

RECEIVED

JAN 13 2025

U. S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MO
ST. LOUIS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
EASTERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:

TERRY LEE HINDS,
Pro se & Suri Juris,
Officially a/k/a Terry Lee Hinds,

Real Party in Interest as Plaintiff/Petitioner, (“[P/P]”)

-Vs-

JOSEPH R. BIDEN, JR., in his official capacity
as the President of the United States of America; &
actions of the Government of the United States, and

DANIEL WERFEL, in his official capacity
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue Service, &/or
as Commissioner of Internal Revenue; via § 7803 &
actions of INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, IRS &

JANET YELLEN, in her official capacity
as Secretary of the United States Department
of the Treasury; & actions of the UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, and

MERRICK B. GARLAND, in his official capacity
as Attorney General of the United States; & actions of
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Defendants/Respondents/Interested Party. (“[D/R/I P]”)

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL MATTERS.

}
}
}
}
}
} CIVIL ACTION
} FILE NUMBER:
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}
}

SECOND DECLARATION OF TERRY LEE HINDS (“[Decl. #2]”)

Pursuant to 28 U.S. Code § 1746, I, TERRY LEE HINDS, as Petitioner/Plaintiff (“[P/P]”) hereby declare as follows. This Declaration is in support of [P/P]’s civil action regarding:

**PETITION FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW, JUDGMENT OR DECREE
AND FOR ALL WRITS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE TO THIS CASE
AS WELL ISSUE WRITS AGREEABLE TO USAGES & PRINCIPLES OF LAW**

This Second Declaration is necessary for the purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 8. General Rules of Pleading, to meet a requirement made pursuant to law, and to the factual matters that are required or permitted to be supported, evidenced, or established for [P/P]'s civil action. I am over the age of 18 and I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could or would testify competently to those facts if called as a witness.

PETITION BACKGROUND & LEGAL NATURE OF THIS CASE

[P/P]'s [LLP] is of one's FAITH in [LAW] as a religious liberty. It is the way, the truth & the life. [P/P] chose to express a full spectrum of inherently expressive conduct & free speech of religious activity of which articulates the following as facts in issue, averments, allegations, or as relevant facts germane to this case or as original pleaded from the original civil action [OVC] FILE NUMBER: 4:17 – CV- 750, (2017) to wit [Testimony] revealed.

Section P – General Averments of Establishment/Endorsement Clause Challenges

(A). Establishment Clause Claims

1592. Plaintiff avers in Count #1, an Establishment Clause Violation -- [THE CODE] is Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion.

1593. Plaintiff avers in Count #2, an Establishment Clause Violation – Endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS [Taxology].

1594. Plaintiff avers in Count #3, an Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment/Endorsement of an Internal Religious Service.

1595. Plaintiff avers in Count #4, an Establishment Clause Violation - The Endorsement of an Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1596. Plaintiff avers in Count #5, an Establishment Clause Violation- [THE WORDS] of THEIRS with the Force and Effect of Law.

1597. Plaintiff avers in Count #6, an Establishment Clause Violation – Establishment and Endorsements of a Church Without Walls.

1598. Plaintiff avers in Count #7, an Establishment Clause Violation – The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.

1599. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion.

1600. Plaintiff challenges [THE CODE] legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1601. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored quintessential relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via [THE CODE] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1602. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1603. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through TITLE 26 U.S.C. INTERNAL REVENUE CODE existing as more moralistic than mathematical.

1604. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1605. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1606. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Creed] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1607. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Creed] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1608. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Purpose-Driven Life] as religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1609. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Purpose-Driven Life] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1610. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Burdens] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1611. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Burdens] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1612. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Refunds] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1613. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Refunds] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1614. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1615. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit E- #33, IRS Vision Quest - §7851. Applicability of revenue laws, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1616. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make an organized religion.

1617. Plaintiff challenges an Organized Religion of THEIRS per se Taxology's legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1618. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via an Organized Religion of THEIRS per se as Taxology in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1619. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1620. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an Organized Religion of THEIRS per se as Taxology.

1621. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [IRS Path of Life] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1622. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [IRS Path of Life] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1623. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Worship] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1624. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Worship] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1625. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [proper return] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1626. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [proper return] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1627. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Doc-of-Exch] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1628. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Doc-of-Exch] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1629. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Exemptions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1630. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Exemptions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1631. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1632. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit F- #52, IRS Revivalism of THEIRS "name-it and claim it" Doctrine, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1633. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, position or fashion a governmental organization as a religion.

1634. Plaintiff challenges an [Internal Religious Service aka IRS] per se as ("[IRS]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1635. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Internal Religious Service aka IRS in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1636. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1637. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an Internal Religious Service aka IRS.

1638. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Intellectual Tithing] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1639. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Intellectual Tithing] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1640. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [IRS Pilgrimage] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1641. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [IRS Pilgrimage] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1642. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [thought crimes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1643. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [thought crimes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1644. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [IRS] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1645. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [IRS] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1646. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Tax Credits] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1647. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Tax Credits] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1648. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1649. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit G- #14, [Systematic Theology of THEIRS]

Redesignation of the IRC, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1650. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, design or structure a governmental association or its institutions to exist as a religion.

1651. Plaintiff challenges an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as (“[Taxism]”) legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1652. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government’s endorsements to advance religion via [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as (“[Taxism]”) in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1653. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants’ IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1654. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of an [Institutionalized Faith in Taxism] per se as (“[Taxism]”).

1655. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [FAITH] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1656. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [FAITH] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1657. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [Syntax Messiah] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1658. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Syntax Messiah] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1659. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Auditing] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1660. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested how [Auditing] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1661. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [Taxism] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1662. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Taxism] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1663. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Tax Deductions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1664. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Tax Deductions] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1665. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1666. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit H- #29, Golden Rule of Taxism: "He Who Has the Gold Makes the Rules", thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as fully set forth herein.

1667. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make, prepare, or allow a governmental organization to exist as a church.

1668. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [Church of What's Happening Now] per se ("[Church]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1669. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants' [Church] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1670. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1671. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of [Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit] per se as ("[Government Speech]").

1672. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged

herein, from manifesting [Government Speech] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1673. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Government Speech] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1674. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [religious gerrymanders] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1675. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [religious gerrymanders] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1676. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [THE WORDS] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1677. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [THE WORDS] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1678. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting an [Govspel] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1679. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested an [Govspel] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1680. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Enumerations] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1681. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Enumerations] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1682. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1683. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit I- #52, Progressive Theology citizens into customers -Deep Stellar Mission, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1684. The Establishment Clause mandates that Defendants shall not make or institute a governmental organization as a church.

1685. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [The Church Without Walls Ministries] per se as ("[Ministries]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1686. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants [Ministries] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1687. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1688. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of Defendants' [Ministries].

1689. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil] per se as ("[To Live as Evil]") as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1690. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [To Live as Evil] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1691. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Confession] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1692. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Confession] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1693. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting Mega Church as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1694. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested Mega Church as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1695. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting the [Taxing Trinity] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1696. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested the [Taxing Trinity] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1697. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Form 1040] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1698. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Form 1040] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1699. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1700. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit J- #17, [Dispensation] "IN GREED WE TRUST", thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1701. The Establishment Clause mandates Defendants shall not make any governmental activity, policies or practices a religious Orthodoxy.

1702. Plaintiff challenges Defendants' [The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS] per se as ("[Convention]") legality in a time-honored quintessential relationship of government to religion existing in America in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1703. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants established a time-honored relationship with government's endorsements to advance religion via Defendants' [Convention] in violation of the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

1704. The Establishment Clause prohibits the practice or prevents a pattern within Defendants' IRS activities from indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1705. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS has established a continuing practice and history of indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an endorsement of Defendants' [Convention].

1706. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation] per se as ("[Emerging Church]") as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1707. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Emerging Church] as law respecting an establishment of religion.

1708. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]] per se as ("[ABC's of Faith]") for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1709. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [ABC's of Faith] for the advancement and establishment of religion.

1710. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Penalties & Interests of THEIRS] per se as ("[Temple Taxes]") as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1711. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Temple Taxes] as the religious underpinnings of belief and practice.

1712. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS established the "right practice"] per se as [Orthodoxy] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1713. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested the [Orthodoxy] for the foundation of an organized religion.

1714. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption "Offer in Compromise"] per se as ("[Abatements]") i.e., Salvation for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1715. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have manifested [Abatements] for the endorsement and coercion of a religion.

1716. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein; from making IRS rules, official opinions and endorsed policies respecting an establishment of religion.

1717. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants income tax administration rules, opinions and policies are respecting an establishment of religion, more particularly described in Exhibit K- #36, Black Theology of Legalism: The ABC's of Salvation, thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(B). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Purpose

1718. Plaintiff avers the Establishment Clause is the bedrock of intellectual freedom and as the touchstone of religious liberty.

1719. Plaintiff avers Defendants' legislative articulations herein of a secular purpose violates these foundational principles because any alleged secular purpose articulated by legislative inputs is purely a sham or merely secondary to a religious one.

1720. Plaintiff avers Defendants' beliefs and practices involving taxing matters herein; set in motion a war of words that has created a rich man's war and a poor man's fight; the benchmark of moral battles or values and the accounting for class warfare in America.

1721. Plaintiff avers Defendants acts herein are with the ostensible and predominant purpose of advancing religion, creating a condition of servitude, a submission process, conversion, and confessions.

1722. Defendants' IRS has made it clear Plaintiff's intellectual freedom and religious liberty are "frivolous" when making a proper return to the IRS and its path of life, beliefs and practices, establishing religious objectives, values and beliefs permeated by action herein.

1723. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies listed in Count #1.

1724. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1725. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with Internal Revenue Code.

1726. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because [THE CODE] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1727. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with beliefs, principles or opinions.

1728. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Creed] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1729. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with the fruits of liberty interests and property interests.

1730. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Purpose-Driven Life] is indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1731. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein shall have a secular purpose with burdens.

1732. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Burdens] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1733. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Refunds].

1734. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Refunds] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1735. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct, and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #2.

1736. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1737. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with people' life, liberty and pursuit of happiness.

1738. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because an [IRS Path of Life] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1739. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters of words, worth and wealth of people.

1740. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Worthship] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1741. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with operating doctrines of governmental authority.

1742. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Doc-of-Exch] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1743. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose when making a proper return to the IRS

1744. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [proper return] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1745. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with taxation.

1746. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Taxology] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1747. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Exemptions].

1748. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Exemptions] is proselytizing the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1749. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #3.

1750. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1751. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with intellectual tithing or as intellectual property.

1752. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because IRS' [Intellectual Tithing] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1753. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct, and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with IRS.

1754. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because IRS is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1755. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose when searching for answers to the unknowable.

1756. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [IRS Pilgrimage] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1757. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with crimes or code violations.

1758. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [thought crimes] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1759. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Tax Credits].

1760. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Tax Credits] is indoctrinating the Plaintiff and taxpayers to become IRS' taxprayers.

1761. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct, and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #4.

1762. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1763. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters of faith in the U.S. Constitution.

1764. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' [FAITH] is indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1765. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters of association, trust or of devotion.

1766. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS as THE GREAT WHATEVER is indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1767. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with the fruits of liberty interests and property interests.

1768. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Syntax Messiah] is indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1769. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein shall have a secular purpose with institutionalized faith.

1770. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' Institutionalized Faith in Taxism is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1771. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with auditing.

1772. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Auditing] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1773. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein shall have a secular purpose with [Tax Deductions].

1774. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Tax Deductions] is indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1775. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct, and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #5.

1776. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1777. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with government speech and legal forums used or authorized.

1778. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants Taxpayers Advocate Service de facto [Church of What's Happening Now] per se as ("[Church]") is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1779. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with the voice of the We The People and its forums.

1780. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Theology Forum] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1781. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters of Interfaith or encroachments thereon.

1782. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [religious gerrymanders] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1783. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with publications, instructions & forms of THE IRS.

1784. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Govspel] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1785. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with the words, policies and practices of government.

1786. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [THE WORDS] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1787. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Enumerations].

1788. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Enumerations] are evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1789. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #6.

1790. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1791. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct, and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with governmental agencies, bureaus or in a "service" thereof.

1792. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants IRS' [Mega Church] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1793. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' [The Church Without Walls Ministries]" per se as ("[Ministries]") transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1794. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' [IRS existing as "The Bureau" + "The Agency" = "The Service"] per se as ("[Taxing Trinity]") transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1795. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with its legal agreements, covenants, forms or contracts.

1796. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Voluntary Compliance] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1797. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with the benefits of democracy in "Quintessential Fruits of First Amendment: Freedoms from Fear, Freedom from Want, Freedom from Ignorance and Freedom from Evil".

1798. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [To LIVE as EVIL] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1799. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct, and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with prior restraint of speech and expressions of wants.

1800. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Tax Anti-Injunction Act 26 U.S.C. §7421(a) – the essence of censorship/sacrilege] per se as (“[Prior Restraint]”) transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1801. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Form 1040].

1802. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Form 1040] transfigures taxpayers as taxprayers and transforms U.S. citizens into customers of THEIRS.

1803. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein shall have a secular purpose with legal matters or controversies list in Count #7.

1804. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants inferences, implications, or presumptions of legislative construction are not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes; more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1805. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct, and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with administrative law, conduct and its activities.

1806. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative law established [The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS]” per se as (“[Convention]”) for the advancement evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1807. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative conduct established [The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation] per se as (“[Emerging Church]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1808. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants

manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative activities established [The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers of [Temple Taxes]]" per se as (“[ABC's of Faith]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1809. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purposes because Defendants' IRS administrative beliefs and matters of opinion established [The fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS] per se as (“[Orthodoxy of THEIRS]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1810. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose when a liberty becomes a license or a personal freedom is “permitted” by law.

1811. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants poetic license in [The Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS established the “right practice”] per se as (“[Orthodoxy]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1812. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with matters to lay and collect taxes on incomes from whatever source.

1813. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Temple Taxes] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1814. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with any person who pays an income tax or other taxes set forth by the U.S Constitution or State Constitutions.

1815. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants [hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions] per se as (“[body of believers]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1816. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with a person's [Constitutionally Protected Interests].

1817. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because Defendants' [Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude] per se (“[Servitude]”) is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

1818. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a secular purpose with [Abatements].

1819. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no secular purpose because [Abatements] is evangelizing and converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

(B-1.1). Lemon Test Purpose Prong —

1820. Plaintiff avers laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever "source" as well as, "to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States" establishes a clear secular purpose. To lay and collect taxes based on whatever source[s] or to pay the debts of persons or provide corporate welfare is unlawful.

1821. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff quintessential religious beliefs and practices violates the Lemon Test Purpose Prong, because Defendants' test isn't about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes.

1822. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is not about legislative inputs, but legislative outcomes.

1823. [THE CODE] has been amended over 10,000 times within the past 100 years.

1824. [THE CODE] has been re-designated as [CODE-1] then re-designated as [CODE-2] then re-designated as [CODE-3] existing as [THE CODE] in this case.

1825. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but endorsing "taxes on knowledge".

1826. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of greed.

1827. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of graft.

1828. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of materialism.

1829. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of self- interest.

1830. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of self-righteousness.

1831. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of special interests.

1832. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of corporate welfare.

1833. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of creating class warfare.

1834. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of generating second-class citizenship.

1835. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of IRS beliefs and opinions.

1836. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of personal beliefs.

1837. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of personal choices.

1838. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of discussion or debate.

1839. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of violating Plaintiff free exercise clause rights, privileges, or immunities of the First Amendment.

1840. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of profiting and losing one's soul.

1841. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of cultivating intrinsic and expressive associations.

1842. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of given a hierarchy exclusive patronage of the national government involving the spheres of religious activity.

1843. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of encouraging loyalty.

1844. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome as more moralistic than mathematical.

1845. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of enforcing a belief in practices of the IRS and [Creed] of the Defendants.

1846. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of endorsing a belief in the convictions of the IRS and [Purpose-Driven Life].

1847. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Law/As/Religion].

1848. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Burdens].

1849. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Refunds] for Defendants' Theology of Money.

1850. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected for Defendants' organized religion.

1851. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of a religion.

1852. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of an [IRS Path of Life].

1853. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Worthship].

1854. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Doc-of-Exch].

1855. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of a [proper return].

1856. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants establishing an Organized Religion of THEIRS.

1857. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Exemptions] for an IRS Theology of THEIRS.

1858. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers for Defendants' organized religion.

1859. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Intellectual Tithing].

1860. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing an [IRS].

1861. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants advancing [IRS Pilgrimage].

1862. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of opinions associated with a committed crime of [thought crimes].

1863. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of sacrificing and imprisoning the human mind.

1864. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of occurrences or instance of controversial or IRS unacceptable thoughts as [thought crimes].

1865. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Tax Credits] for Defendants' Systematic Theology of THEIRS.

1866. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected for Defendants' organized religion.

1867. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of [FAITH].

1868. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing [WHATEVER].

1869. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing an [Syntax Messiah].

1870. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing Institutionalized Faith in Taxism.

1871. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Auditing].

1872. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Tax Deductions] for an [IRS Realm].

1873. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in ["Modified Adjusted Gross Income" of THEIRS]" ("[MAGI]").

1874. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Tax Deductions] for Defendants' Dominion Theology of Taxism.

1875. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions for Defendants' organized religion.

1876. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in the Taxpayers Advocate Service de facto as Church of What's Happening Now.

1877. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in "Your Voice at the IRS" as a [Theology Forum].

1878. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit.

1879. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of [religious gerrymanders].

1880. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in the [Govspel].

1881. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

1882. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions, inter alia for [Materialism].

1883. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Enumerations] for a Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values.

1884. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions for Defendants' organized religion.

1885. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants [Tax Credits] by believing in The Church Without Walls Ministries.

1886. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of a church being organized on corporal & corporate logic seen as a collective experience of religious phenomenon.

1887. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing a [Taxing Trinity].

1888. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Voluntary Compliance].

1889. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Form 1040].

1890. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Form 1040] for Defendants Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS.

1891. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of acceptance in [Form 1040] for Defendants' Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS.

1892. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes with U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 for Defendants' organized religion.

1893. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of A Complacent Policy of Indifference to Evil.

1894. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of [Prior Restraint].

1895. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in the Orthodoxy of Spiritual, Sanctified & Religious Terms, Words or Actions of THEIRS for the establishment of the “right practice”.

1896. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in The Fountainhead of Faith as an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.

1897. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Emerging Church].

1898. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [ABC’s of Faith].

1899. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in the fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS.

1900. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of a hybrid congregation/membership as a body of believers & dependent conditions.

1901. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of Defendants endorsing and believing in [Temple Taxes].

1902. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing Administrative Law of THEIRS guiding a Path to Involuntary Servitude.

1903. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption “Offer in Compromise”.

1904. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants endorsing and believing in Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude.

1905. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but legislative outcomes of Defendants’ IRS indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting of taxpayers into taxpayers.

1906. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of compelling Plaintiff or any person to accept religious belief of the Defendants’ IRS.

1907. [THE CODE] has no clear secular purpose but a legislative outcome of prohibiting Plaintiff's [freedom of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate] per se as ("[Liberty Interests]")

1908. There is no secular purpose with Defendants' endorsement to advocate or promote a religious belief or its course of action.

1909. There is no clear secular purpose to convert or attempt to convert Plaintiff or someone from one religion, belief, or opinion under the guise of [Refunds].

1910. Plaintiff avers Defendants are advancing [THE CODE] as law respecting an establishment of religion by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting of taxpayers into taxprayers; more particularly described in Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

(C). Establishment Clause requires a Secular Effect

1911. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or predominantly secular effect.

1912. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests no clear secular effect or predominantly secular effect because Defendants' IRS are engaged in religious accomplishments and expressive activities, using various forums cultivating intrinsic and expressive associations by converting taxpayers into taxpayers as its primary effect.

1913. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as The Fruits of the Purpose-Driven Life of THEIRS the semblances of religion, thereby converting taxpayers into taxprayers with [Burdens].

1914. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES to become our core values guiding our path to achieving our vision as taxpayers only to become taxprayers with [Burdens].

1915. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Theology of Money per se as ("[Moralistic]")

1916. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1917. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1918. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1919. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1920. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [THE CODE] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1921. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [THE CODE] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1922. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Refunds] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1923. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Refunds] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1924. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as an IRS Path of Life is to keep your Faith THEIRS for a religion of reality by way of Unbridled IRS Approval & Disapproval of Religion.

1925. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as Worship of Argumentative Wealth, Words & Wants of Materialism by means of Unbridled IRS Approval & Disapproval of Religion.

1926. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants Taxology's Theology of THEIRS] per se as (“[Religiosity]”).

1927. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1928. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1929. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1930. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1931. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Worthship] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1932. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Worthship] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1933. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Exemptions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1934. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Exemptions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1935. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the giving of new and useful information to the IRS' Tree of Knowledge of good beliefs and evil practices effectively defining & approving a church or its status, inter alia.

1936. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES to become an IRS Pilgrimage - Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist and by this means defining & approving a church or its status, inter alia.

1937. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Systematic Theology of THEIRS per se as (“[THEIRS]”).

1938. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1939. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1940. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1941. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1942. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [IRS] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1943. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established an [IRS] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1944. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Tax Credits] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1945. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Credits] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1946. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as mandatory beliefs, monitored practices or the experience of religious conversion in an Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS for the reason, if a house be divided against itself it cannot stand.

1947. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as an Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] being the Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS, because if a house be divided against itself it cannot stand.

1948. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Dominion Theology of Taxism per se as (“[IRS Realm]”)

1949. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1950. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1951. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1952. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1953. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein from manifesting [Taxism] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1954. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Taxism] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1955. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Tax Deductions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1956. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Tax Deductions] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1957. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as Government Speech creating spirituality, assessment & empowering Body/Mind/Spirit the effect of Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of [Interfaith], inter alia.

1958. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as Publications, Instructions & Forms of THEIRS or to "see" their steppingstones of enlightenment values become Stealthy Seamless Intrusions of [Interfaith], inter alia.

1959. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post-Foundationalism Enlightenment Values per se as ("[Materialism]").

1960. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1961. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1962. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1963. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1964. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Taxpayers Advocate Service] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1965. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Taxpayers Advocate Service] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1966. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Enumerations] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1967. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Enumerations] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1968. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as The Church Without Walls Ministries making adherence to a religion relevant, inter alia.

1969. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES forming and IRS existing as "The Bureau" + "The Agency" = "The Service" making adherence to a religion relevant, inter alia.

1970. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Newest Covenant and Dispensation Theology of THEIRS per se as ("[Dispensation]").

1971. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1972. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1973. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1974. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1975. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [To Live as Evil] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1976. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [To Live as Evil] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1977. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Form 1040] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1978. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Form 1040] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1979. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as The ABC's Ministries as strategies for reaching a returning generation through [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership.

1980. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES existing as the fusion of religion, revenue & returns into an Orthodoxy of THEIRS supporting [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership.

1981. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, shall have a clear secular effect or a predominant secular effect by prohibiting TAX EXPENDITURES as the outflows or the advancement of Defendants' Black Theology of Legalism via Involuntary Servitude per se as ("[Legalism]").

1982. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, to create or have a primary effect of; either advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion.

1983. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants manifests or have a primary effect of either to advances religion or inhibit a practice of religion more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1984. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1985. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established a primary effect by substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making; more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

1986. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Temple Taxes] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1987. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Temple Taxes] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

1988. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from manifesting [Abatements] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative or policy authority for religious decision-making.

1989. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have established [Abatements] with a primary effect of substituting public legislative authority for religious decision-making.

(C-1.1). Lemon Test Primary Effect Prong

1990. Plaintiff acknowledges the laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever "source" as well as "to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States" establishes a predominantly secular effect.

1991. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's quintessential religious beliefs and practices violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers with [Burdens] as set forth or described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1992. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation, and assessment of the Plaintiff's religious speech and [Protected Speech] violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because of Defendants unbridled IRS approval & disapproval of religion as set forth or described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1993. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's life as a house, his intellectual freedoms, or [conscience] violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because if a house be divided against itself it cannot stand as set forth or described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1994. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's associational freedoms violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are defining & approving a church or its status, inter alia, as set forth or described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1995. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's protest activities violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are conveying or attempting to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred as set forth or described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1996. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's petition, application or request activities violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because Defendants are making adherence to a religion relevant, inter alia, as set forth or described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC].

1997. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants' IRS examination, evaluation and assessment of the Plaintiff's Unalienable Rights of a Fundamental Liberty Interest violates the Lemon Test primary or predominantly secular Effect Prong, because of Defendants [Temple Taxes] & a hybrid congregation/membership worship as set forth or described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC].

(D). Establishment Clause Excessive Governmental Entanglement

1998. Plaintiff avers the fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion is found in the words of reason found the questions we must ask of ourselves or each other. Jesus Christ declared: "For what shall it profit a man, if he shall gain the whole world, and lose his own soul?" Mark 8:36 King James Version (KJV).

1999. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to support, believe in or participate with government-favored religious beliefs and with Defendants IRS spooky actions at a distance.

2000. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept the synthesis of law & religious syncretism.

2001. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept Defendants beliefs in [Creed] is tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement.

2002. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept an [IRS] clothed with authority & "that in which one trusts".

2003. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept religious concept of [Auditing] which literally establishes guilt by association alone.

2004. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept stealthy seamless intrusions of [Interfaith].

2005. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept Federal Tax Return Forms & Filing Status/Badge using religious means to serve governmental ends.

2006. Plaintiff avers Defendants are fostering or excessive governmental entanglement with religion by compelling Plaintiff or others similarly situated to accept The ABC's of Salvation: Admit – Believe – Confess & religious triggers [Temple Taxes] under a guise of spending power for "general welfare".

2007. The Establishment Clause requires that Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, cannot foster excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

2008. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have fostered an excessive governmental entanglement with Crudely Crafted Burdens of Law, Belief and Practice as the synthesis of law & religious syncretism.

2009. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into taxprayers more particularly described in Count #1 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2010. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [THE CODE].

2011. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Creed].

2012. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Purpose-Driven Life].

2013. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Law/As/Religion].

2014. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Burdens].

2015. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Refunds] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

2016. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into taxprayers more particularly described in Count #2 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2017. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an [IRS Path of Life].

2018. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Worship].

2019. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Doc-of-Exch].

2020. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through a [proper return].

2021. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Taxology].

2022. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Exemptions] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

2023. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating,

proselytizing, or converting Plaintiff, “any person” or taxpayers into taxprayers more particularly described in Count #3 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2024. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Intellectual Tithing].

2025. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an [IRS].

2026. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an [IRS Pilgrimage].

2027. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [thought crimes].

2028. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants [IRS House of Worship].

2029. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Tax Credits] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

2030. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants’ law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting Plaintiff, “any person” or taxpayers into taxprayers more particularly described in Count #4 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2031. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants’ IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants’ [FAITH].

2032. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [WHATEVER].

2033. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through the [Syntax Messiah].

2034. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Taxism].

2035. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers for the reasons in [Auditing].

2036. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Tax Deductions] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

2037. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into taxprayers more particularly described in Count #5 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2038. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through the [TAS] as the [Church].

2039. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Government Speech].

2040. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Theology Forum] advancing [religious gerrymanders].

2041. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Govspel] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2042. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Enumerations] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

2043. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into taxprayers more particularly described in Count #6 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2044. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Mega Church].

2045. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through a [Taxing Trinity].

2046. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Confession.

2047. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [To LIVE as EVIL].

2048. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Orthodoxy].

2049. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Prior Restraint].

2050. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Form 1040] on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

2051. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from fostering an excessive governmental entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting Plaintiff, "any person" or taxpayers into taxprayers more particularly described in Count #7 Exhibits of this [OVC] attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2052. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Convention] and [Emerging Church].

2053. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [ABC's of Faith] and [Orthodoxy of THEIRS].

2054. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Orthodoxy] for its [body of believers].

2055. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing, or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Temple Taxes].

2056. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Servitude].

2057. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants' IRS fosters, promotes or advances an excessive government entanglement by indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation on its face and as applied to Plaintiff or others similarly situated under the First Amendment.

(E). Establishment Clause Endorsement Test of Religion

2058. Plaintiff avers the Establishment Clause prohibits government from making adherence to a religion relevant in any way to a person's standing in the political community.

2059. Plaintiff avers endorsement sends a message to non-adherents that they are outsiders, not full members of the political community, and an accompanying message to adherents that they are insiders, favored members of the political community.

2060. Plaintiff avers religious autonomy is a liberty interests where everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change one's religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest one's own religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.

2061. The Establishment Clause prohibits Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein, from endorsement(s) of a particular religion or religious belief; except for American Civil Religion.

2062. Plaintiff avers [IRS] is Defendants' endorsement to advance a religion.

2063. Plaintiff avers [The Policy] is Defendants' IRS endorsement to advance a religion.

2064. Plaintiff avers [The Program] is Defendants' IRS endorsement to advance a religion.

2065. Plaintiff avers [CRITERION] is Defendants' IRS endorsement to advance a religion.

2066. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident of Defendants' endorsements and manifestations of religious beliefs, practices and convictions as described herein.

(F). Establishment Clause requires Governmental Neutrality

2067. The Establishment Clause mandates governmental neutrality between religion and religion, and between religion and non-religion.

2068. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants convey[s] or attempt[s] to convey a message that religion or a particular religious belief is favored or preferred is not within the meaning of governmental neutrality with a religion.

2069. Congress' power to lay and collect taxes on incomes is a non-religion event.

2070. Plaintiff avers Congress usurping power to lay and collect taxes on incomes has established a religion while endorsing religious beliefs and other activities set forth herein.

2071. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident government neutrality between religion and religion, and between religion and non-religion is nonexistent in this case or of its controversies.

2072. Plaintiff avers Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; is evident of religious conversion of taxpayers into taxprayers and is not governmental neutrality required by the Establishment Clause.

(F-1). Establishment of second-class citizenship status

2073. Defendants' activities herein has granted taxprayers first-class citizenship status for making a [proper return].

2074. Defendants' activities between taxpayers and taxprayers is not a neutrality-based status when existing as the non-religious IRS' taxpayer and the religious IRS' taxprayers.

2075. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident government neutrality between religion and religion, and between religion and non-religion is nonexistent when Plaintiff is given second-class citizenship as a taxpayer with taxprayers given first-class citizenship.

2076. Plaintiff avers his second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer will not change unless he becomes a taxpayer of Defendants religion, Taxology.

2077. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer with taxprayers given first-class citizenship is the political religion of the Defendants' IRS.

2078. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its legal status cannot exist as a lawful contrast of second-class citizenship and first-class citizenship in America.

2079. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status is a birth right when born in America.

2080. Plaintiff avers he was born in America with the God giving right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness as a U.S. citizen with first-class citizenship status.

2081. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status established second-class citizenship and first-class citizenship status.

2082. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status creates class discrimination and endorses a complacent policy of indifference to evil.

2083. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship or its status exist as a dichotomy of second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer and first-class citizenship status as a taxprayer.

2084. Plaintiff avers Defendants religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to the choices of taxprayers given first-class citizenship status by the Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2085. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged supra; it is evident Defendants has created Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to the guarantee that no person shall be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law.

2086. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged supra; it is evident Defendants establishment of second-class citizenship status violates the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

2087. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer obvious to Plaintiff when he refused to take the side of taxprayers given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2088. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer obvious to other citizens when Plaintiff refused to take the side of taxprayers given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2089. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer obvious to Defendants' IRS when Plaintiff refused to take the side of taxprayers given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2090. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer an "injury in fact" when Plaintiff refused to take the side of taxprayers given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2091. Plaintiff avers Defendants religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer self-evident of First Amendment Establishment Clause violation when taxprayers are given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2092. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer self-evident of First Amendment free exercise violations when taxprayers are given first-class citizenship status by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2093. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to the choices of taxprayers given first-class citizenship status when believing in and the practice of [THE CODE].

2094. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to the choices of taxpayers given first-class citizenship status when believing in and the practice of Defendants' [Creed].

2095. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer constitutional right meaningless to the choices of taxpayers given first-class citizenship status believing in [Worthship].

2096. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer right of belief meaningless to the choices of taxpayers given first-class citizenship status believing in [Worthship].

2097. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to the choices of taxpayers given first-class citizenship status seeking IRS refunds in income taxes collected.

2098. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to the beliefs of taxpayers given first-class citizenship status making a [proper return].

2099. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to the practices of taxpayers given first-class citizenship status making a return to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices.

2100. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer subordinate to Defendants' beliefs that taxpayers shall be given first-class citizenship status by IRS.

2101. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of taxpayers superior to Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer.

2102. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of taxpayers superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of taxpayers religious beliefs in [Creed].

2103. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of taxpayers superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of taxpayers religious beliefs in [Taxology].

2104. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of taxpayers superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of taxpayers religious beliefs in [Taxism].

2105. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of taxpayers superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of taxpayers beliefs in [Worthship].

2106. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of taxpayers superior to Plaintiff's refusal to take the side of taxpayers religious beliefs in [The Policy].

2107. Plaintiff avers his second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer is class discrimination within Defendants' religion.

2108. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayers is subordinate to the choices of taxpayers given first-class citizenship.

2109. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayers is subordinate to the choices of taxpayers seeking disaster relief from the IRS.

2110. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayers are compelled to pay a higher tax rate compare with taxpayers who make a [proper return].

2111. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayers makes it almost impossible to acquire business insurance without [Form 1040].

2112. Plaintiff avers Defendants' religion makes first-class citizenship status of taxpayers superior to Plaintiff's second-class citizenship status as a taxpayer when refinance your home mortgage through H.A.R.P. or in obtaining a mortgage loan from Freddie Mac.

2113. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, or its legal status averts people from becoming a property or a personal possession.

2114. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status creates the surreal status of a person (a human being) to declare oneself as a property worth a dollar amount that non-itemizers may subtract from their income and is based upon filing status.

2115. Plaintiff avers currently under [THE CODE] U.S. citizenship and its filing status creates a taxpayer's spouse and/or any children declared as dependents; contriving a certain worth and as a possession thereby a deduction from income taxes.

2116. Plaintiff avers a citizen's taxing status or citizenship should not be contriving as to treat personality as a form of a property or to transform any person into a possession.

2117. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship and its status with the Defendants should not be based on religious status of "any person" or class citizenship status endorsed by Defendants' law, conduct and activities alleged herein.

2118. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its status is a First Amendment free exercise clause right guaranteed by U.S. Supreme Court doctrines of protected conduct with the right of association.

2119. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its status is a First Amendment free exercise clause right when U.S. citizen are in compliance with U.S. Supreme Court doctrines of [CLP].

2120. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its status is a First Amendment's privilege of legal status of nationality, social responsibility, social conscience or public spirit, and not as the status as a customer or any other IRS status of the Defendants.

2121. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its status is a First Amendment's privilege of paying taxes in accordance to the U.S. Constitution or State Constitution.

2122. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Plaintiff privilege of paying taxes in accordance to the U.S. Constitution is impossible or an infringement thereof.

2123. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its legal status offers certain tangible or intangible benefits to its people.

2124. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its status are First Amendment privileges with the full protection of due process of law.

2125. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its status guarantees Plaintiff's claim that the free exercise of a First Amendment right or privilege of life, liberty, or property is protected by due process of law.

2126. Plaintiff avers U.S. citizenship, and its status guarantees a person's claim that the free exercise of a First Amendment right, privilege or immunity cannot be converted into a crime, offense, or code violation.

2127. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities have converted Plaintiff's free exercise of a First Amendment right, privilege or immunity into a crime, offense or code violation.

Section X – general allegations of facts, violations, offenses, or issues and matters of equity

2411. Plaintiff avers a Regulation is: A rule or order prescribed for the management of government by an executive authority relating to the action of those under its control and having the force and effect of law.

2412. Plaintiff avers Discipline is: Instruction, communicating of knowledge and training to observe and act in accordance with rules and orders.

2413. Plaintiff avers Rules are: Principles or guidelines prescribed for conduct or action.

2414. Plaintiff avers a Doctrine is: A rule, principle, theory, or tenet (position held) of law.

2415. Plaintiff avers a Principle is: (Where are you coming from?) A fundamental truth or doctrine, as of law; a comprehensive rule of doctrine which furnishes a basis or origin for others; a settled rule of action, procedure, or legal determination. A truth or proposition so clear that it cannot be proved or contradicted unless by a proposition which is still clearer.

2416. Plaintiff avers a Precept is: (Where are you now?) A rule imposing a standard of conduct or action.

2417. Plaintiff avers Purpose is: (Where are you going?) That which one sets before him to accomplish or attain; an end sought, intention, or aim; an object to be attained, plan, or project.

2418. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a place where someone or something is located or has been put.

2419. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a particular way in which someone or something is placed or arranged.

2420. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a situation or set of circumstances, especially one that affects one's power to act.

2421. Plaintiff avers Position can be: a person's particular point of view or attitude toward something.

2422. Defendants' activities herein with [THE CODE] is for the purpose of controlling human behavior, establishing core values as a precept, through IRS' beliefs and practices, while mandating one's voluntary compliance as a religious discipline.

2423. Plaintiff avers a burden can be something that is a duty, obligation, or responsibility.

2424. Plaintiff avers a burden can be a constitutional infringement or encumbrance of free exercise rights of the First Amendment.

2425. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law creates beliefs of taxation without representation in the Plaintiff.

2426. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or section of [THE CODE] are legislative inputs creating legislation outputs of religious thoughts and law respecting an establishment of religion.

2427. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or certain status in [THE CODE] defeats the Plaintiff's rights of association through investigations like the IRS's scrutiny of Tea Party groups.

2428. Plaintiff avers Defendants redesignation, redesignated and redesignating of law or of certain status in [THE CODE] make Plaintiff reluctant to associate or join with Tea Party groups in the future—the classic example of the “chilling of free speech” of which the First Amendment is supposed to prevent.

2429. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or of certain status in [THE CODE] has compelled the Plaintiff to become an “IRS Tax Protestor”.

2430. Plaintiff avers he has no desires to live his life, liberties or his pursuits of happiness existing as an “IRS Tax Protestor”.

2431. Plaintiff is exercising a limited right of protest, by his refusal to be indoctrinated by reading, or accepting the IRS’ teachings of information, lessons or opinions listed in IRS’ publications or instructions of THEIRS.

2432. Plaintiff avers it is impossible any person, the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated to understand or know the existing liabilities or any obligations of law with the Defendants alleged tax administration; without reading or the teachings of the information or opinions listed in IRS’ publications or instructions.

2433. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or of certain status in [THE CODE] compels the Plaintiff to join or associate with the Sons of Liberty of which he has no desires to become a member of or be driven by the Defendants’ IRS to become like the Sons of Liberty in 1765.

2434. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating in tax law or of certain status in [THE CODE] has made Plaintiff, and others similarly situated as Mad as HELL.

2435. Plaintiff avers he has no desires to live his life, liberties or his pursuits of happiness existing as a person or in the belief by declaring “I’m Mad as HELL and I’m not going to take this anymore”.

2436. Plaintiff avers his religious network understand citizens being rightful Mad as Hell about Defendants’ activities of taxation without representation or [To LIVE as EVIL].

2437. Plaintiff avers there is no salvation existing for any person or the Plaintiff being as Mad as HELL about Defendants’ activities of taxation without representation.

2438. Plaintiff being as Mad as HELL about Defendants’ taxation without representation defeats his religious beliefs and is an outright invasion of his religious liberties by the Defendants.

2439. Plaintiff avers there is salvation or redemption to fight evil in its many form or against an IRS system endorsing [To LIVE as EVIL].

2440. Plaintiff avers by Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants have made Plaintiff as Mad as HELL for equitable reasons set forth as herein.

2441. Plaintiff avers by Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged supra; it is evident Defendants have made Plaintiff fearful of losing his soul or losing his guaranteed religious free exercise freedoms.

2442. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating does not protect the fragile core values of a vulnerable citizenry from the overbearing or overreaching concerns for efficiency and efficacy practices in U.S. tax administration.

2443. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law trammels the First Amendment with burdens against overreaching government regulations or its practices.

2444. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or [THE CODE] burdens the Federal Court system with endless opinions or impossible decisions about what the law means or legal obligations are.

2445. Plaintiff avers he has additional physical evidence of the IRS establishing opinions and decisions about [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS]

2446. Plaintiff cannot present this evidence to the court because of the overbreadth or volume of filing over 100,000 of document in this case file, would only burden the Court and this Plaintiff further.

2447. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants have burden Plaintiff's First Amendment right to petition; because he cannot rightfully burden the court with over 100,000 documents as evidence of overbreadth in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2448. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, Defendants have allowed the IRS to establish legalized opinions, self-style legislative doctrines and Star Chamber decisions about [THE CODE] defeating the Doctrine of Separation of Powers.

2449. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident IRS opinions and decisions have the force and effect of law; defeating protections guaranteed by Article III of the U.S. Constitution.

2450. Plaintiff avers by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident IRS' burdens are religious activities in nature.

2451. The concepts of burdens and religious activities is well documented in the Holy Bible.

2452. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or section of [THE CODE] constructs burden on free speech, because Plaintiff would become speech-less to explain in a court of law or before a jury the "so called" legal activities of the Defendants.

2453. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law, section of law or [THE CODE] or legal status is more particularly described in Exhibit P-#8 thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2454. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law creates burden of loss, such as Plaintiff time and money spent to understand law that has no lawful meaning.

2455. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law constructs burden of persuasion or burden of proof, such as Plaintiff defending himself against the IRS or the Defendants in a court of law for criminal tax evasion.

2456. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law manifests burdens upon commerce or shifting of burden to the Plaintiff to file tax forms for the IRS.

2457. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating [THE CODE] has manifest a God of Gold.

2458. Plaintiff has no desires to live his life, liberties or his pursuits of happiness existing as a person worshiping or manifesting a God of Gold.

2459. Plaintiff avers [Worship] manifests a God of Gold.

2460. Plaintiff avers by redesignation; redesignated and redesignating law Defendants are playing the role of a god and not the role of good government.

2461. In Matthew 23:4 Jesus describes the heavy burdens the Pharisees laid upon the people "but they themselves are not willing to lift a finger to move them."

2462. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law imposes a substantial burden on Plaintiff's sincere religious belief of God's First Commandment, and Thou shall have no other gods before me.

2463. Plaintiff aver Defendants' [WHATEVER] is a God of Gold, as referred to in the Book of Revelation as "GOG".

2464. The visible hand of Congress in redesignation, redesignated and redesignating [THE CODE] or any sections of [CODE-1] and [CODE-2] and [CODE-3] burdens Plaintiff's mental facilities or freedom of thought.

2465. The visible hand of Congress in redesignation, redesignated and redesignating has created [Burdens] that judges or lawyers cannot understand.

2466. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating law or a section of law created a burden on Plaintiff's pursuit of happiness.

2467. Defendants' activities herein with "Redesignation" is unconstitutional activity.

2468. Defendants' activities herein with "Redesignated" is unconstitutional activity.

2469. Defendants' activities herein with "Redesignating" is unconstitutional activity.

2470. Defendants' redesignation, redesignated and redesignating tax law is manifested as government speech.

2471. Plaintiff avers Defendants have used redesignation, redesignated and redesignating of the [THE CODE] to advance an "official religion.

2472. The Defendants' activities described herein "to reflect the probable intent of Congress" is unconstitutional activity.

2473. Plaintiff avers "to reflect the probable intent of Congress" is for the advancement of law respecting an establishment of religion.

2474. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities have manifested certain "products" such as "Disability Related Products".

2475. Plaintiff avers these IRS' "products" have nothing to do with the powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

2476. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS' activities have manifested certain "services" such as "federally assisted program or any federally conducted program."

2477. Plaintiff avers these IRS' "services" have nothing to do with the powers to lay and collect taxes on incomes.

2478. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is a self-confessed purpose; not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2479. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is not a Regulation or Doctrine.

2480. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is an offense to Plaintiff's free exercise rights of [Protected Conduct].

2481. Plaintiff avers "The Taxpayer Advocate Service Is Your Voice at the IRS" is a ceremony and as a precept for an organized religion of the Defendants' IRS.

2482. The IRS has adopted a Taxpayer Bill of Rights of which includes 10 fundamental rights that every taxpayer has when dealing with the IRS.

2483. Taxpayer Bill of Rights is discipline as reveal at <https://www.irs.gov/Advocate/The-Taxpayer-Advocate-Service-Is-Your-Voice-at-the-IRS>

2484. Plaintiff avers "The Right to Be Informed" is a religious exercise or endeavor.

2485. Plaintiff avers "The Right to Be Informed" is a not legal right, rather a religious rite offered by the Defendants.

2486. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” establishes the Rites of Taxprayers which forms a Body of Rites.

2487. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is a hybrid product of religious decision making not of legislative decision making.

2488. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is a self-confessed purpose, not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2489. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is in support of or advances Defendants’ activities with Taxology.

2490. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” infringes on his free exercise right of religion and religious belief.

2491. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” infringes on his free exercise right of [conscience].

2492. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” infringes on his free exercise right of association.

2493. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is for taxpayers only.

2494. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Be Informed” is created for a body of believers.

2495. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Quality Service” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2496. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Quality Service” is not the same as tax or legal advice.

2497. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Pay No More than the Correct Amount of Tax” an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2498. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Pay No More than the Correct Amount of Tax” mandates that [Refunds] cannot exist or be authorized by Defendants.

2499. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Challenge the IRS’s Position and Be Heard” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2500. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Challenge the IRS’s Position” is a fraud or sham because an “IRS’ Position” is IRS employees particular point of view or attitude toward something.

2501. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Appeal an IRS Decision in an Independent Forum” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2502. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Appeal an IRS Decision in an Independent Forum” does not exist because Defendants Tax Court is not an Independent Forum.

2503. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Finality” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2504. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Finality” is a sham or fraudulent statement or practice when the Defendants’ IRS demands additional taxes and penalties for previous years filed or when accepted as paid in full by the IRS for previous years filed.

2505. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Privacy” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2506. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Privacy” is violated when a person is compelled by alleged laws and its enforcement for a person to declare private matters of one’s life, liberty or pursuits of happiness.

2507. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Privacy” does not exist because no person is free from interferences and annoyances from IRS’ demands for private papers and private thoughts.

2508. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Confidentiality” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2509. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Confidentiality” is encroached on or compelled by the use of a [Form 1040].

2510. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Retain Representation” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2511. Plaintiff avers “The Right to Retain Representation” is worthless because every person the Plaintiff has talk to have a difference of opinions or beliefs in [THE CODE].

2512. Plaintiff avers “The Right to a Fair and Just Tax System” as an avowed or alleged secular purpose is not sufficient to avoid conflict with the First Amendment.

2513. Plaintiff avers “The Right to a Fair and Just Tax System” is an illusion.

2514. Plaintiff avers the legal concept of a “Right” is defined in Black's Law Dictionary.

2515. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, a Enforceable Legal Right is: “The right that is recognized by the law and can be carried out by the law if necessary.” <http://thelawdictionary.org/enforceable-legal-right/>

2516. Plaintiff avers an Enforceable Legal Right is not a Taxpayer right.

2517. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, a Clear Legal Right is: "A right that is based on a matter of the law that has been determined by totally accepted facts." <http://thelawdictionary.org/clear-legal-right/>

2518. Plaintiff avers a Clear Legal Right is not a Taxpayer right.

2519. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, a Legal Right is: "The term given to a right or privilege that if challenged is supported in court." <http://thelawdictionary.org/legal-right/>

2520. Plaintiff avers a Legal Right is not a Taxpayer right.

2521. Plaintiff avers in Black's Law Dictionary Free Online Legal Dictionary 2nd Ed, The Right of Privacy is: "The right of a person to go his own way and live his own life that is free from interferences and annoyances." <http://thelawdictionary.org/right-of-privacy/>

2522. Plaintiff has a reasonable expectation of privacy within the confines of his home.

2523. Plaintiff avers any person becomes subject to the alleged jurisdiction of the Defendants' IRS when Defendants established Taxpayer's status as an invasion of person's privacy.

2524. Plaintiff avers he cannot find legal evidence Defendants have established taxing districts.

2525. Plaintiff avers the Defendants' IRS has become the "master" with Plaintiff legal status as a submissive or surreal servant of THEIRS.

2526. Plaintiff avers the master and servant relationship only arises when tasks are performed by the servant under the direction and control of the master and are subject to the master's knowledge and consent.

2527. Plaintiff avers a bizarre master and servant relationship exists with any person and the Defendants' IRS through law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

2528. Defendants have violated the U.S. Constitution and its Amendments by converting any person's into a servant of the IRS as alleged herein.

2529. Plaintiff avers a person's compulsory labor for the satisfaction of debts in the forms of a compulsory service is based on a servant's indebtedness to a master.

2530. Plaintiff avers a servant's indebtedness to a master is a Thirteen Amendment violation.

2531. Plaintiff avers he is being compelled to due tax assessment work for the Defendants' IRS as an indentured servant; enslaved by law respecting an establishment of religion.

2532. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS is making the Plaintiff and others similarly situated to do task, read, understand, and prepare documents as a surreal or enslaved servant of THEIRS.

2533. Plaintiff avers it is a mistake to speak of tax collection as a "service."

2534. Plaintiff avers the costs associated with tax collection, and taxes themselves, provide no particularized or real benefit to an individual, but rather a societal benefit not limited to those who happen to pay a tax.

2535. Plaintiff avers a service can be a system or organization that provides people with something that they need, e.g. public transportation or a utility.

2536. Plaintiff avers a service can be a body of people who carry out work for the public benefit within an organization run by local or national government.

2537. Plaintiff avers a service can be a religious ceremony usually involving specific forms for worship and prayer.

2538. A service can be prescribed form for a particular act of public worship or religious ceremony.

2539. Plaintiff avers a taxpayer participation in pay-as-you-go is a ceremony and a pre-paid service to establish a government benefit or privilege.

2540. Plaintiff avers "The Service" is based on a servant's indebtedness to a master.

2541. Defendants' IRS has declared themselves as "The Bureau" and "The Agency" and "The Service" however the U. S. Constitution is designed to protect people and its citizens from these types of shell games.

2542. The heart of the matter of what something is called, which goes to issues that involve our faith in the IRS, however what matters is what something is, not what it is called.

2543. Plaintiff's right to the free exercise of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof, as secured by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, grants Plaintiff the ability to proselytize a religion, such as Taxology.

2544. Plaintiff's right to the free exercise of religion, belief, of choice & of discussion or debate thereof, as secured by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, grants Plaintiff the ability to proselytize Defendants' religious convictions and its endeavors.

2545. The Free Exercise Clause condemns Defendants' religious law, conduct and activity

alleged supra; because it has forced Plaintiff to express his religious beliefs in Taxology or secular beliefs in [CLP].

2546. The Free Exercise Clause condemns Defendants' religious law, conduct and activity alleged supra; because it forced Plaintiff to bear the burden of discrimination while not being able to reap any of the benefits that are generally available to the public.

2547. A standing purpose of the First Amendment is to keep government from selectively relegating legal status or individual(s) who act on religious conviction to a lower class or status when public benefits are distributed.

2548. A vital purpose of the Free Exercise clause is to prohibit discrimination of religion or by targeting Plaintiff or any person because of their religious status.

2549. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants are targeting Plaintiff and others similarly situated because of their religious status or beliefs.

2550. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status is self-evident when Defendants IRS makes a person legal status existing as a "customer" status.

2551. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status as a taxpayers infringes on Plaintiff's life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

2552. Plaintiff avers second-class citizenship status of any person changes when transforming a person's constitutional status into a conditional status of THE-IRS.

2553. Plaintiff avers a person's filing status in a compulsory service, aka IRS is based on a servant's indebtedness to a master.

2554. Plaintiff avers an IRS taxpayer has a sacred status, being dutiful and devout or heartfelt.

2555. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS has officially declared the Plaintiff as an IRS' taxpayer.

2556. Defendants' policies and conduct prohibits the meaningful exercise of Plaintiff's religion and his religious in all jurisdictions of this Nation's Union.

2557. Plaintiff avers Defendants openly violated: 4 U.S.C. § 72, "Public offices; at seat of Government." This section specifies that no department of government will operate beyond borders of the District of Columbia without specific statutory authority. (July 30, 1947, ch. 389, 61 Stat. 643.).

2558. Plaintiff avers The Department of the Treasury under the authority of the Defendants has endorsed U.S. income tax administration outside the authority of 4 U.S.C. § 72.

2559. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS are compelling Plaintiff to become as taxpayer again.

2560. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS are indoctrinating and proselytizing him as a taxpayer.

2561. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS has caused him to profess a belief in a religion as described herein and a disbelief in the religions of his own personal choices.

2562. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS has officially declared the Plaintiff and his wife Sheila Hinds jointly as taxpayers subject to IRS' jurisdiction and penalties.

2563. Plaintiff avers his action with the IRS has made his wife subject to IRS penalties.

2564. Plaintiff avers Defendants, or the IRS placed the Plaintiff and his wife, Sheila Hinds within the jurisdiction of U.S. Tax Court in despite of their religious beliefs, practices and convictions.

2565. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS placed the Plaintiff and his wife, Sheila Hinds within the jurisdiction of U.S. Tax Court in despite of their secular beliefs, practices and convictions in [CLP].

2566. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS placed the Plaintiff and his wife, Sheila Hinds within the jurisdiction of U.S. Tax Court in despite of their beliefs, practices and convictions in the Establishment/Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

2567. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of a U.S. District Court operating under 28 U.S.C. and the rule of law.

2568. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the U.S. Courts of Appeals operating under 28 U.S.C. and the rule of law.

2569. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the United States Court of Federal Claims operating under 28 U.S.C. and the rule of law.

2570. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts the legal opinions or its policy decisions of The United States Supreme Court operating under Article III of the U.S. Constitution, its constitutional amendments, the rule of law, and its doctrines or court tests.

2571. Plaintiff avers U.S Tax Court headquarters is in the District of Columbia, where the safeguard of the 5th Amendment protects "due process of law".

2572. Plaintiff has recognized that discrimination is so unjustifiable as to be violative of due process when under the jurisdiction of U.S Tax Court.

2573. Plaintiff avers all United States Tax Court Decisions & Memorandum or Opinions since 11-22-1974 violate the safeguard of the 5th Amendment "due process of law," and is where these actions or acts of discrimination is so unjustifiable as to be violative of due process.

2574. Plaintiff avers all United States Tax Court Decisions & Memorandum or Opinions since 11-22-1974 are born of animus towards U.S. Citizens' rights of religious belief, protected speech, [conscience] or associational rights in general.

2575. Plaintiff avers he is not subject to United States Tax Court Decisions & Memorandum Opinions.

2576. Plaintiff avers as a matter of equity Plaintiff accepts no legal opinions or its policy decisions of The United States Tax Court because of his religious/secular beliefs described herein.

2577. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] advances Defendants' activities beyond the constitutional limitation to pay the debts of the United States.

2578. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] advances Defendants' activities beyond the constitutional limitation of providing for the common Defense of the United States.

2579. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] advances Defendants' activities beyond the constitutional limitation of providing for the general Welfare of the United States.

2580. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] establishes and supports corporate welfare.

2581. Corporate welfare is a term that analogizes corporate subsidies to welfare payments for the poor.

2582. Corporate welfare is the government's bestowal of tax refunds, tax breaks, or other special favorable tax credits or tax treatment on corporations or selected corporations.

2583. Plaintiff avers corporate welfare is not the same as the general welfare of the United States.

2584. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] encroachments are cloaked in the guise of some nonreligious public purpose.

2585. Congress set up the presidential election campaign fund checkoff which appears on US income tax return forms as an alternative way of funding Presidential elections.

2586. A person is asked on [Form 1040] "Do you want \$3 of your federal tax to go to the Presidential Election Campaign."

2587. As a U.S. taxpayer, a person is asked to make a political choice when filing out their [Form 1040].

2588. Before a person can exercise that political choice and one's free exercise of speech, that person must fill out and complete a [Form 1040].

2589. Plaintiff avers during each of the last five years, approximately 33 million taxpayers have checked the "yes" box.

2590. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] creates benefits granted or given by the Defendants.

2591. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities involving a political choice and one's free exercise of speech is limited or restricted to any person that believes in, accepts or makes a [proper return].

2592. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein as a Discipline.

2593. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein create a Principle.

2594. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein help establish his [LLP].

2595. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein creates confidence in his [LLP].

2596. Plaintiff used his faculties in choosing his [CLP] as set forth herein as Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2597. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his [LLP].

2598. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2599. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his own mental faculties.

2600. Plaintiff's [CLP] set forth herein is for the claim or defense of his free exercise rights under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2601. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities involving a political choice and one's free exercise of speech or association creates CONFIDENCE in established rights or political process.

2602. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities has denied him due process of law, the established rights of free speech and worst invaded his Spirit of 76' of the CONFIDENCE in his [CLP].

2603. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates his secular arguments of [THE CODE] inconsistencies described herein are to be reconciled by the magic of the word CONFIDENCE.

2604. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] religious inconsistencies cannot be reconciled by reason or the magic of the word we call CONFIDENCE.

2605. Plaintiff avers Time + Money = Burden + Labor = Hard Work.

2606. Plaintiff avers Time + Money = Burden is taxing Plaintiff's First Amendment freedoms.

2607. Plaintiff avers Time + Money = Burden is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2608. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2609. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2610. Plaintiff avers the IRS is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2611. Plaintiff avers [Burdens] is taxing Plaintiff's faculties.

2612. Plaintiff avers [religious gerrymanders] taxes Plaintiff's faculties.

2613. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] serves, in part as taxes on knowledge.

2614. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and in connection with Defendants' activities herein, is governmental invasions "of the sanctity of a man's home and the privacies of life".

2615. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities herein is governmental invasions of the sanctity of a Plaintiff's home and the privacies of his life, his liberties and his pursuits of happiness.

2616. [THE CODE] provided preferred activities based on personalized service manifested by the IRS.

2617. [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] more particularly described in Exhibit Q- #2 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein; has become the objects of sacrifice through [The Policy], or IRS' opinions, rules, rulings, publications or core values.

2618. [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] have become the objects of sacrifice through the legal opinions or the policy decisions of the U.S. Tax Court; while operating under Internal Revenue Code, its rules or its system of conditional beliefs versus constitutional values.

2619. [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] have become the objects of sacrifice through the legal opinions or policy decisions of Defendants' law in [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] of THEIRS or in the religious conduct and activity alleged herein.

2620. Plaintiff [conscience] dictates the surreal mix of facts and purposes of [THE CODE] is to penalize frank differences of opinions or innocent errors made despite the free exercise of reasonable care.

2621. Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions have the force and effect of law.

2622. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the U.S. Tax Court while operating under Internal Revenue Code and its own rules.

2623. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the IRS operating under the Internal Revenue Code and its own rules.

2624. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of Internal Revenue Service operating under the Internal Revenue Code and its own rules.

2625. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of The United States Department of Treasury operating under the Internal Revenue Code and its own rules involving the IRS.

2626. As a matter of equity Defendants' activities herein have overwhelm legal presumptions and injects religious content in what is supposed to be secular instruction.

2627. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept the legal opinions or its policy decisions of the IRS because he is fearful of being wrong and wagering his spirit on it.

2628. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [THE CODE] because it is law respecting an establishment of religion endorsing a matrix of religious dealings.

2629. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Burdens] as set forth in this [OVC].

2630. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have defined and designed Plaintiff's mental faculties.

2631. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from being constitutional obedient with his personal constitution, such as being compelled to profess a religion.

2632. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from his pursuits of happiness.

2633. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from being constitutional compliant with the U.S. Constitution as set forth herein.

2634. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have driven the Plaintiff's from being constitutional compliant with Frist Amendment freedoms as set forth herein.

2635. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have devalued and degraded Plaintiff's faculties.

2636. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have deprived Plaintiff's faculties protected under the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

2637. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake of being of defined, designed, driven, devalued, or degraded by [THE CODE].

2638. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake of being of defined, designed, driven, devalued, or degraded by IRS.

2639. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in losing his spirit.

2640. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to penalties and interests over matters of opinions or belief.

2641. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6702. – Frivolous Tax Submissions.

2642. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6721. Failure to file correct information returns

2643. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6651. - Failure to file tax return or to pay tax.

2644. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §6672.- Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax, or Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.

2645. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC § 6331. – Levy and Distraint.

2646. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7215. Offenses with Respect to Collected Taxes.

2647. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7212. – Attempts to Interfere with Administration of Internal Revenue Laws.

2648. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7206. – Fraud and False Statements.

2649. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7203. – Willful Failure to File Return, Supply Information, or Pay Tax.

2650. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7202. – Willful Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax.

2651. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in suffering or be subject to civil or criminal charges of IRC §7201. – Attempt to Evade or Defeat Tax.

2652. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in the Plaintiff being distance from his God establishing in the Plaintiff a spiritual death.

2653. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in the fear that Plaintiff will be destroyed by law respecting an establishment of religion in a matrix of religious dealings.

2654. Plaintiff [believes] Defendants IRS Path of life, beliefs and practices leads to a spiritual death.

2655. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' IRS legal opinions or its policy decisions because IRS' [Burdens] have created a personal stake in the fear of Plaintiff's losing his freedom mind.

2656. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Taxology] for reasons as set forth herein.

2657. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Intellectual Tithing] for reasons as set forth herein.

2658. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [IRS] for reasons as set forth herein.

2659. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Refunds] for reasons as set forth herein.

2660. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Exemptions] for reasons as set forth herein.

2661. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Tax Credits] for reasons as set forth herein.

2662. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Tax Deductions] and [MAGI] for reasons as set forth herein.

2663. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Enumerations] for reasons as set forth herein.

2664. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [Prior Restraint] for reasons as set forth herein.

2665. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation for reasons as set forth herein.

2666. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving an [IRS House of Worship] for reasons as set forth herein.

2667. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving an [Government Speech] for reasons as set forth herein.

2668. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [religious gerrymanders] for reasons as set forth herein.

2669. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [Govspel] for reasons as set forth herein.

2670. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving Defendants' [THE WORDS] for reasons as set forth herein.

2671. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Form 1040] for reasons as set forth herein.

2672. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving [Temple Taxes] for reasons as set forth herein.

2673. As a matter of equity Plaintiff refuses to accept Defendants' legal opinions or its policy decisions involving taxes on knowledge for reasons as set forth herein.

2674. Plaintiff avers his free exercise right to petition, evoke or declare [Mankind's Supreme Possessions] is infringed on or inhibited by [Prior Restraint] for reasons as set forth herein.

2675. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure a devout practice by taxing a person's core values into a total submission in a [Form 1040].

2676. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure a moving or religious experience by taxing the human spirit to death.

2677. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure a symbolic act of atonement when making a return to an IRS path of life, beliefs and practice.

2678. Plaintiff avers a statutory or codified system of taxation by confession; with every rebate from a tax when conditioned upon conduct is in some measure an act of redemption; by dealing with the IRS for the return of income taxes collected.

2679. Plaintiff avers "The taxpayer - that's someone who works for the federal government but doesn't have to take the civil service examination." President Ronald Reagan.

2680. Plaintiff avers Defendants have failed to maintain a uniform tax system free from the myriad of exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments or abatements.

2681. Plaintiff avers the act or ritual in making a [proper return] is a religious practice and constitutes a prayer and confession to the IRS.

2682. Defendants have established an Organized Religion of THEIRS.

2683. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish Organized Religion.

2684. Defendants have established [Refunds].

2685. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Refunds].

2686. Defendants have established [Exemptions].

2687. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Exemptions].

2688. Defendants have established [Tax Credits].

2689. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Tax Credits].

2690. Defendants have established [Tax Deductions].

2691. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Tax Deductions].

2692. Defendants have established [Enumerations].

2693. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Enumerations] with income taxation.

2694. Defendants have established [Form 1040].

2695. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Form 1040] with regards to census and enumeration.

2696. Defendants have established [Abatements].

2697. Congress was not granted the power in the U.S. Constitution to establish [Abatements].

2698. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax Refunds is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2699. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax Exemptions is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2700. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax exclusions is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2701. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax credits is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2702. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax deductions is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2703. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived to provide relief or belief in tax adjustments is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2704. The power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived, to provide relief or belief in tax abatements is NOT of a federal tax purpose.

2705. Plaintiff avers the IRS has and continues to define, design, driven, devalued, degraded, and deprived Plaintiff of his free exercise rights to make Defendants IRS beliefs, practices, and convictions as his own.

2706. Plaintiff avers his core constitutional values versus the conditional core values of the IRS are in direct conflict.

2707. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS conditional core values are best witnessed or seen as [CRITERION].

2708. Plaintiff avers as a United Constitutional Christians are persons who believe in the United States Constitution and its Amendments, and respected State Constitution(s) of the United States of America, with their true faith in Jesus Christ (GOD).

2709. Plaintiff avers as a United Constitutional Christians he must follow and obey the Constitution(s) of the Nation and THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE and THE LAWS OF CAUSE AND CONSEQUENCE.

2710. Plaintiff avers United Constitutional Christians can be of any religious or secular faith.

2711. Plaintiff avers United Constitutional Christians can be of other religions described in this [OVC] or as a body of believers that believe in freedom of religion, of religious belief, of choice & of discussion or debate of such matters while sharing and showing the practice of "You Shall Love Thy Neighbor as Thyself".

2712. Plaintiff avers the practice of his faith is set forth in THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE.

2713. Plaintiff avers the practice of his faith is set forth in THE LAWS OF CAUSE AND CONSEQUENCE.

2714. Plaintiff avers the practices of his faith are set forth in Controlling Legal Principles in this [OVC].

2715. Plaintiff avers THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE is based on reason from The Founding Father of One Nation under God.

2716. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS and its activities violated THE LAWS OF PRINCIPLE AND PRACTICE.

2717. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS and its activities violated THE LAWS OF CAUSE AND CONSEQUENCE.

2718. Plaintiff avers Defendants' IRS and its activities violated Controlling Legal Principles of the Plaintiff as set forth herein.

2719. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit L- #33, [CRITERION] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein

2720. Plaintiff avers the environments of his family and friends, members or customers are overly or adversely affected by [CRITERION].

2721. When the Defendants open, advance or support a forum for private speech, it must treat viewpoints equally, and are strictly forbidden from favoring religious expression over non-religious speech.

2722. Defendants' IRS have opened and advanced a forum in which religious speech is allowed, however Plaintiff's [Protected Speech] is prohibited and declared frivolous by the IRS.

2723. Defendants' IRS forums advances unconstitutional viewpoint discrimination.

2724. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] advanced by [Refunds] are government's displays of power with religious significance.

2725. Even a temporary deprivation of First Amendment freedom of expression rights is generally sufficient to establish irreparable harm.

2726. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] provide information about the identity of the "speaker."

2727. Plaintiff avers [U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040] per se [Form 1040] is a forum that encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker.

2728. Plaintiff avers U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 is a forum created by the Defendants and used by the Plaintiff.

2729. Plaintiff avers U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040 is protected speech.

2730. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is protected speech.

2731. Plaintiff avers the solicitation of charitable contributions or of [Refunds] is protected speech.

2732. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to each and every IRS communication is symbolic speech of the 'sounds of silence' existing as nonverbal expression.

2733. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to each and every IRS communication is unwelcome speech of the Plaintiff.

2734. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to each and every IRS communication is religious speech of the Plaintiff.

2735. Plaintiff avers his refusal to answer or respond to IRS communications subject him to civil or criminal penalties.

2736. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is essentially symbolic speech or speech plus.

2737. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is a means of conveying an idea through behavior.

2738. Pure speech means the communication words or conduct that is limited or necessary to convey the idea.

2739. Pure speech is distinguished from symbolic speech which means conveying an idea through behavior.

2740. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is essentially pure speech.

2741. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of pure speech.

2742. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is essentially persuasive speech.

2743. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of persuasive speech.

2744. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is religious or proselytizing speech.

2745. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of religious or proselytizing speech.

2746. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is predictive speech.

2747. Plaintiff avers private speech can be of secular or of religious speech.

2748. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] begins as private speech.

2749. Plaintiff avers communication by [Form 1040] is of private speech.

2750. Plaintiff avers a person seeking [Refunds] is of private speech.

2751. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] private speech is subject to content based restrictions by the Defendants.

2752. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] private speech is transformed by Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged herein.

2753. The private speech in [Form 1040] expresses information and is reflections of protected speech and First Amendment freedoms.

2754. The private speech in [Form 1040] expresses communications, self-guidance, and self-regulation of behavior; Plaintiff merely seeks equal access to this forum to express his nonreligious viewpoint.

2755. Plaintiff avers his private speech with IRS demands in the use of a [Form 1040] infringes on, limits or encroached on Plaintiff's free exercise rights of the First Amendment.

2756. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as a covenant violates the First Amendment clause.

2757. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] as a petition violates the First Amendment clause.

2758. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] reveals a person or the Plaintiff's viewpoint with restrictions on protected speech which violates the First Amendment clause.

2759. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is a hybrid forum of government speech which violates the First Amendment clause.

2760. [Form 1040] speech and practice exists as defining access sought by the speaker.

2761. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] restricted speech and the practices of the Plaintiff which violates the First Amendment clause.

2762. Defendants have imposed and are imposing a content and viewpoint based restriction on Plaintiff's [Protected Speech] as described herein.

2763. Plaintiff's beliefs in [CLP] have been reduced to a level that IRS publications have more value than [CLP].

2764. Plaintiff has a free exercise right, privilege or immunities to exist as 'I am'.

2765. Plaintiff avers a free exercise right to exist as 'I am' establishes a relationship closer to GOD (Jesus Christ) than to government or government speech.

2766. Plaintiff has little hope in liberty itself, because he is compelled by the IRS to assent [To LIVE as EVIL] and against his God, Jesus Christ.

2767. Plaintiff's personal religion is perhaps the most quintessential matter in his liberty or his intimacy of thought with his God, Jesus Christ.

2768. Defendants' activities herein have invaded his intimacy of thought with his God, Jesus Christ.

2769. Plaintiff has free exercise rights, privileges or immunities to be the architect of his [LLP].

2770. Defendants' forum is the use of [Form 1040] imposing a content and viewpoint based restriction on Plaintiff's [Protected Speech] for the numerous reason and actions herein.

2771. Plaintiff avers the Defendants have control and power over the IRS.

2772. Defendants' IRS has admitted that there is no guarantee that the Plaintiff will not be prosecuted in the future for alleged violations of [THE CODE].

2773. Plaintiff avers he been denied specific benefits that he sought from the IRS, such as tax exempt status for Second Opinion HVAC because of religious beliefs described herein.

2774. The Plaintiff and Mark Van Der Leest share the same religious beliefs about Taxology.

2775. Plaintiff avers he has suffered an ongoing injury to his ability to carry out his religious message and mission, because of the unlawful treatment of Mark Van Der Leest by the IRS.

2776. Plaintiff's religion and the secular law prohibits Plaintiff from bearing any forms of false witness, either to himself or to others regarding matters concerning his sworn oaths to God or this Nation.

2777. Plaintiffs aver he has a constitutional right not to read any publications and instructions of the IRS concerning what the IRS thinks the law means.

2778. Plaintiffs aver Defendants' IRS publications and instructions are opinions, not law.

2779. Plaintiffs aver he has a legal duty to read, understand and obey the law.

2780. Plaintiffs is better able to exercise his religious beliefs and practices with the assistance of tax-exempt status, like other religions have been granted special IRS guarantees.

2781. Plaintiff avers the IRS requires Plaintiff to read and sign IRS' documents regarding his religious status in America.

2782. The IRS demands that he would have to become a customer of THEIRS to be granted any special tax status with the Defendants.

2783. The Department of the Treasury and Internal Revenue Service has declared Plaintiff is subject to opinions of the Defendants IRS, when provided tax exempt status as a taxpayer.

2784. Plaintiff's pursuit of happiness are burdened by [THE WORDS] & [THE CODE].

2785. Plaintiff avers Defendants' [Peter-to-Paul Mandates] has established [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2786. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] conveys the sense of promoting someone else's message.

2787. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] are a myriad of messages advanced by the IRS and are endorsed by Defendants' activities described herein.

2788. [THE WORDS] constantly maintains an atmosphere dedicated to the advancement of religious belief.

2789. [THE WORDS] are legislative delegation in its most obnoxious form, and clearly violate Plaintiff free exercise of [Protected Conduct] and right of [conscience].

2790. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [THE CODE] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2791. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Burdens] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2792. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Defendants' actions in [The Govspel] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2793. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Body of Rites] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2794. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Peter to Paul Mandates] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2795. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Purpose-Driven Life] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2796. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in [Theologies] advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2797. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Belief-O-Matic – IRS Written Determinations advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2798. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Belief-O-Matic – Private Letter Rulings advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2799. Plaintiff avers Defendants' actions in Belief-O-Matic – Cross References as beliefs rooted in religion advances [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2800. [THE WORDS], in part, is an instrument for advocating public adherence to an ideological point of view Plaintiff finds unacceptable and offensive.

2801. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] invades the sphere of intellect and spirit of the Plaintiff.

2802. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] prescribe what shall be orthodox in politics, nationalism, religion, or other matters of opinion.

2803. [THE WORDS] either apparently or actually asserting as true an IRS message to be taken on faith.

2804. Plaintiff avers he cannot know whether a tax liability exist unless he read and understands each and every word used in the [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2805. Plaintiff's avers he cannot proper determine any liability or obligation under [CODE-3] without reading [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2806. [THE WORDS] consists of a conglomerate of regulations, guidelines, indirect statutory authority, penalties and subjective opinions of IRS' personnel.

2807. [THE WORDS] support family values while suppressing Plaintiff's values.

2808. [THE WORDS] is a supplication of thought, teamwork, loyalty, and devotion by other associations provides as a foundation for their lessons.

2809. [THE WORDS] is the power in IRS' government speech which endorses a religious practice in [Worthship].

2810. [THE WORDS] intrudes into, participates in, or supervises religious affairs.

2811. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] exists as compulsory education, for religious endeavors of the Defendants IRS.

2812. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] exists as the common law of Defendants IRS.

2813. [THE WORDS] require Plaintiff to, read, believe in other people opinions or do anything the IRS demands by threat of penalty.

2814. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] is impermissible prior restraint on free speech.

2815. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] constitute prior restraints by preventing free speech before it occurs and by obtaining IRS permission before that speech can be repeated.

2816. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] primarily aim is forbidding free speech or regulating the content of speech or expressive activities of the Plaintiff, or others similarly situated.

2817. [THE WORDS] provides a system of beliefs and practices by means of which a group of people struggles with ultimate problems of human life.

2818. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] create moods and motivations that seem uniquely realistic.

2819. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] creates a captive audience known as “taxpayers” and “taxprayers”.

2820. Plaintiff avers [THE WORDS] has created a collection of beliefs, symbols, and rituals with respect to sacred things, place or space.

2821. By Defendants’ conduct and activities alleged herein, [THE WORDS] is government speech.

2822. By Defendants’ conduct and activities alleged herein, [THE WORDS] is advanced by [To LIVE as EVIL].

2823. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] and [THE WORDS] creates an adherence to a religion relevant in any way to a person's standing in the political community.

2824. Plaintiff’s free exercise in First Amendment freedoms is a legally protected interest.

2825. Plaintiff has professed his sincerely held religious beliefs about religion and his beliefs to the IRS.

2826. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities herein caused him to profess a belief in particular religious, political or ideological messages of the IRS.

2827. Plaintiff has stated his germane secular beliefs about the U.S. Constitution in this [OVC].

2828. Plaintiff avers Defendants conduct have sanctioned compelled speech the in context of governmental compulsion to disseminate a particular religious, political or ideological message.

2829. Plaintiff avers he made a religious decision not to make a [proper return].

2830. Plaintiff avers he made a secular and religious decision not to seek [Refunds].

2831. Plaintiff, and others similarly situated is directly stigmatized by not making a [proper return] and makes Plaintiff feel like second-class citizens of the political community.

2832. Plaintiff avers Mark Van Der Leest a religious decision not to make a [proper return].

2833. Plaintiff avers Mark Van Der Leest was investigated and assessed by Defendants' IRS for his religious decision not to make a [proper return].

2834. Plaintiff avers there is a reasonable expectation that Plaintiff will be subjected to the same type of actions that Mark Van Der Leest has been subjugated to.

2835. Plaintiff has professed his sincerely held religious beliefs in this [OVC] and through his attached exhibits.

2836. Defendants IRS has failed or refused to accommodate Plaintiff's sincerely held religious beliefs.

2837. Plaintiff does not particularly like organized religion as there has been a lot of hypocrisy or double standards with it.

2838. Plaintiff has a free exercise right to exist as 'I am' and not as any person defined, designed, driven, devalued, degraded, deprived or waiting to be destroyed by Taxology.

2839. Plaintiff has professed he has the right to exist as 'I am' as described in this [OVC].

2840. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is not law made pursuant to the U.S Constitution or the 16th Amendment.

2841. Plaintiff's free exercise of depositing Plaintiff's incomes from Second Opinion HVAC in a Federal Reserve Bank meets or exceeds the standards in the [THE CODE].

2842. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS has refused Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability.

2843. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability as the less restricted means for the collection of income taxes is a legally protected interest of the Plaintiff.

2844. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability is the less restricted means for the collection of income taxes.

2845. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability as the less restricted means for the collection of income taxes would destroy Taxology control over the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated.

2846. Plaintiff' instructions concerning his timely payments of any income tax liability as the less restricted means for the collection of income taxes is a secular and religious precept of his religion.

2847. Plaintiff aver the right to exist as 'I am' is a precepts of his religion.

2848. Plaintiff aver the right to Love Thy Neighbor as Thyself is a precepts of his religion.

2849. Plaintiff aver when paying taxes Plaintiff is must render to Defendants IRS (a hybrid Caesar) the things that are the Defendants or an IRS Caesar's, and to God the things that are God's and is a precepts of his religion.

2850. Plaintiff aver he is not a possession of the IRS or an IRS' possession, asset, stakeholder, partner, or customer.

2851. By Defendants' law, conduct and activity alleged supra; it is evident Defendants have caused or required the Plaintiff choose between following the precepts of his religion and forfeiting benefits, on the one hand, and abandoning many of the precepts of his religion on the other hand.

2852. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [THE CODE] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2853. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Creed] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2854. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accep: [Purpose-Driven Life] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2855. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Law/As/Religion] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2856. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Burdens] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2857. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [R.efunds] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2858. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Moralistic] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2859. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS Path of Life] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2860. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Worship] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2861. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Doc-of-Exch] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2862. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [proper return] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2863. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Taxology] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2864. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Exemptions] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2865. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Religiosity] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2866. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Intellectual Tithing] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2867. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2868. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS Pilgrimage] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2869. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [thought crimes] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2870. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS House of Worship] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2871. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Tax Credits] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2872. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [THEIRS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2873. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [FAITH] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2874. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [WHATEVER] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2875. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Syntax Messiah] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2876. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Taxism] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2877. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Auditing] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2878. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Tax Deductions] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2879. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [MAGI] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2880. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [IRS Realm] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2881. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [TAS] as the [Church] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2882. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Theology Forum] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2883. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Government Speech] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2884. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [religious gerrymanders] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2885. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Govspel] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2886. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [THE WORDS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2887. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Enumerations] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2888. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Materialism] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2889. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Ministries] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2890. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Mega Church] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2891. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Taxing Trinity] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2892. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Confession] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2893. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Form 1040] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2894. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [To LIVE as EVIL] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2895. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Prior Restraint] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2896. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Dispensation] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2897. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Convention] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2898. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Emerging Church] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2899. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [ABC's of Faith] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2900. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2901. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Orthodoxy] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2902. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Temple Taxes] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2903. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [body of believers] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2904. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2905. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Servitude] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2906. Defendants' IRS are compelling the Plaintiff to profess, practice or accept [Legalism] as set forth herein existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2907. Defendants' IRS are compelling Plaintiff to profess, believe in and practice Defendants' beliefs, opinions, core values, path of life, and vision existing as an invasion of a legally protected interest.

2908. Plaintiff avers a genuine nexus occurs with tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements as dutiful.

2909. Defendants' IRS are compelling Plaintiff and others similarly situated to profess, believe in and practice “[tax refunds, exemptions, exclusions, credits, deductions, adjustments, and abatements]” per se as a Doctrine of Exchange (“[Doc-of-Exch]”).

2910. Plaintiff avers religious worship is the same as the religious [Worship].

2911. The word ‘Worship’ is derived from the Old English word ‘woerthship’.

2912. The worship of money, is a practice which touches upon religion.

2913. The worship of money has advanced or inhibited religion in its principal or primary effect.

2914. Defendants' activities described herein with [Worship] touch upon the matters of motivation, money and materialism.

2915. Defendants' activities described herein with [Worship] advances IRS' beliefs in them.

2916. Plaintiff avers IRS' symbolism of submission is a primitive but very effective way of communicating ideas.

2917. Plaintiff avers IRS' symbolism of submission is best witness in a taxpayer submitting there [Form 1040] each year.

2918. Plaintiff avers the symbolism of submission is important in many traditional or modern-day religions.

2919. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates IRS' symbolism of submission as seen in [Form 1040] violates First Amendment freedoms of [Protected Speech] and [Protected Conduct] of the Plaintiff.

2920. Plaintiff avers IRS' symbolism of submission advances [The Policy] of the Defendants' activities described herein.

2921. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] is censorship of Plaintiff's protected speech.

2922. Plaintiff avers [Form 1040] manifests violence in Plaintiff's words he lives by.

2923. Plaintiff' personal constitution dictates [Refunds] [Exemptions] [Tax Credits] [Tax Deductions] and its [MAGI] with [Enumerations] [Prior Restraint] or [Abatements] exist as a collective experience manifested as IRS' Indoctrination.

2924. Plaintiff [believes] and [conscience] dictates Defendants' Belief-O-Matic advances an official religion of THEIRS.

2925. Plaintiff [believes] that Defendants' Belief-O-Matic exist as IRS Written Determinations

2926. Plaintiff [believes] that Defendants' Belief-O-Matic exist as Private Letter Rulings.

2927. Plaintiff [believes] that Defendants' Belief-O-Matic exist as Cross References beliefs are rooted in religion.

2928. Plaintiff has a First Amendment Establishment rights not to be subject to Defendants' Indoctrination.

2929. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Creed].

2930. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Purpose-Driven Life].

2931. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Law/As/Religion].

2932. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Burdens].

2933. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Refunds].

2934. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Moralistic].

2935. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [IRS Path of Life].

2936. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Worthship].

2937. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Doc-of-Exch].

2938. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [proper return].

2939. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Taxology.

2940. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Exemptions].

2941. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Religiosity].

2942. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Intellectual Tithing].

2943. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through the [IRS].

2944. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [IRS Pilgrimage].

2945. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [thought crimes].

2946. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through an [IRS House of Worship].

2947. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Tax Credits].

2948. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through activities listed herein of [THEIRS].

2949. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [FAITH].

2950. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [WHATEVER].

2951. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Syntax Messiah].

2952. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Taxism].

2953. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Auditing].

2954. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Tax Deductions] and the [MAGI].

2955. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through activities of the [IRS Realm].

2956. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through the [TAS] as Defendants' [Church].

2957. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Government Speech] and its numerous forums or [Theology Forum].

2958. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [religious gerrymanders],

2959. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Govspel] and The Life Cycle Series of THEIRS – "Get Right With Your Taxes".

2960. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendant's [THE WORDS] of THEIRS.

2961. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Enumerations].

2962. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through activities of [Materialism]

2963. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Ministries] and [Mega Church].

2964. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Taxing Trinity].

2965. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Confession] as [Voluntary Compliance].

2966. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Form 1040].

2967. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers indorsing [To LIVE as EVIL] advanced by IRS dogmas.

2968. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Prior Restraint].

2969. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through activities listed herein of [Dispensation].

2970. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Defendants' [Convention] and [Emerging Church].

2971. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [ABC's of Faith] an [Orthodoxy of THEIRS].

2972. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through Orthodoxy and a [body of believers].

2973. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Temple Taxes].

2974. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers indorsing [Servitude] advanced by IRS dogmas.

2975. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through [Abatements]”) i.e., Salvation.

2976. Defendants' law, conduct and activities listed herein are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers through revealism.

2977. Syncretism is the amalgamation of different religions, cultures, or schools of thought.

2978. Syncretism effect is the combining of different beliefs, while blending practices of various schools of thought.

2979. “Religious syncretism exhibits the blending of two or more religious belief systems into a new system, or the incorporation into a religious tradition of beliefs from unrelated traditions.” <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syncretism>.

2980. Defendants’ IRS practices of religious syncretism is to attract or induce people to their deity system of [Worship].

2981. Plaintiff [believes] an IRS Crusade involves the conduct of conversion and activities listed herein that are indoctrinating, proselytizing or converting taxpayers into taxprayers.

2982. Plaintiff avers conversion is the changing of beliefs, values, attitudes and behaviors of individuals into different ideologies.

2983. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under the duress of penalties.

2984. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under an alleged debit owed.

2985. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under compulsion of self-interests.

2986. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion includes compelling a person to speak, commutate or act under the religious dogma of “Service + Enforcement = Compliance”.

2987. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion includes changing values and exchanging beliefs.

2988. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion involves confession and to leave behind the undesirable values decreed by the IRS.

2989. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion involves entrancements of refunds and tax credits to open the mind and limit rational reflection.

2990. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion involves timely engagements that draws a person in.

2991. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion involves exhaustion, so persons are less able to resist IRS’ persuasions.

2992. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion involves guilt or about the past information that a person cannot leave behind.

2993. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion involves a person associating desirability with a higher purpose such as charities, churches and defining what we mean to others.

2994. Plaintiff avers IRS’ conversion involves identity destruction as U.S citizen to make space for the new identity as a customer when dealing with the IRS.

2995. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves identity destruction to make a scared space for the new identity as a taxpayer protected under the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights.

2996. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves information control that blocks out dissuading thoughts.

2997. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves isolation by separating people from dissuasive or opposing messages.

2998. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves persistence with the IRS never giving up only to wearing you down and to make any person a believer of THEIRS.

2999. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion embraces special language that offers the allure of power and new meaning.

3000. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves thought-stopping practices blocking out distracting or dissuading thoughts.

3001. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves motivation as basic systems that get a person going and keep a person going on an IRS path of life, belief and practices.

3002. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves belief on what and how we believe, especially in law that has no legal effect but makes it convenient to believe in [THE CODE].

3003. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how any person make sense of the world and infers meaning into something of value.

3004. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves human emotions such as fear or love or the affect what we feel as emotion.

3005. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves memory, especially during auditing when memorizing and recall is a dutiful requirement.

3006. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how we pay attention to things around us.

3007. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves understanding ourselves and how we perceive ourselves.

3008. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how we make sense of other people.

3009. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves how we handle discomfort.

3010. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves forecasting or how we forecast what will happen.

3011. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves decision-making or how we make decisions.

3012. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves decision as errors or mistakes when we make decisions about [THE CODE].

3013. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves conforming or conforming to social rules.

3014. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves contrast behaviors or acting differently or in non-conforming ways of other taxpayers.

3015. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves helping others.

3016. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves persuasion or changing the minds of others.

3017. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves Trust or building trust of others.

3018. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves leadership.

3019. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves a large host of personal matters.

3020. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves lies or telling things that are not true.

3021. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion of usurping powers in [THE CODE] cultivates self-interest and behaviors, to able to achieve goals of the IRS such as control and beliefs.

3022. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves behavior or general behavioral responses.

3023. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion involves groups on how groups think and act.

3024. Plaintiff avers IRS' conversion cultivates intrinsic and expressive associations.

3025. Defendants have imprisoned persons for a debt concerning Federal income tax laws.

3026. Plaintiff avers [To LIVE as EVIL] is religiously inspired, and dedicated to teaching the dogma that inspired them.

3027. The filing status of "married" on a [Form 1040] is established as a government benefit or privilege.

3028. The filing status of "married" is a U.S. census question.

3029. The information of the count of people, children or other dependents on a [Form 1040] is established as a government benefit or privilege.

3030. The information of the count of people, children or other dependents is a U.S. census question.

3031. The filing status one's home address is on a [Form 1040].

3032. The filing status of one's home address is a U.S. census question.

3033. The filing status of a first and last name is on a [Form 1040].

3034. The filing status of a first and last name is a U.S. census question.

3035. The information on how one person is related to another person is on a [Form 1040].

3036. The information on how one person is related to another person is on

3037. Plaintiff avers Defendants are laying and collecting income taxes with regard to census information.

3038. Plaintiff avers the 16th Amendment has no enforcement clause.

3039. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to refund income taxes collected.

3040. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax exemptions.

3041. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax exclusions.

3042. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax credits.

3043. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax deductions.

3044. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax adjustments.

3045. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or create tax abatements.

3046. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize tax expenditures.

3047. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or enforce tax penalties.

3048. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize or collect interests on taxes due.

3049. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to authorize any person to seek a return of a tax.

3050. Defendants have been granted constitutional power to authorize the laying and collecting taxes on incomes from whatever source, not from whatever sources.

3051. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to sanction taxpayers to become taxpayers.

3052. Permanent monuments displayed on public property typically represents government speech.

3053. Defendants' monument at IRS Headquarters at the New Carrollton Federal Building, 5000 Ellin Rd., Lanham, MD 20706, on a federal property has two very large "Twin Pillars" each having a large "hand" set on top of these pillars with a pyramid position at the very entrance of the Federal building represents government speech.

3054. Plaintiff avers Defendants' monument of these pillars with hands more particularly described in Exhibit H- #7 attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein advances an Organized Religion of THEIRS.

3055. Plaintiff avers Defendants' monument of these pillars with hands (Exhibit H-#7) is a violation of the Establishment Clause.

3056. 31 U.S.C. is the designated U.S. Code section for Tax Regulations and Administration of the U.S. Treasury Department Operations.

3057. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected.

3058. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers.

3059. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits.

3060. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions.

3061. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to validate IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions from income tax.

3062. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040.

3063. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption plans or “Offer in Compromise”.

3064. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction the IRS as an agency or part of the Treasury Department.

3065. 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction Penalties & Interests with regard to tax administration.

3066. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein, violates 31 U.S. Code § 321 - General authority of the Secretary.

3067. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury is to advance an Organized Religion per se as Taxology.

3068. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury is to advance religious endeavors of [The Policy].

3069. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury is the designated U.S. Code section for “Powers and duties of Secretary”, as well as “Administration and enforcement of certain provisions by Attorney General”.

3070. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for IRS Refunds of Income Taxes Collected.

3071. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Federal tax exempted status issued by IRS or as declared by Taxpayers.

3072. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits.

3073. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] for Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions.

3074. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to validate IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates, or exclusions from income tax.

3075. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040.

3076. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction forgiveness found in Fresh Start relief & Redemption plans or “Offer in Compromise”.

3077. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction the IRS as an agency or part of the Treasury Department.

3078. 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury provides no administrative authority or [Legal Framework] to sanction Penalties & Interests with regard to tax administration.

3079. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein, violates 26 U.S. Code § 7801 - Authority of Department of the Treasury.

3080. Numerous taxpayers are exempt from paying federal income taxes.

3081. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 2, Clause 3 declares, in part: (“and excluding Indians not taxed,”).

3082. Defendants’ activities of offering and providing tax exempt status to taxpayers, who are not “Indians” is a means to an unconstitutional end.

3083. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 2, Clause 3 establishes the only persons who shall be exempt from all federal taxes.

3084. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #3 “REQUEST FOR YOUR TAX RETURN” “12-31-2004” dated 7/24/2006, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3085. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #3, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3086. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #7 “Request for Your Tax Return” “Dec. 31, 2008” dated 7/26/2010, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3087. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #7, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3088. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #8 “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2008” dated 9/20/2010, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3089. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #8, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3090. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #9 “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-2009” dated 9/19/2011, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3091. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #9, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3092. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #10 “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2010 Form 1040” dated 5/21/2012, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3093. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #10, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3094. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #11 “YOUR TAX RETURN IS OVERDUE” “12-31-20010” dated 7/9/2012, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3095. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #11, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3096. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #12 “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated 5/27/2013, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3097. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #12, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3098. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #13 “You must file your 2011 tax return” “2011 Form 1040” dated 7/15/2013, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3099. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #13, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3100. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #15 “Dear Taxpayer” Taxpayer number: 496-62-7855 dated 11/17/14, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3101. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #15, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3102. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #17 “You didn’t file a form 1040 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated 12/1/2014, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3103. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #17, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3104. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities in Exhibit L #18 “You must file your 2012 tax return” “2012 Form 1040” dated 2/9/2015, failed to display a valid OMB control number.

3105. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS information collection activities does not comply with [PRA] more particularly described in Exhibit L- #18, attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

3106. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein are violations of the [PRA].

3107. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities with violations of the [PRA] is to advance an Organized Religion of THEIRS per se Taxology.

3108. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities with violations of the [PRA] has burden Plaintiff’s the free exercise of a First Amendment freedoms.

3109. Plaintiff is a person of the community and to which [PRA] protection applies.

3110. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have burden Plaintiff’s practice of a First Amendment freedom of religion and religious belief.

3112. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein; it is evident Defendants have burden Plaintiff’s practice of a First Amendment freedom of protected speech.

3113. 26 U.S.C. § 7621 - Internal revenue districts, this code authorizes the President to establish internal revenue districts, declaring in part, “The President shall establish convenient internal revenue districts for the purpose of administering the internal revenue laws.”

3114. Plaintiff avers Defendants IRS has established IRS’ offices exercised outside District of Columbia not expressly provided by law.

3115. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein are in violation of 4 U.S.C. § 72 Public offices; at seat of Government.

3116. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein are in violation of [Privacy Act].

3117. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ activities described herein are in violation of [RFRA].

3118. Defendants authorizing [Exemptions] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 2, Clause 3 of the U.S. Constitution.

3119. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, declares: “All Bills for raising Revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives; but the Senate may propose or concur with Amendments as on other Bills.”

3120. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, establishes the only procedures for raising Revenue by the Defendants.

3121. Defendants have established numerous procedures raising Revenue by the Defendants.

3122. Defendants authorizing [Temple Taxes] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.

3123. Defendants sanctioning [Burdens] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.

3124. Defendants endorsing [Creed] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.

3125. Plaintiff avers redesignation, redesignated and redesignating [THE CODE] usurp the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 7, Clause 1, of the U.S. Constitution.

3126. Plaintiff avers Defendants are not laying and collecting taxes on incomes lawfully.

3127. Defendants’ activities of laying and collecting of penalties & interests from taxpayers, or any person is a means to an unconstitutional end

3128. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7 declares, in part: “No Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, but in Consequence of Appropriations made by Law”.

3129. The constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7 establishes the only methods how Money shall be drawn from the Treasury, being a Consequence of Appropriations made by Law.

3130. Defendants sanctioning tax expenditures is an indirect method that draws money from the Treasury.

3131. Defendants authorizing [Refunds] is not a Consequence of Appropriations made by Law.

3132. Defendants authorizing [Refunds] is money drawn from the Treasury.

3133. Defendants authorizing [Refunds] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7, of the U.S. Constitution.

3134. Defendants sanctioning [Form 1040] generates a legalized effect that not a Consequence of Appropriations made by Law.

3135. Defendants authorizing [Form 1040] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7, of the U.S. Constitution.

3136. Defendants authorizing [Doc-of-Exch] usurps the constitutional provision of Art. I, Sec. 9, Clause 7, of the U.S. Constitution.

3137. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures is an indirect method that draws money from the Treasury.

3138. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures is a means to an unconstitutional end.

3139. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures advances Taxology.

3140. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures advances religion or religious practices.

3141. Defendants’ activities of tax expenditures do not pay the debt of the United States.

3142. By Defendants’ law, conduct and activity alleged herein, it is evident Defendants have violated Article VI, Clause 2, Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution.

3143. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ IRS Dogmas of THEIRS is for the purpose of restraining the assessment or collection of an income tax.

3144. Plaintiff avers the ABC's of Salvation, Admit – Believe – Confess is an IRS dogma of knowing how to know, per se being of one substance, essence and nature of a usurping body of law.

3145. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is "Service + Enforcement = Compliance" per se as ("[IRS Dogma of THEIRS]").

3146. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is – F.E.A.R. = False Evidence Appearing Real.

3147. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is [To LIVE as EVIL] with Moral Hazards.

3148. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma concerns Star Trek for a Religion of Reality of THEIRS

3149. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is The Doctrine of Discrimination & Discernment.

3150. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma is "See" those Speaking in Tongues Sowing the [See]ds of Faith

3151. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma concerns Legal sanctions for Frivolous Tax Arguments.

3152. Plaintiff avers an IRS' dogma concerns "See" those Speaking in Tongues in the [See]ds of Faith.

3153. Plaintiff avers "[26 U.S. Code § 7806. Construction of title]" ("[§7806]") governs the entire breath of [THE CODE].

3154. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates no known legal duty.

3155. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates no known legal tax liabilities.

3156. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates law respecting an establishment of religion.

3157. Plaintiff avers [§7806] creates no legal effect in section(s) listed in the cross references of [THE CODE].

3158. Plaintiff avers [§7806] manifested overbreadth in [THE CODE].

3159. Plaintiff avers [§7806] manifested violations with the void for vagueness doctrine.

3160. [§7806] declares in part: "The cross references in this title to other portions of the title, or other provisions of law, where the word "see" is used, are made only for convenience, and shall be given no legal effect."

3161. [§7806] declares in part: No inference, implication, or presumption of legislative construction shall be drawn or made by reason of the location or grouping of any particular section or provision or portion of this title, nor shall any table of contents, table of cross references, or similar outline, analysis, or descriptive matter relating to the contents of this title be given any legal effect.

3162. [§7806] declares in part: The preceding sentence also applies to the sidenotes and ancillary tables contained in the various prints of this Act before its enactment into law.

3163. Plaintiff avers the Defendants have allowed [§7806] to establish heresy in [THE CODE].

3164. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] encompasses too much (overbroad).

3165. [THE CODE] fails to address essential aspects of a compelling governmental interest.

3166. [THE CODE] is not narrowly tailored to achieve a compelling government interest.

3167. [THE CODE] defeats the autonomy of self-reliance.

3168. [THE CODE] creates or inspires criminal behavior.

3169. [THE CODE] creates a feeling of absolute dependence.

3170. [THE CODE] touching matters of opinion and attitude.

3171. [THE CODE] makes non-believers become second-class citizens.

3172. [THE CODE] subject the middle class to class warfare.

3173. [THE CODE] converts taxpayers into taxprayers.

3174. [THE CODE] when practices as a precedent demeans the lives of Christians.

3175. [THE CODE] controls human destiny by making private conduct or personal beliefs a fate of one's faith.

3176. [THE CODE] creates stigmatic injury suffered as a direct result of having personally been denied equal treatment before the law.

3177. [THE CODE] creates a stigmatic injury when a person is seen as a tax dodger.

3178. [THE CODE] reinforces a national debit exceeding 19 trillion dollars currently.

3179. [THE CODE] has failed to establish a proper tax collection method of laying and collecting taxes on incomes, from whatever source sufficient to pay the nation's debit.

3180. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's unalienable rights of [LLP].

3181. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of religion and religious belief.

3182. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of protected speech and expression.

3183. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of conscience.

3184. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of association.

3185. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right of protest activities.

3186. [THE CODE] substantially burdens Plaintiff's First Amendment right to petition for grievances or seek relief in a court of law.

3187. [THE CODE] brings Plaintiff's conduct within First Amendment's protections.

3188. [THE CODE] effects Plaintiff ability to express his particular message or viewpoint.

3189. [THE CODE] forced Plaintiff to choose between surrendering First Amendment rights versus losing federal tax exempt status for Plaintiff's "Our Church of Greater Reality".

3190. [THE CODE] forced Plaintiff to choose between surrendering First Amendment rights and losing federal tax exempt status for himself under [Form 1040].

3191. [THE CODE] supports the suppression of Plaintiff's personal conduct and [Protected Conduct] by compelling Plaintiff to read the entire breath of [THE CODE] each year.

3192. [THE CODE] infringes on Plaintiff ability to be a speaker who has the autonomy to choose the content of his own message to the IRS.

3193. [THE CODE] provides a type of comprehensive or continuing government surveillance which creates excessive governmental entanglements between church and state.

3194. The expressive nature of the conduct regulated by [Refunds] brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3195. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced [Refunds].

3196. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] failed to address Plaintiff less restrictive way to achieve the compelling government interest of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3197. Plaintiff avers Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] are contrary to the public interest, public policy and public law as the breath of [THE CODE] cannot be memorized by any person creating [Burdens] on Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

3198. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not a compelling governmental interest.

3199. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] has no clear secular purpose.

3200. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not paying the Debts of the Unites States.

3201. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3202. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] has no predominantly secular effect.

3203. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] has established an excessive governmental entanglement with IRS' religious practices.

3204. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest of laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3205. Defendants' activities with [Refunds] is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3206. The expressive nature of the conduct regulated by tax exemptions laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3207. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced tax exemptions laws.

3208. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not a compelling governmental interest.

3209. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws has no clear secular purpose.

3210. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not paying the Debts of the Unites States.

3211. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3212. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws has no predominantly secular effect.

3213. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with IRS' religious practices.

3214. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3215. Defendants' activities with tax exemptions laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3216. The expressive nature of the conduct regulated by Tax Deductions laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3217. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced Tax Deductions laws.

3218. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not a compelling governmental interest.

3219. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws has no clear secular purpose.

3220. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not paying the Debts of the Unites States.

3221. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3222. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws has no predominantly secular effect.

3223. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

3224. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3225. Defendants' activities with Tax Deductions laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3226. The expressive nature of the conduct regulated by Tax Credits laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3227. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced Tax Credits laws.

3228. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not a compelling governmental interest.

3229. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws has no clear secular purpose.

3230. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not paying the Debts of the Unites States.

3231. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3232. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws has no predominantly secular effect.

3233. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

3234. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3235. Defendants' activities with Tax Credits laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3236. The expressive nature of the conduct regulated by Tax Abatements laws brings that conduct within the First Amendment's protection.

3237. Defendants' activities in [THE CODE] has advanced Tax Abatements laws.

3238. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not a compelling governmental interest.

3239. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws have no clear secular purpose.

3240. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not paying the Debts of the United States.

3241. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not providing for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States.

3242. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws has no predominantly secular effect.

3243. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws has established an excessive governmental entanglement with religion.

3244. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not a narrowly tailored means toward accomplishing the compelling governmental interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3245. Defendants' activities with Tax Abatements laws is not least restrictive means for achieving compelling government interest in laying and collecting taxes on incomes.

3246. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to create a penalty or penalties regarding income taxation.

3247. Plaintiff avers Defendants have been granted no constitutional power to create a taxation by contract.

3248. Plaintiff has a constitutional right or a Quintessential Rights of the First Amendment to rely on [CLP] as described herein.

3249. Plaintiff has a constitutional right to rely on a [CLP] of "Taxation is neither a penalty imposed on the taxpayer nor a liability which he assumes by contract." WELCH v. HENRY, 305 U.S. 134, 147 (1938).

3250. IRC § 6676 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3251. IRC § 6721 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3252. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(D) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3253. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3254. IRC § 6702(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3255. IRC § 6720B is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3256. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(B) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3257. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3258. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3259. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(D) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3260. IRC § 6693(a)(2)(E) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3261. IRC § 6693(b)(1) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3262. IRC § 6693(b)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3263. IRC § 6720C is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3264. IRC § 6685 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3265. IRC § 6676 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3266. IRC § 6684 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3267. IRC § 6711 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3268. IRC § 6689 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3269. IRC § 6693(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3270. IRC § 6653 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3271. IRC § 6674 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3272. IRC § 6709(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3273. IRC § 6709(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3274. IRC § 6709(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3275. IRC § 6695A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3276. IRC § 6697 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3277. IRC § 6652(j) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3278. IRC § 6652(k) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3279. IRC § 6652(c)(2)(C)(ii) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3280. IRC § 6652(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3281. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(B)(ii) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3282. IRC § 6652(c)(2)(B) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3283. IRC § 9707 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3284. IRC § 6038C is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3285. IRC § 6652(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3286. IRC § 6686 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3287. IRC § 6695A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3288. IRC § 6721(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3289. IRC § 6652(c)(3)(A) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3290. IRC § 6722 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3291. IRC § 6679 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3292. IRC § 6714 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3293. IRC § 6682 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3294. IRC § 6672 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3295. IRC § 6038(b)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3296. IRC § 6723 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3297. IRC § 6038 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3298. IRC § 6695 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3299. IRC § 6038A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3300. IRC § 6695(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3301. IRC § 6695(g) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3302. IRC § 6700 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3303. 6652(c)(3)(B)(ii) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3304. IRC § 6720 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3305. IRC § 6701 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3306. IRC § 6705 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3307. IRC § 6713 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3308. IRC § 6707 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3309. IRC § 6651(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3310. IRC § 6708 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3311. IRC § 6721(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3312. IRC § 6722(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3313. IRC § 6704(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3314. IRC § 6722(e) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3315. IRC § 6673(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3316. IRC § 6673(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3317. IRC § 6694(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3318. IRC § 6710 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3319. IRC § 6707A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3320. IRC § 6652(l) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3321. IRC § 6694(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3322. IRC § 6721(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3323. IRC § 6721(e)(2)(C) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3324. IRC § 6722(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3325. IRC § 6722(e) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3326. IRC § 6717(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3327. IRC § 6715 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3328. IRC § 6718 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3329. IRC § 6652(c)(2)(A) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3330. IRC § 6677 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3331. IRC § 6677(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3332. IRC § 6675 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3333. IRC § 527(j)(1) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3334. IRC § 6652(c)(1)(A) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3335. IRC § 6712 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3336. IRC § 6715A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3337. IRC § 6702(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3338. IRC § 6725 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3339. IRC § 6039F(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3340. IRC § 6688 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3341. IRC § 6719 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3342. IRC § 6039G is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3343. IRC § 6720A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3344. IRC § 6723 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3345. IRC § 6038B is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3346. IRC § 6706(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3347. IRC § 6706(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3348. IRC § 6039E is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3349. IRC § 6662 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3350. IRC § 6662A is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3351. IRC § 6662(j) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3352. IRC § 7519(f)(4) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3353. IRC § 6651(f) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3354. IRC § 6676 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3355. IRC § 6038D(d)(1) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3356. IRC § 6038A(d)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3357. IRC § 6677 is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3358. IRC 6679(a)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3359. IRC § 6038C is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power

3360. IRC § 6038D(d)(2) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3361. IRC § 6695(a) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3362. IRC § 6695(b) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3363. IRC § 6695(c) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3364. IRC § 6695(d) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3365. IRC § 6695(e) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3366. IRC § 6662(b)(6) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3367. IRC § 6662(i) is not a tax, but a penalty to coerce religious submission, and cannot be upheld as an expression of the taxing power.

3368. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Refunds] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of THEIRS.

3369. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Exemptions] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of THEIRS.

3370. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Tax Credits] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of THEIRS.

3371. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Tax Deductions] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of THEIRS.

3372. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Enumerations] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of THEIRS.

3373. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Form 1040] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of THEIRS.

3374. Defendants' legislative purpose of [Abatements] is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting an organized religion of THEIRS.

3375. Defendants' legislative purpose of tax expenditures is aimed at establishing, sponsoring, or supporting organized religion of THEIRS, offensive to Plaintiff and his [Q.U.E.S.T.].

3376. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax refunds and the establishment of an organized religion per se Taxology.

3377. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax exemptions and the establishment of an organized religion per se Taxology.

3378. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax exclusions and the establishment of an organized religion per se Taxology.

3379. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax credit and the establishment of an organized religion per se Taxology.

3380. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax deductions and the establishment of an organized religion per se Taxology.

3381. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax adjustments and the establishment of an organized religion per se Taxology.

3382. Plaintiff avers there is a genuine nexus between tax abatements and the establishment of an organized religion per se Taxology.

3383. Plaintiff avers under the First Amendment, a speaker has the autonomy to choose the content of his/her own message.

3384. A [Form 1040] infringes on Plaintiff ability to be a speaker who has the autonomy to choose the content of his own message to the IRS.

Section Q – Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2128. What is religion vs. what is not a religion is like looking at a glass of water that is either half full vs. existing as being half empty. Plaintiff [believes] [THE CODE] is moralistic and he should not be subject to its legal vacuum.

2129. It is realistically reasonable to say “religion” is a human activity that can be easily accepted only within the framework of reality that it creates for itself. No matter how we see religion it is a human creation.

2130. Plaintiff [believes] our own discernment, with the thoughts, words and actions of 2000 years ago created the living water that quenches the thirst of the human spirit vs. an unseen atmosphere of religious legalism that we can’t taste, “see” or hope to understand.

2131. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #1, THE IRS [Creed] of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2132. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #2, [Purpose-Driven Life]- The semblances of religion, inter alia is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2133. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #3, [THE CODE] is Law Respecting an Establishment of Religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2134. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #4, [Burdens] Unworldly Zeal or Religious Fervor of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of

its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2135. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #5, [Burdens] Collective Experience Mission of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2136. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #6, [Burdens] Collective Experience v. Our Independence is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2137. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #7, [Burdens] not hard to believe & Tax Code spans 70,000 pages is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2138. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #8, The OUTER LIMITS - Parallel Tables – A list of No CFR for Title 26 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2139. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #9, Field of Dreams – Parallel Tables of numerous CFR for other Titles listed in Title 26, is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2140. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #10, [THE CODE] Ignorance Is a Choice - Subchapter A is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2141. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #11, [THE CODE] Ignorance Is a Choice - Subchapter C is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2142. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #12, [THE CODE] Ignorance Is a Choice - Subchapter D is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2143. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #13, [THE CODE] Face Sheet of each Subtitle of [THE CODE] involved in case is evidence germane in this

[OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2144. Plaintiff has been compelled to read and fathom [THE CODE] listed in Exhibit E- #13.

2145. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #14, [THE CODE] CCH Chart of Federal Tax Law Keeps Piling Up is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2146. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #15, [THE CODE] - Subtitle A- Chapter 1 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2147. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #16, [THE CODE] - Subtitle A- Chapter 2 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2148. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #17, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 21 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2149. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #18, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 23 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2150. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #19, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 24 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2151. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #20, [THE CODE] - Subtitle C- Chapter 25 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2152. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #21, [THE CODE] - Subtitle D- Chapter 35 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2153. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #22, [THE CODE] – Subtitle F- Procedure and Administration, Chapter 61 through Chapter 80 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2154. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #23, [Refunds] Seed Money & Rise of Seed Faith – Save for a Rainy Day is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2155. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #24, [Refunds] Give Us This Day Our Daily Bread vs. Earning you're Daily Bread is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2156. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #25, [Moralistic] Theology of Money is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2157. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #26, [Moralistic] Spirituality through Materialism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2158. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #27, Sindustry of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2159. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #28, The Built Environments of THE-IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2160. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #29, The Sanctification of THEIRS (Marriage) – IRS Core Values is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2161. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #30, Religious Threads of Taxology and Taxism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2162. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #31, Religious Syncretism of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more

particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2163. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #32, TAXTAN – The Essence of Taxology’s TAXTAN is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2164. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #33, IRS Vision Quest - §7851.- Applicability of revenue laws is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2165. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit E- #34, IRS Dogma “See” those Speaking in Tongues Sowing the [See]ds of Faith is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section R – Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2166. What religion has to do with is a very wide subject matter. Certainly with many people the idea or perceptible structure of a church, temple or mosque is involved in modern times, however this was not the majority of thought several thousand years ago.

2167. What religion has to do with in the past was more about a particular way or path of life than a location one could travel to.

2168. Plaintiff avers Defendants’ establishment or endorsement of [Taxology] being uses as subject matter, viewpoint or content based restrictions of religious belief and practice, is employing a forum that encompasses or focus on the access sought by the speaker.

2169. A forum can be defined as a place, meeting, or medium where ideas and views on a particular issue can be exchanged. This is also true of a Church forum or a theology forum. One only has to look at the [IRS] approval of 501(c)3 and its vast forms of churches and forums established.

2170. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #1, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE]- Vision “IRS Strategic Plan 2005-2009 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2171. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #2, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE] – Definitions 26 §7701 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2172. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #3, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE] Overruling the Supreme Court is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2173. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #4, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE [Ceremony] & [Body of Rites] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2174. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #5, the [IRS PATH OF LIFE] - §7803 “set of fundamental rights” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2175. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #6, [IRS Path of Life] is tantamount to a relationship pregnant with involvement is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2176. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #7, Search Results for a [proper return] and a Modes of [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2177. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #8, [Worship] – Moving toward a Deeper Theology Worship is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2178. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #9, A Revelation of [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2179. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #10, Modes of [Worship] manifested by THE GREAT WHATEVER is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2180. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #11, Doctrine of Exchange manifested by Taxology Modes of [Worship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2181. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #12, Doctrine of Exchange “pay-as-you-go” balancing “inflow” & “outflow” is evidence germane in this

[OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2182. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #13, [proper return] to the IRS and their path of life, beliefs and practices is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2183. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #14, The Protected Speech of Tax Return vs, making a [proper return] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2184. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #15, Taxology – An Organized Religion of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2185. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #16, Taxology like Religious Bigotry is a lifestyle Choice is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2186. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #17, [Exemptions] 26 U.S.C. § 151 Allowance of deductions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2187. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #18, [Exemptions] 26 U.S.C. § 152 Dependent Defined is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2188. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #19, [Exemptions] 26 U.S. Code §501 Exemptions from tax is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2189. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #20, [Exemptions] 501(c)(1) Corp. Organized under Act of Congress is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2190. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #21, [Exemptions] 501(c)(2) Title Holding Corp. for Exempt Org. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2191. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #22, [Exemptions] 501(c)(3) Religious, Charitable, Ed., Etc., Org. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2192. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #23, [Exemptions] 501(c)(4) Civic Leagues, Social Welfare Orgs. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2193. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #24, [Exemptions] 501(c)(5) Labor, Agricultural, and Horticultural Orgs. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2194. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #25, [Exemptions] 501(c)(6) Buss. Leagues, Chambers of Commerce etc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2195. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #26, [Exemptions] 501(c)(7) Social and Recreational Clubs is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2196. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #27, [Exemptions] 501(c)(8) Fraternal Beneficiary Societies is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2197. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #28, [Exemptions] 501(c)(9) Voluntary Employees' Beneficiary Assoc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2198. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #29, [Exemptions] 501(c)(10) Domestic Fraternal Societies and Assoc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2199. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #30, [Exemptions] 501(c)(11) Teachers' Retirement Fund Associations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or

of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2200. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #31, [Exemptions] 501(c)(12) Benevolent Life Insurance Associations, Mutual Ditch or Irrigation Companies, Mutual or Cooperative Telephone Companies, etc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2201. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #32, [Exemptions] 501(c)(13) Cemetery Companies is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2202. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #33, [Exemptions] 501(c)(14) State-Chartered Credit Unions & Mutual Reserve Funds is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2203. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #34, [Exemptions] 501(c)(15) Mutual Insurance Companies or Assoc. is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2204. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #35, [Exemptions] 501(c)(16) Corp. Organized to Finance Crop Operations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2205. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #36, [Exemptions] 501(c)(17) Supplemental Unemployment Benefit Trusts is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2206. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #37, [Exemptions] 501(c)(18) Employee Funded Pension Trust is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2207. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #38, [Exemptions] 501(c)(19) Veterans' Organizations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2208. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #39, [Exemptions] 501(c)(20) Qualified Group Legal Services Plans is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of

its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2209. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #40, [Exemptions] 501(c)(21) Black Lung Benefit Trusts is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2210. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #41, [Exemptions] 501(c)(22) Withdrawal liability payment fund is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2211. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #42, [Exemptions] 501(c)(23) Veterans' Organizations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2212. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #43, [Exemptions] 501(c)(24) Section 4049 ERISA Trusts is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2213. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #44, [Exemptions] 501(c)(25) Multiple Parent Title Holding Companies is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2214. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #45, [Exemptions] 501(c)(26) Qualified State-Sponsored High Risk Insurance is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2215. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #46, [Exemptions] 501(c)(27) Qualified State-Sponsored Workers' Compensation Organizations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2216. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #47, [Exemptions] 501(c)(28) National Railroad Retirement Invest. Trust is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2217. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #48, [Exemptions] 501(c)(29) Qualified Nonprofit Health Insurance Issuers is evidence germane in this

[OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2218. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #49, Taxology Religiosity is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2219. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #50, Taxology's Theology of THEIRS- Religiosity is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2220. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #51, Willpower of THEIRS- Possession In the Garden of Temptation is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2221. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #52, IRS Revivalism of THEIRS "name-it and claim it" Doctrine is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2222. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #53, Oracles of the Faithful IRS Manual - Examination of Returns is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2223. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #54, IRS CORE Values – IRS Manual – Importance of Standards is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2224. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit F- #55, Speaking in Tongues and producing the confession of language is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section S – Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies

2225. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates free exercise principles do not cause a man to sacrifice his integrity, his rights, the freedom of his convictions, the honesty of his feelings, or the independence of his thoughts. These are Mankind's supreme possessions. These are not the objects of sacrifice. These are Plaintiff's most sacred precincts & most sacred property.

2226. Plaintiff's [conscience] dictates the property which every man has in his own labor, as it is the original foundation of all other property, so it is the most sacred and inviolable.

2227. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #1, Intellectual Tithing for a Religion of Reality- Tree of Knowledge is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2228. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #2, Intellectual Tithing & Offerings for a Religion of Submission is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2229. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #3, [Internal Religious Service aka IRS] ("[IRS]") is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2230. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #4, An IRS Pilgrimage TAS document in search for the truth is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2231. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #5, An IRS Pilgrimage – Knowing the Unknowable Answers Exist is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2232. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #6, The Promise Land & [THE BOOK] "IRS Historical Fact Book" is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2233. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #7, IRS Moral Inception a [thought crime] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2234. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #8, Nonconformists: Right of Conscience vs. [thought crimes] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2235. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #9, [House of Worthship] Church of Taxology/Internal Revenue Service is evidence germane in this

[OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2236. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #10, [IRS House of Worship] 14 Points of Policy/Criteria of an IRS Church is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2237. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #11, Temple Currency of THEIRS - Tax Credits is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2238. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #12, [Tax Credits] [Refundable/Nonrefundable Tax Credits] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2239. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #13, [THEIRS] [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2240. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #14, [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] Redesignation of the IRC is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2241. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #15, [Systematic Theology of THEIRS] as the IRS Doctrine of Cross References is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2242. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #16, Temple Police of THE-IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2243. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #17, The Religious Authority of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2244. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit G- #18, The Wages of Sins is Death is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section T – Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies.

2245. Where a given religion is strongly associated – or perceived to be associated; manifested by the said parties proselytizing, or when engaged in numerous forms of religiously oriented expressions of their activities; it cultivates intrinsic and expressive associations.

2246. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #1, [FAITH] –Taking Faith to the next level and its various practices is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2247. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #2, [FAITH] – The Ten Tax Commandments is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2248. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #3, [FAITH] - Institutionalized Faith of THEIRS- Next Exit Blind Faith is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2249. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #4, IRS Genesis of Justification - The Midas Touch is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2250. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #5, [Mammon] Worship of Money a practice which touches upon religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2251. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #6, THE GREAT WHATEVER- The Deific & Divinity of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2252. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #7, [WHATEVER] – An IRS Deific & Divinity of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2253. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #8, [WHATEVER] The Messianic State Savior of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2254. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #9, The Encoded Syntax Messiah of THEIRS – The Incarnate Spirit of [THE CODE] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2255. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #10, [Taxism] - An Institutionalized Faith & Religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2256. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #11, The Orthodox Church of Taxology – Temple of Taxism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2257. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #12, [Auditing] IRS Manuel Nonfiled Returns is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2258. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #13, [Auditing] IRS Manuel Examining Process is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2259. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #14, [Auditing] is how Taxology is like Scientology both believe in [Auditing] and is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2260. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #15, Defendants [MAGI] Modified Adjusted Gross Incomes of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2261. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #16, [Tax Deductions] Tax Topics – Itemized Deductions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2262. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #17, [Tax Deductions] Above/Below the Line Tax Deductions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2263. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #18, [IRS Realm] of THEIRS – Dominion Theology of Taxism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2264. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #19, Taxing-Vision Ministries of THEIRS – “Rethink Church” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2265. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #20, Dominion Theology - Collective Experience of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2266. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #21, Religious Formation NOW & THEN Collective Experience is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2267. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #22, Keeping the F.A.I.T.H of THEIRS- Above/Below the Line is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2268. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #23, Laws of Attraction – A Law Unto Itself is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2269. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #24, The Taxing Culture of THEIRS – Faith & Fear is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2270. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #25, The Collective Experience’s Mission of Taxism Death & Taxes is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2271. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #26, [To LIVE as EVIL] Dogma “Service + Enforcement = Compliance” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2272. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #27, An IRS Idol: The Golden Calf – The Bull on Wall Street is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2273. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #28, The Structure of a Modern Day Tower of Babel of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2274. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #29, Golden Rule of Taxism “He Who Has the Gold Makes the Rules” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2275. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit H- #30, Sanctification of THEIRS (Marriage) is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section U – Exhibits, in support of or germane to this case and its controversies.

2276. Religion is primarily a search for security and not aimed at our search for certainty. Religion is what we so often use to bank the fires of our anxiety or fears. That is why many religions have developed into or on the path to becoming excessive, neurotic, controlling and even sometimes the essence or the Evolution of Evil.

2277. The [Govspel] [Body of Rites] [Peter to Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS having “[the force and effect of the color of law]” per se as (“[Interfaith]”) These kinds of entanglements are the aim creating total class warfare; as a constant assault, protest with and exploitation of the middle or working class by the rich or privileged class.

2278. This has created a rich man's war and a poor man's fight concerning our values or protests of the established battle lines over tax cuts and entitlements. This is not taxation, but has developed for many people, and Plaintiff, as a protest of an IRS Holy War. This Holy War of the IRS has been deified at the expense of our social and constitutional truths and trusts.

2279. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #1, Taxpayer Advocate Service “Your Voice at The IRS” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2280. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #2, [Theology Forum] “in defining the forum the focus should be on the access sought by the speaker” and is

evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2281. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #3, The Church of What's Happening Now- Taxpayer Advocate Service is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2282. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #4, [Government Speech] – [Body of Rites] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2283. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #5, [Government Speech] The Ads, Pictures and Posting on the Internet is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2284. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #6, [Government Speech] Do as We Say Not As We Do is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2285. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #7, [Government Speech] A Spiritual Tradition of THE-IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2286. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #8, [Government Speech] THEIRS is the Kingdom of Taxprayers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2287. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #9, [Government Speech] Taxing Spirit of F.E.A.R. – Ghost Returns 1040 A is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2288. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #10 [Government Speech] Presidential election campaign fund checkoff, serves as core political speech and is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2289. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #11[Government Speech] Form 1040 Amended Tax Return is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2290. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #12 [Government Speech] Superstitions, Omens & Misconceptions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2291. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #13, IRS Indoctrination – Define with IRS practices of Indoctrination is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2292. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #14, IRS Indoctrination & Symbol of an “Inverted Cross” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2293. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #15, IRS Scales of Injustice instill conduct “in a fair and honest way” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2294. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #16, IRS Indoctrination & Symbol of an alleged “Olive Branch” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2295. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #17, IRS Indoctrination & Symbol of a “Bird” of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2296. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #18, IRS Indoctrination – Publication - IRS Manuel is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2297. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #19, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – List of Publications for [Worthship] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2298. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #20, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – List of Instructions/Forms for [Worthship] is evidence germane in this [OVC]

or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2299. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #21, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – Pub 17- Your Federal Income Tax is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2300. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #22, The [Govspel] of THEIRS – What we find as opposed to what may find us is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2301. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #23, Law & Gospel- Letters & Spirit in [THE CODE] & [THE WORDS] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2302. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #24, Understanding Taxes– Lessons - Teacher & Student of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2303. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #25, IRS Indoctrination Taxology a Religion of Submission is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2304. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #26, Indoctrination-Application of Internal Revenue Laws see Chapter 64 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2305. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #27, The Life Cycle Series of THEIRS – “Get Right With Your Taxes” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2306. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I - #28, Religious Observances – Life Cycle from Birth through Childhood is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2307. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I - #29 Religious Observances – Life Cycle Divorce and non-custodial is evidence germane in this [OVC]

or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2308. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #30, Religious Observances – Life Cycle Retirement Savings is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2309. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #31, Religious Observances – 26 § 6014 “shall be given no legal effect” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2310. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #32, [religious gerrymanders] Redesignation & Taxation without Representation is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2311. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #33, [religious gerrymanders] IRS Mailed documents & Cross References is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2312. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #34, [religious gerrymanders] Bailouts as Moral Hazards is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2313. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #35, [Peter-to-Paul Mandates] as [THE WORDS] of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2314. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #36, [THE WORDS] of THEIRS – Darth Vader- Dark Side of the Force is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2315. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #37, [THE WORDS] – Water Boarding with Words of THEIRS (IRB) is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2316. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #38, [THE WORDS] – Belief-O-Matic – IRS Written Determinations is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of

its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2317. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #39, [THE WORDS] – Belief-O-Matic – Private Letter Rulings is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2318. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #40, [THE WORDS] – Belief-O-Matic – Cross References beliefs rooted in law as religion is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2319. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #41, [Enumerations] IRS Tax Tables, Brackets & Rates or exclusions is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2320. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #42, [Enumerations] Tax Tips Lists given a detail account collecting taxes is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2321. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #43, [Materialism] In Greed We Trust is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2322. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #44, Progressive Theology of Materialism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2323. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #45, Progressive Theology of Materialism in Post- Foundationalism Enlightenment Values is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2324. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #46, Progressive Theology of Materialism - A Prosperity Gospel of THEIRS is evidence germane in this its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2325. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #47, Moral Hazards of Greed IRS Parable of Prodigal Sons is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2326. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #48, Foundationalism of THEIRS “The New World Order” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2327. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #49, IRS Corporatism is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2328. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #50, An IRS Hierarchy Rule of Men embracing the Rule by Law is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2329. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #51, Taxmageddon – New look of doom and gloom to change your beliefs is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2330. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #52, The Progressive Theology whereas citizens are converted into customers -Deep Stellar Mission is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2331. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit I- #53, Integrated Auxiliary of Church of Taxology- Taxpayer Advocacy Panel is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section V – Exhibits in support of or germane to this case and its controversies.

2332. It been said not all religion is to be found in the church, any more than all knowledge is found in the classroom. It is the propensity of Mankind to formulate religion and attempt to make it visible, tangible, and controllable. The history of all religions are man-made.

2333. Plaintiff avers once again; the parameters of this definition can be broadened to include many commitments to a particular path of life. Such a development would embrace concepts like “philosophy” or “psychology” or even any chosen path of life.

2334. Plaintiff avers the distinction in the development of the latter; particularly in our philosophy, is questions that may never be answered, whereas religion; as a path of life is answers that may never be questioned.

2335. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #1, [Ministries] The Church Without Walls is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more

particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2336. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #2, [Mega Church]-IRS Worthship Ministries is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2337. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #3, [Taxing Trinity] of THEIRS “The Bureau” “The Agency” “The Service” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2338. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #4, [Taxing Trinity] “One Look. One Voice. One IRS.” is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2339. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #5, [Confession] = [Voluntary Compliance] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2340. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #6, [Confession] of Faith in [Form 1040] and an Amended Return is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2341. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #7, [Prior Restraint] §7421 – Prohibition of suits to restraint is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2342. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #8, Federal Tax Return Filing Status/Badge of Protected Speech is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2343. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #9, [Form 1040] viewpoint based restrictions on protected speech is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2344. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #10, [Form 1040] IRS Covenant to convert taxpayers into taxprayers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its

controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2345. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #11, [Form 1040] a petition from those seeking redress for an infringement or for satisfaction sought or gained is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2346. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #12, [Form 1040] viewpoint-based restriction on protected speech & more importantly is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2347. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #13, [Form 1040] is a forum of expressive activity is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2348. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #14, [Dispensation] THE IRS Zenith: Money Madness is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2349. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #15, [Dispensation] Left Behind or a religious viewpoint of the Rapture is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2350. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #16, [Dispensation] Government Bailout Plan is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2351. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #17, [Dispensation] "IN GREED WE TRUST" is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2352. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #18, F.A.T.E. Who Must File? is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2353. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #19, Forbidden Accounting Transforms Everything is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2354. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #20, Spiritualism's Union of THEIRS – IRS Unification is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2355. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #21, THE IRS Sign of the Cross –Theology Sign is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2356. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #22, Apprising Ministries or Official Taxing Sects is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2357. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit J- #23, The Collective Hopes of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

Section W – Exhibits in support of or germane to this case and its controversies.

2358. What is the origin of religion or religious faith and if you are in the proper business of “explaining religion” or its faith why do some people accept and other don't accept some or certain ideas of why there is religion, what religion gives to people, or even why some people will die for their religion, while some others are so strongly detached from religion or its religious beliefs, practices or convictions, and so on.

2359. Plaintiff avers “He who troubles his own house shall inherit the wind.” Proverb 11:29.

2360. Plaintiff avers [THE CODE] is a product of fanaticism and ignorance and needs feeding.

2361. Plaintiff avers the evidence in this case will show that Defendants cannot administer a wicked law impartially, you can only destroy, you can only punish and Plaintiff rightly warned this Court; that a wicked law like cholera destroys everyone it touches its upholders as well as its deifiers. Because fanaticism and ignorance is forever busy and needs feeding.

2362. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #1, [Convention] The Fountainhead of Faith Doing What Faith Does is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2363. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #2, The Adjustment Bureau & Synagogue is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more

particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2364. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #3, [Emerging Church] of THEIRS – A B C Ministries of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2365. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #4, The ABC's Ministries of THEIRS – An Alternative Worthship is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2366. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #5, [A B C's of Faith] & The Religious Triggers of [Temple Taxes] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2367. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #6, [Temple Taxes] [Penalties & Interests of THEIRS] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2368. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #7, Religious Faith Envisioned & Practiced - Wailing Wall is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2369. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #8, [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] see attached listed of terms and words is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2370. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #9, [Orthodoxy of THEIRS] An Analysis of Federal Income Tax Laws is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2371. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #10, The Converts of THE-IRS – Taxprayers & Definitions §7701 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2372. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #11, The Taxprayers of THE-IRS - §§ 861 & 862 Income from sources is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by

reference as if fully set forth herein.

2373. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #12, The Hybrid Congregation [body of believers] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2374. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #13, The Anointed: The Chosen Ones of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2375. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #14, Believers of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2376. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #15, T.R.U.E. Believers in Taxism [Their Religion Unify Everyone] is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2377. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #16, The Devoted Minions of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2378. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #17, Chosen People &/or Chosen Taxprayers of Taxology is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2379. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #18, Taxpayer - President Ronald Reagan Quote is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2380. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #19, Followers of IRS' Faith – IRS Employees is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2381. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #20 Supporters of IRS' Faith: IRS Volunteers, Blind Leading the Blind is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2382. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #21, IRS' Revenue Agents: Zealots of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2383. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #22, New Age Prophets: CPA Advisors & others practicing before IRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2384. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #23, IRS Discipleship of THEIRS: The Takers of Souls is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2385. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #24 [Worship] & dependent conditions for a Body of Believers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2386. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #25, [Worship] & dependent conditions for a Body of Believers is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2387. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #26, Adherents of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2388. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #27, The Hybrid Congregation of THEIRS, Religious Denomination is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2389. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #28, IRS Non-Believers of THEIRS aka "nontaxpayers" is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2390. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #29, IRS Non-Believers of THEIRS: aka Any Person that is a Non-Filer is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2391. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #30, IRS' Holy Rollers: Tax Division U.S. Department of Justice is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of

its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2392. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #31, IRS' Human Capital is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2393. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #32, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation & Forgiveness IRS Fresh Start is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2394. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #33, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation - Simple as A B C is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2395. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #34, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation First Time Penalty abatements is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2396. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #35, [Abatements] i.e. Salvation IRS Tax Tip 2012-48 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2397. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #36, Black Theology of Legalism: The ABC's of Salvation is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2398. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #37, Black Theology of Legalism: §7701 is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2399. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #38, Spiritual Transcendence- Spiritual Purgatory of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2400. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #39, The Rapture, Spiritual Marriage & Revelations of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2401. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #40, IRS' Deacons of Deception is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2402. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #41, Debtors Prisons of THEIRS is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2403. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #42, IRS Forbidden Fruit is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2404. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #43, Rethink Church: The Church of Reality is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2405. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #44, Source: What part of the 16th Amendment does the IRS not understand is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2406. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #45, Census: What part of the 16th Amendment does the IRS not understand is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2407. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #46, Enumeration: What part of the 16th Amendment does the IRS not understand is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2408. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #47, [To LIVE as EVIL] Inherit The Wind - Cross References summary is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2409. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #48, The Conditional Core Values of THEIRS - §7122 Compromises is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

2410. Plaintiff [believes] and/or [conscience] dictates that Exhibit K- #49, Separating the Wheat from the Chaff §7122 Compromises is evidence germane in this [OVC] or of its controversies; more particularly described thus attached hereto and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

