its content.” *Police Dept. of Chi. v. Mosley*, 408 U.S. 92, 95 (1972).

**#8 Question Presented:** *content-based restrictions/viewpoint-based discrimination, etc.*

Whether [D/R/I P]’s conduct within the CODE & [1040] as disputed activities of *religious dealings* of [unconstitutional ends] prevail; under U.S. Supreme Court First Amendment’s *establishment/free exercise clauses doctrines & tests* or with [P/P]’s [Rights] & from claim-rights owed; when [P/P] is forces to profess a belief or disbelief in religion that affects him in a very personal/individual way thru a **forum** for a process of instilling religious doctrines by *burdening/restricting free speech* or “draws distinctions based on the message a speaker

conveys,” because of its message, its ideas, its subject matter, or its content regarding *speech plus, devout speech, pure or religious speech* in system of *taxation by confession*, including sanctioned *unconstitutional conditions* that chill, deter speech or contingent on?

**Answer: No. See Petition.**<sup>45</sup>

18. [P/P]’s [believes] this is an IRS’ Creed: “***Our core values guide our path to achieving our vision***” (“[Creed]”).<sup>46</sup> [P/P]’s [believes] when *any person* believes in, practices, or makes a ***proper return*** to the IRS & *their path of life, beliefs, and practices*;<sup>47</sup> manifests Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism. (“[Worship]”).<sup>48</sup> ***Scientology***, one of various IRS’ endorsed religions has this heartfelt tenet: “***Auditing is precise, thoroughly codified and has exact procedures***”. This ***precise path*** used by IRS establishes [Burdens] & the ***religious undue influences*** of [Intellectual Tithing].<sup>49</sup>
19. [D/R/I P]’s *religious motivations* individually or collectively have converted Taxpayers into Taxp[r]ayers & unduly favor one religion over another.<sup>50</sup> Their *prescribed standard of conduct & speech* in an IRS’ [Creed] manifests taxp[r]ayers, dogmas, [Burdens] and forms a government religion, *inter alia*. In essence, an Organized Religion of **THEIRS** (“[Taxology]”) with the endorsement of Institutionalized Faith in Taxism. (“[Taxism]”). Their modes of [Worship] are a ***Doctrine of Exchange*** by using refunds, exemptions, enumerations, credits, deductions, adjustments, or abatements (“[Doc-of-Exch]”)<sup>51</sup> via [1040] for [Taxism] [Taxology] vs raising revenues. Conduct of ***Constitutional evils***.

**#9 Question Presented:** *establishment clause violation claim/religious liberty rights owed*

Under an Establishment Clause claim *as applied* to U.S. government; does an IRS’ [Creed] & its *dogma* of a [Doc-of-Exch] for [Taxology] arise, whereby the [D/R/I P] are converting Taxpayers into Taxp[r]ayers, advanced by [FAITH] in [Taxism] as ***legal coercion*** vs [P/P]’s ***religious liberty rights owed***; when ***compelling any person to believe in, practice, or make a proper return*** to the IRS & *their path of life, beliefs, and practices*; manifesting a system of [Worship] advanced by a dogma of [Auditing] or other *religious dealings* listed herein?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>52</sup>

20. [D/R/I P]'s described activities listed in this petition; crafted *dependent conditions* for an *IRS body of believers* manifesting the Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS – the semblance of religion.<sup>53</sup>[P/P]'s [believes] the Internal Religious Service (“[IRS]”) a/k/a IRS, has proselytized 26 U.S.C. §501(c) (“[Mega Church]”) thus, utilizing the prestige, power, and influence of a public institution administered by President Biden.
21. These actions [As Applied Law]<sup>54</sup> is for IRS’ *indoctrination* & modes of [Worship]. Thus, proselytizing the CODE, or the *as applied* challenges or list of real controversies, or the President’s most important constitutional duty, "shall take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed," Art. II, § 3; has caused [P/P] to suffer an injury in fact and harms.
22. Moreover, the federal government has attached conditions for the receipt of a federal benefit; tax status/refunds/deductions/exemptions, *inter alia*, requiring [P/P] to alter his religious character, FAITH & [LLP]<sup>55</sup> to participate in a *government program*.<sup>56</sup> This *unconstitutional condition* embraces that [D/R/I P] may grant benefits or one’s rights on the condition that the beneficiary surrender constitutional rights in a *dutiful forum*.
23. This conduct/actions manifested uncertainty as to the legal obligations, responsibilities, and exercised [Rights] between these two parties, & a judicial review/ruling offers an immediate means to resolve extreme [Burdens] & legal uncertainties for these litigants.
24. These actual controversies between said parties are substantial, immediate, or real and the parties have adverse legal interests. [P/P] seeks Rule 52. Findings & Conclusions, that defines, outlines, or affirms rights, obligations, & responsibilities of each party or how such legal relations depend on, &/or of a certain legal status, when challenging *law/practices/validity* of tax assessments & to thwart serious abuses of power/authority.
25. Thereby, entry of a judgment or decree is procedurally proper to resolve these legal

uncertainties, [Burdens] & afford [P/P] a speedy/inexpensive method of adjudicating; leaving substantive rights unchanged if the CODE should pass “*constitutional muster*”.

26. In *Apt forums*,<sup>57</sup> [P/P] articulated: **I am an architect of my life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness. I know what is to come by the principle on which it is built. Freedom is the light of all sentient beings with the right to exist as "I Am", and not as “any person”.**<sup>58</sup>

27. Equally, [P/P]’s [conscience] dictates that **free exercise principles** do not cause a man to sacrifice his integrity, his rights, the freedom of his convictions, the honesty of his feelings, or the independence of his thoughts. (“[Mankind’s Supreme Possessions]”).<sup>59</sup>

28. These rights are Mankind’s supreme possessions. These are not the objects of sacrifice. [P/P]’s [believes] the mind is a **sacred place** with the human heart (emotions) being a **sacred space** found within us all. Within these most **sacred precincts** of private & domestic life, **religious experiences** developed for various people and with this [P/P].<sup>60</sup>

29. [P/P] motivated by FAITH in a **personal constitution** of [Sacred Honor], [Mankind’s Supreme Possessions], Constitutionally Protected Interests (“[CPI]”),<sup>61</sup> [conscience] & [Protected Conduct] existing as *legal/moral capacity*. In so doing, manifested [LLP] exercised by [Rights] as Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment. (“[Q.R.F.A.]”).

**#10 Question Presented:** *free exercise* of FAITH in [LAW] for [LLP] in a *dutiful forum*.

Under the **Establishment clause/prohibiting free exercise thereof**; does [P/P]’s [Q.R.F.A.] protect *free moral/agency as a legal duty* within Establishment clause or within a **personal constitution** for one’s *sacred precincts* by *exercising* FAITH in [LAW]; when challenging [D/R/I P]’s conduct, IRS’ **indoctrination** &/or the government *substantially burdening* the *exercise* of religion or **liberty of** [Q.R.F.A.] *de facto*, with law respecting an establishment of religion in a **matrix of religious dealings**, *as applied* to [P/P]’s [LLP] in a **dutiful forum**?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>62</sup>

30. A **dutiful forum** is the means of & the access sought to exercise [Q.R.F.A.] for a moral-legal duty, regardless of its place, meeting, or medium where thoughts, feelings, beliefs



on a particular issue or matters can be exchanged through the *marketplace of ideas*.<sup>63</sup>

31. [P/P]'s *dutiful forum* is The Intersection of Church & State<sup>64</sup> via Personal Constitution & U.S. Constitution of the (“[Commanding Heights]”), assuming among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature &/or Nature's God who rectified religion to be a person/place/thing thru a *dialogue forum* as [Q.U.E.S.T.].
32. [P/P] is suffering [Burdens]<sup>65</sup> & invasions of these legally protected interests, relating to one's FAITH, *personal constitution* & [LLP] when *exercising* his [Q.R.F.A.].<sup>66</sup> This discrete injury, harm, & unreasonable loss in a concrete & personal way, is by activity of [D/R/I P] in 'A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil.' (“[To LIVE as EVIL]”).

**#11 Question Presented:** *claimed rights owed & claims of constitutional law & doctrines*

Whether [P/P]'s *personal constitution* & one's FAITH in [LLP] shall exists; under the 1<sup>st</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup> & 9<sup>th</sup> Amends./ambits, Court Doctrines, vs [D/R/I P]'s jurisdiction as [To LIVE as EVIL]; when *exercising* [Q.R.F.A.] & its penumbral, as an *unalienable right* for [LLP] in the *free exercise* within [Commanding Heights] &/or as a rubric of the 9<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> Amend., being a *natural, intrinsic, or implied right*, casts as *unenumerated rights or rights reserved*?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>67</sup>

33. [To LIVE as EVIL]<sup>68</sup> is *compelled symbolic speech & stratagem*<sup>69</sup> intended to convey a message or communication of ideas by *context/content/conduct of required behavior* or *behavior limited* in form that is necessary to convey the idea that EVIL will triumph.
34. These constitutional injuries were caused by enforcement of the CODE & the conduct, duty, or obligations of [D/R/I P], *as applied*. The *free exercise of religious liberty & devout speech* not to exist or live as *any person* vs *natural person* is a claim-right owed.
35. The government seeks to use its law to compel an individual or “*any person*” to *create speech they do not believe*.<sup>70</sup> The activity at issue is *protected speech*, FAITH & [LLP] that are *inherently expressive conduct* vs [To LIVE as EVIL]. The *right to exist* as "I

**am**" demonstrates religion, *as a community*<sup>71</sup> to protect dignity, to guild one's *path* of *religious beliefs & achieving constitutional core values, one's constitution & [CPI]*.<sup>72</sup>

36. This triggers a real controversy of demonstrated deprivations when [P/P] discharged said policy in a *substantive due process right*<sup>73</sup> to exist as "**I am**", under the legal/lawful framework as outlined within this petition or *implicit in the concept of ordered liberty*.<sup>74</sup>

**#12 Question Presented:** [unconstitutional ends] of *undue or unjust deprivations* of [LLP]

Under constitutional provisions, federal law, Court doctrines or Exc. Orders/policy, does [D/R/I P]'s invasions of a legally protected interest, [To LIVE as EVIL] or [As Applied Law] prevail; when [P/P]'s *protected liberty interests* was exercised & expressed as a full spectrum of *inherently expressive conduct* of religious activity with "**taxes on knowledge**", using [Rights] & FAITH in [LAW] for [LLP] with a *substantive due process right/grounds* to exist as "**I am**" of which has been violated as claimed herein or as a claim-right owed?

**Answer: No. See Petition.**<sup>75</sup>

37. The threshold issue or grounds for [D/R/I P] advocating [To LIVE as EVIL] is IRS' *indoctrination in a matrix of religious dealings*, violating the 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment; thereby establishing a Black Theology of Legalism.<sup>76</sup> ("[Legalism]"). [D/R/I P]'s [Legalism] strike everywhere today & it even defies *intelligible principles & foundational gravity* created or established by the U.S. Constitution & germane Constitutional Amendments.

38. This *matrix of religious dealings*, violating 16<sup>th</sup> Amendment is *self-evident*; with the "**power to lay and collect taxes**" is not express power to exempt and refund taxes, as well as "**on incomes**" is contrary to on individual/any person, as to condition [Rights]-privileges in [LLP].<sup>77</sup> Besides, "*from whatever source derived*" but source is not plural, hence, conflicting with 26 U.S.C. §§ 861 and 862 declaring "**Income from source[s]**". A tax, in the general understanding and in the strict constitutional sense, is an exaction for the support of Government; **the term does not connote** the *expropriation of money* from one group to be expended for another, as a necessary means in a plan of regulation;

such as [The Policy] regulating *any person's hopes, fears, faith, or speech* through a CODE vs. "*provide for the common defence and general welfare of the United States.*"

39. [D/R/I P]'s ***matrix of religious dealings***<sup>78</sup> as challenged conduct is in opposition to U.S. v. Butler, 297 U.S. 1, 65 (1936) & McCulloch v. Maryland, 17 U.S. 316 (1819):

The power to appropriate money from the Treasury (Constitution, Art. I, § 9, cl. 7) is as broad as the power to tax, and the power to lay taxes to provide for the general welfare of the United States implies the power to appropriate public funds for that purpose. The power to tax and spend is a separate and distinct power; its exercise is not confined to the fields committed to Congress by the other enumerated grants of power, but it is limited by the requirement that it shall be exercised to provide for the general welfare of the United States.

The Court held in *McCulloch v. Maryland* re: "*Necessary and Proper Clause*" *Id.* 421-422 Let the end be legitimate, let it be within the scope of the constitution, and all means which are appropriate, which are plainly adapted to that end, which are not prohibited, but consist with the letter and spirit of the constitution, are constitutional.

40. There are no "compelling" or "legitimate" governmental interest for ***ultra vires acts***,<sup>79</sup> hostility with or [Burdens] on [P/P]'s ***free exercise*** of religion & FAITH under RFRA.<sup>80</sup> [THE CODE], *as applied* challenges/establishment violations ban an aspect of [P/P]'s *religious observance* or practice, compels an act inconsistent with that observance or practice or puts difficult pressure on an adherent to modify such observance or practice. [P/P]'s FAITH is a practice of his ***personal constitution*** with his ***religious observance*** within [C/C/C] as [LAW] through [Q.U.E.S.T.] as a ***political religion*** for [P/P]'s [LLP].

41. Thus, [P/P] seeks adjudication of these claims, as he been grievously impacted by the ***chilling effects***<sup>81</sup> of this ***prescribing orthodoxy***, especially where threatened action by government reprisal is real, courts do not require a plaintiff to expose [it]self to liability before bringing suit to challenge the basis for the threat or its ***ensorship*** in a CODE.<sup>82</sup> ***Chilling effect*** is a term in law & communication that describes a situation where a speech or conduct is suppressed by fear of penalization at the interests of an *individual's free will*. If it affects one's *free speech, inherently expressive conduct*, or an Individual's

- Freedom of Mind; a **sacred place** it cannot pass constitutional muster or *rights reserved*.
42. Here, Federal power infringes upon rights preserve by the 9<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> Amendments. [P/P] avers [Q.R.F.A.] & its penumbral is **unalienable hybrid-right** for *colorable claims* for a battle of ideas in [Commanding Heights]. It is a rubric of 9<sup>th</sup> & 10<sup>th</sup> Amendments, as *a natural, intrinsic, or implied right*, casts as **unenumerated rights** or **rights reserved**.<sup>83</sup>
43. [Commanding Heights] is not a wall of separation, but a place in *Intersection of Church & State* activities for thoughts/speech/conduct.<sup>84</sup> It is a bedrock of voices/viewpoints, with tenets/truths of *faith*; using legal doctrines/dogmas of Controlling Legal Principles (“[CLP]”) that *constitutes our faith & spirit* in support of “One Nation Under God”.<sup>85</sup>
44. [P/P] avers this *perpetual principle* of his **personal constitution**; that in the name of Justice – Equality – Service – Unity – Sacrifice (“J.E.S.U.S.”)<sup>86</sup> this substantive creed: “*In Order to Form a More Perfect Union between the powers in Heaven and on Earth.*”
45. In keeping with [P/P]’s *substantive creed* for the **free exercise** and **protection of rights, privileges, or immunities** concerning **religious liberties & protected speech** herein; is secured by Executive Order No. 13798 § 4, 82 Fed. Reg. 21675 (May 4, 2017)<sup>87</sup> & by the lawful authority of the Department of Justice, who issued on October 6, 2017, legal guidance & its implementation to all administrative agencies & executive departments about their obligations to protect religious liberty & its expressive speech in the United States. (“[Exec.Order/Directive/A.G.Policy]”). Published Federal Register on May 9.<sup>88</sup>
46. [P/P]’s [Rights] has manifested a **substantive due process right/grounds** to exist as “**I am**”, without fears of *unwarranted intrusions, ultra vires acts, legal defects*, [Burdens], or *invasions of substantive rights/protected fundamental rights or unjust deprivations* of [P/P]’s’ [LLP] in the **free exercise** of his FAITH within the [Commanding Heights].<sup>89</sup>



47. [P/P]'s [LLP] & [LAW] predicated on general *fundamental principles of equality* of application within the *establishment/free exercise clause* of First Amendment. Whether found in *substantive law* or *substantive due process right to exist as "I am"*, this [P/P] embodies that essence in a *specific guaranty*, exercised *in the right* of the people "to be *secure in their persons*"<sup>90</sup> *within the most sacred precincts of private & domestic life.*<sup>91</sup>

48. The IRS *in search for one's possessions of conscience, faith, knowledge, faculties &/or what one seeks to preserve as private, &/or any seizure of substantive liberty interests*<sup>92</sup> protected in *sacred precincts* of a *sacred place /sacred space* found within us all is Evil.

**#13 Question Presented:** *substantive law* in [LLP]- *substantive due process right/grounds*

Whether [P/P] has a constitutional *right, liberty, or immunity* to exist as "I am"; under the legal/lawful framework as outlined within this petition &/or implicit in the concept of ordered *liberty* for [LLP] (5<sup>th</sup> Amend.), *exercising "The right of the people to be secure in their persons"* (4<sup>th</sup> Amend.); when [P/P] discharged [D/R/I P]'s IRS *programs, opinions, conduct, or law* respecting an establishment of religion in a *substantive due process right*?

**Answer: Yes. See Petition.**<sup>93</sup>

49. The questions presented herein is about powers of [LLP] & not what powers the Federal Government ought to have, but what powers have, in fact, been given it by the people.<sup>94</sup> A *reasonable observer* or *any person's* [LLP] in a *personal stake* as defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived, or fearful of being destroyed by law respecting an *establishment of religion* in a *matrix of religious dealings* avows [To LIVE as EVIL].

50. This problematic concern, condition, content, or contrast are endorsed by content-based restrictions on a *subject-matter of religion*/viewpoint-based discrimination on *religious beliefs* violating *establishment/free exercise clauses & one's* [LLP][Rights][Q.R.F.A.] [LAW]. Thus, for *religious liberty*<sup>95</sup> of FAITH in [LAW]/[LLP] [P/P] seeks as a matter of *law & faith*, a judgment or decree *seeking equity* on all claims as presented herein.<sup>96</sup>